

Contents

Table of Cases	xv
Table of Authorities	xix
Chapter 1 The Contrast between “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning	3
Neither Science nor Law Is a Monolithic Discipline—	
Their Differences Do Not Always Permit a “Bright Line” Analysis	4
Methodologies Contrasted	4
Degree of Certainty Required for “Proof”	6
Role of Precedence	6
Professional Ethos (Law)	8
Professional Ethos (Science)	9
Predictability and Indeterminacy	11
Chapter 2 Public Health, the Law, and Society	13
The Scope and Inherent Problems of Public Health	14
Public Health Law, a Confluence of “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning—	
Good Science Can Yield Good Public Policy While Not Precluding Debate	16
Public Health Law, a Confluence of “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning—	
Tragic Public Policy Results when Science	
Is Ignored and Replaced by Prejudice	16
Public Health Law, a Confluence of “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning—	
Epidemiology, Statistics, and Profiling	18
Public Health Law, a Confluence of “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning—	
Can the “Least Worst Solution” Be the “Best?”	19
Public Health Law, a Confluence	
of “Legal” and “Scientific” Reasoning—Can Regulations	
Be “Narrowly Crafted” to Provide for Both Individual Freedom	
and the Societal Good?	20
Factors Involved in Balancing Benefits and Burdens Are Not Absolute	
but Comprise a Spectrum	21
Chapter 3 Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Some Abusable Drugs	23
Alcohol	23
The Opioids (Heroin, Morphine, and Codeine are Examples)	24
Cocaine and Amphetamines	26
Marijuana	27

Marijuana and Cognition	29
Marijuana and Mental Illness	30
Is Marijuana a "Gateway" Drug?	30
"Ecstasy" (Methylenedioxyamphetamine or MDMA)	32
Potent, Pathological, and Permitted	33
A Prologue	35
Chapter 4 The History of Attitudes towards Illegal Drugs	37
"Herbal Remedies" of Yesteryear	40
An Outline of Our Early History of Dancing with Drugs	40
The Coca-Cola® Story Is Illustrative of the Connection between Racism and Drug Policy	43
Free Will and Biology: Can There Be Mens Rea Absent the Mens?	45
Chapter 5 The Epidemiology of Drug Use	47
Useful Definitions	47
Some Techniques Used to Gather Data Relating to Drug Use	48
General Problems Associated with Surveys—Self-Reports or Objective Data	49
Unjustified Conclusions	49
The Data	50
National Household Survey on Drug Abuse	50
Drug Use Forecasting (begun in 1987 by National Institute of Justice)	50
DAWN (Drug Abuse Warning Network)	51
National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)-Sponsored Self-Reporting Survey	52
National Comorbidity Survey (NIDA)	52
What Is the Epidemiology of Alcohol Abuse and Dependence (Alcoholism)? ¹²	52
A Perspective: How Does the Incidence of Death Related to Illegal Drug Use Compare with Other Major Causes?	53
Chapter 6 The Science of Drug Dependence (Addiction)	55
How Is Drug Dependence (Addiction) Defined in the Medical Literature?	56
Science—Not Ideology	57
Understanding Drug Addiction: Insights from the Research Alan I. Leshner, Ph.D.	58
A New Paradigm	58
The Mind and the Brain	59
Basic Research	60
Conclusions and the Future	60
Implications for Policy	60
How Should Society and the Law Deal with "Fault"?	61
Causation and Disease—Is It Appropriate to Assign "Fault"?	62
Chapter 7 The Conflict between Individual Autonomy and Responsibility to Society	65
Mill (the Person) v. Mill (the Philosopher)	68
Alternative Paradigms	68
When Individual Actions Do Not Impact Society, How Might the Law Behave?	70

Bowers v. Hardwick	70
478 U.S. 186 (1986)	70
Lawrence v. Texas, 123 S. Ct. 2472 (2001)	72
Chapter 8 Illegal Drugs—The Doctor’s Dilemma	77
The Harrison Narcotic Act—History and Background	78
Early History of Federal Drug Regulation—	
Evolution of the Food and Drug Act	78
International Affairs and the United States	80
The Shanghai Conference and Foster Bill	82
The Hague Opium Conferences	85
Evolution of the Harrison Narcotic Act	86
Final Passage of the Harrison Act	87
The Harrison Narcotic Act—Potential Problems in Interpretation	88
What is the “Legitimate Practice of Medicine”—Who Decides?	90
Statutory Interpretation and the Harrison Act	91
The Courts v. “Somebody Who Was There”	91
The “Poison Pill”	92
If Only Congress Had the Courage	92
Did the Harrison Act Deal with Treaties, Taxes, or Taboos?	93
The Harrison Narcotic Act—Early Cases	95
United States v. Jim Fuey Moi	95
241 U.S. 394 (1916)	95
United States v. Doremus	98
249 U.S. 86 (1919)	98
Webb et al. v. United States	100
249 U.S. 96 (1919)	100
Whipple v. Martinson	101
256 U.S. 41 (1921)	101
United States v. Behrman	105
258 U.S. 280 (1922)	105
The Harrison Narcotic Act—	
Are There Limits to Federal Control of Medical Practice?	107
Linder v. United States	108
268 U.S. 5 (1925)	108
Chapter 9 Compulsory Drug Testing	113
Why Is Drug Testing Done?	115
What Are the Basic Scientific Principles of Drug Testing?	115
Where Are Samples Obtained?	116
What Is the Significance of a Positive Test?	116
What Is the Significance of a Negative Test?	118
Are There Ways of Avoiding Detection?	118
How May Subterfuge Be Detected?	118
Is Drug Testing Cost Effective?	119
What Is Meant by “Accuracy” of Drug Tests and How Is This Expressed?	119
Warrant, Reasonableness, and the Fourth Amendment	120
When Does a Warrantless (per se Unreasonable)	
Search Become Reasonable?	121

A Spectrum of Cases	122
Schmerber v. California	123
384 U.S. 757 (1966)	123
Delaware v. Prouse	125
440 U.S. 648 (1979)	125
No You Can't!	125
Yes You Can!	126
Michigan v. Sitz	126
496 U.S. 444 (1990)	126
Indianapolis v. Edmond	127
120 S. Ct. 1156 (2000)	127
You Can Look but You Cannot Touch!	128
Bond v. United States	128
529 U.S. 334 (2000)	128
It's Too Darn Hot, but You Don't Have to Leave the Garden (Yet)!	129
Kyllo v. United States	129
533 U.S. 27 (2001)	129
Skinner, Secretary of Transportation, et al. v. Railway Labor Executives' Association et al.	130
489 U.S. 602 (1989)	130
National Treasury Employees Union et al. v. Von Raab, Commissioner, United States Customs Service et al	134
489 U.S. 656 (1989)	134
Vernonia School District 47J v. Wayne Acton, et ux.	137
515 U.S. 646 (1995)	137
Board of Education of Independent School District No. 92 of Pottawatomie County, et al. v. Lindsay Earls et al	141
122 S. Ct. 2559 (2002)	141
Walker L. Chandler, et al., v. Zell D. Miller, et al	143
520 U.S. 305 (1997)	143
A Few Questions Regarding Chandler in Light of the Preceding Cases	146
Chapter 10 "Crack Babies" and the Constitution—	
Testing Pregnant Women for Illegal Drugs	147
Ambivalent Societal Attitudes towards	
Women and Pregnancy	149
In re A.C.	149
537 A.2d 1235 (D.C. Ct. Appeals 1990)	149
DeShaney v. Winnebago	150
109 S. Ct. 998 (1989)	150
International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America, UAW, et al v. Johnson Controls, Inc	151
499 U.S. 187 (1991).	151
What Can Science Tell Us about Illegal Drugs, Particularly Cocaine, and the Fetus or Newborn?	153
The Problem Presented—Does Cocaine Affect the Fetus?	154

Cocaine Does Not Have Significant Effects—	
However, Other Drugs May be Harmful	155
Cocaine Has Subtle, but Definite, Effects	156
Rights and Obligations—Cocaine, Pregnancy and Drug-Testing	158
The Fetus As a “Person”; Role of Privacy	158
Roe v. Wade	158
410 U.S. 113 (1973)	158
Jennifer Clarise Johnson v. State of Florida	161
578 So. 2d 419 (Fla. App. 1991)	161
Jennifer Clarise Johnson v. State of Florida	163
602 So. 2d 1288 (1992)	163
Cornelia Whitner v. State of South Carolina	166
328 S.C. 1 (1996)50	166
Sequellae of Whitner	169
Ferguson v. City of Charleston	170
186 F.3d 469; (U.S. App., 1999)	170
The Trial Court’s Findings and Its Appeal—	
Special Needs Applied and Consent Was Moot	172
Ferguson v. City of Charleston	173
532 U.S. 67; 121 S. Ct. 1281 (2001)	173
Ferguson— Before and After	178
The End is Not in Sight	179
Questions and Final Thoughts	180
Use of Illegal Drugs by Pregnant Women	
in the Context of Public Health	181
Chapter 11 Disease and Behavior under the Law	187
Robinson v. California	190
370 U.S. 660 (1962)	190
You Can Be but You Can’t Do!	196
Powell v. Texas	196
392 U.S. 514 (1968)	196
Chapter 12 Crack, Powder, and Justice—Illegal Drugs and Sentencing	205
Criteria for Sentencing—	
Keep in Mind the Several Goals of Criminal Sanctions	205
Justice in Sentencing—The Debate	206
History of Mandatory Minimums	207
Cocaine is Cocaine—The Science of Powder and Crack	211
The Significance and Process of Scheduling	212
Antecedents to United States v. Walls	213
841 F. Supp. 24 (1994)	213
United States v. Walls	216
841 F. Supp. 24 (1994)	216
United States v. Walls	221
315 U.S. App. D.C. 111 (1995), cert. denied	221
Judicial Discretion May Be Limited by the Trier of Fact (Sometimes)	222

Chapter 13	Civil Commitment and Compelled Therapy	225
	Lane v. Candura	226
	376 N.E.2d 1232 (Mass. App. Ct. 1978)	226
	Lake v. Cameron, 364 F.2d 657	227
	124 U.S. App. D.C. 264 (1966), cert. denied	227
	Beyond the Criminal Justice System—	
	Past Crimes Have Been Committed	230
	Beyond the Criminal Justice System—	
	Future Crimes or Harm to Self Might Occur	232
	Jacobson v. Massachusetts	233
	197 U.S. 11 (1905)	233
	Mandated Treatment within the Criminal Justice System	239
	Drug Dependence Is a Disease—But Is It “Infectious?”	243
	Conclusions and Final Thoughts	244
Chapter 14	Disability Law and Drug Dependence—Society’s Ambivalence	249
	The Americans with Disabilities Act	249
	A Personal History of the ADA1	250
	A Legislative History of the ADA2	252
	Principles of the ADA	252
	How Does the ADA Deal with Substance Abuse? 42 U.S.C. § 12114—	
	Illegal Use of Drugs and Alcohol	255
	Alcohol and Illicit Drugs Compared	256
	The ADA and § 504—Tensions between Individual and Class Consideration	257
	Science—Not Ideology	258
	School Board of Nassau County, Fla. v. Arline	258
	480 U.S. 273 (1987)	258
	Arline v. School Board of Nassau County	260
	692 F. Supp. 1286 (M.D. Fla. 1988)	260
	Traynor v. Turnage	260
	485 U.S. 535 (1988)	260
	Changes in Social Security Law Alter Disability Benefits to	
	Drug Dependent Persons	263
	Drug or Alcohol Dependence Is Unprotected	
	When Manifested in the Workplace	265
	Mararri v. WCI Steel, Inc.	265
	130 F.3d 1180 (6th Cir. 1997)	265
	Disability Law and Admission to the Bar	268
	Final Question: Has The Judiciary Recently Imposed	
	Profound Changes on the ADA?	270
	The ADA and Mitigation:	
	What Happens to Protection Following “Cure?”	270
	Sutton v. United Air Lines	271
	527 U.S. 471 (1999)	271
	Murphy v. United Parcel Service, Inc.	274
	527 U.S. 516 (1999)	274
	E. C.’s Cocaine Dependence Is in Remission—	
	Does Mitigation Remove ADA Protection?	274

What if a State Violates the ADA?	275
Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett	276
531 U.S. 356 (2001)	276
One Last Chance for E. C.	277
Does the ADA Apply to the Federal Government?	278
Chapter 15 Pot, Peyote, and Politics	281
How an “Illegal” Drug Became “Legal” through Religion	282
How Has the First Amendment Dealt with Religious Use of Illicit Drugs?	283
The Court Speaks—And So Do the People	286
The Limits of “Exemptions”	287
Laetrile Is Neither a Controlled Substance nor Approved	287
United States v. Rutherford	287
442 U.S. 544 (1979)	287
Research, “Emergency,” and “Compassionate” Use	
Prior to (or in the absence of) FDA Approval	290
The Politics of Marijuana	290
The Government Spoke and the People Listened—And Acted	293
Medical Marijuana and the Courts	294
Medical Marijuana and the Doctrine of “Necessity”	294
The Appeal—Medical Necessity Upheld	296
United States v. Oakland Cannabis Buyers’ Cooperative	296
190 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir., 1999)	296
The Supreme Court’s Holding—	
Medical Necessity Struck Down (at Least for the Time Being)	297
United States v. Oakland Cannabis Buyers’ Cooperative	297
121 S. Ct. 1711 (2001)	297
It’s Not Over Till It’s Over	299
Doctors May Not Prescribe Smoked Marijuana—	
But May They Talk About It?	300
Pearson v. McCaffrey	300
139 F. Supp. 2d 113 (D.D.C. 2001)	300
Conant v. Walters	302
309 F.3d 629 (9th Cir., 2002)	302
When the “Will of the People” Is Not the “Will of the Congress	303
Turner v. District of Columbia	
Board of Elections and Ethics	303
77 F. Supp. 2d 25; 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16595 (1999)	303
Final Thoughts	305
Some Arguments For and Against Changing Policy	305
Chapter 16 Treatment of Drug Dependence—	
A Legal and Public Health Perspective	307
Is There a Need for Treatment?	308
Evaluating Therapy Is Not an Exact Science	308
Treatment Is Effective	309
Treatment Works—But Raises Significant Legal and Policy Issues	311
Alcoholics Anonymous	311

Griffin v. Coughlin	312
88 N.Y. 2d 674; 673 N.E.2d 98 (NY Appeals, 1996), cert. denied	312
Kerr v. Farrey	313
95 F.3d 472 (7th Cir. 1996)	313
Warner v. Orange County Dept. of Probation	314
173 F.3d 120 (2nd Cir. 1999), cert. denied	314
Alcoholics Anonymous, the First Amendment, and the “Law of Unintended Consequences”	314
Maybe He Gets Out	314
Cox v. Miller	314
154 F. Supp. 2d 787 (S.D.N.Y. 2001)	314
No, He Stays In!	316
Cox v. Miller	316
296 F.3d 89 (2002), cert. denied	316
Opioid Agonist (Methadone) Therapy	317
The Science of Opioid Agonist Therapy	318
The History of Opioid Agonist Therapy	319
Regulation of Methadone Maintenance (Agonist) Therapy	321
Summary of Federal Methadone Regulations	322
Opioid Agonist Therapy—Politics and Policy	323
Drug Courts	328
The Physician Health Committee—A “Drug Court” within the Private Sector	329
Access to Treatment—For the Mentally Ill, for Addicts, for Everyone	331
Drugs, Addiction, and the Law: Policy, Politics, and Public Health—The Future	335
Notes and References	337
Index	371