Contents

1 Introduction **1**

Research Aim....4
Natural Environment....4
Cultural Environment....4
Hypotheses....5
1. Natural Environment....5
Summary....5
2. Cultural environment....5
Summary....6
Research Approach....6
Theoretical basis and background literature....6
Measures of Health....8
Age at death and the sex ratio....8
Growth and disturbances of growth....9
Joint disease....9
Trauma....9
Dental Pathology....9
Nutrition....10
Limitations and Biases....10
Thesis Structure....10

2 Bioarchaeology and Thai Prehistory **12**

Introduction....12
Natural Environment....12
Cultural Environment....13
Technology and subsistence....13
Population Size....13
Social Complexity....13
Southeast Thailand....14
Khok Phanom Di....14
The Excavation....14
The Human Skeletal Remains....14
The Environment and Natural Resources....16
Technology....16
Social Structure....16
Summary....17
Nong Nor....17
The Excavation....17
The Human Skeletal Remains....17
The Environment and Natural Resources....19
Technology....19
Social Structure....19
Summary....20
Northeast Thailand....20
Ban Lum Khao....20
The Excavation....20
The Human Skeletal Remains....20
The Environment and Natural Resources....22
Technology....22
Social Structure....22

Summary 22
Ban Na Di 22
The Excavation 22
The Human Skeletal Remains 23
The Environment and Natural Resources 23
Technology 23
Social Structure 23
Summary 25
Other relevant prehistoric Thai skeletal samples 25
Ban Chiang 25
Non Nok Tha 25
Noen U-Loke 25

3 Census **27**
Age at death estimation 27
Introduction 27
Infants 27
Children/Adolescents 28
Adults 28
Methods 29
Subadults 29
Khok Phanom Di 30
Nong Nor 30
Ban Lum Khao and Ban Na Di 30
Adults 30
Khok Phanom Di 31
Nong Nor 31
Ban Lum Khao 31
Ban Na Di 32
Sex estimation 32
Introduction 32
Methods 34
Khok Phanom Di 35
Nong Nor 35
Ban Lum Khao 37
Ban Na Di 37
Results 37
Age at death and sex estimation 37
Khok Phanom Di 37
Nong Nor 37
Ban Lum Khao 40
Ban Na Di 40
Fertility 43
Census Comparison 43
Subadults 43
Adults 44
Discussion 46
Age at death as a measure of health 46
Summary 47
Subadults 47
Adults 47

4 Growth and Disturbances of Growth **48**
Introduction 48
Childhood Growth 49
Skeletal size versus dental age 49
Introduction 49
Methods 50
Results 50
Stature 51
Introduction 51
Methods 52
Results 53

Childhood Growth Disruptions....55
Harris Lines....55
Introduction....55
Methods....55
Results....56
Enamel Hypoplasia....56
Introduction....56
Methods....57
Results....57
Adult Health....59
Cortical Bone Mass....59
Introduction....59
Methods....60
Results....60
Results Summary....62
Discussion....63
Childhood Health....63
Adult Health....66
Summary....66

5 **Joint Disease** **68**
Introduction....68
Osteoarthritis....68
Vertebral Osteophytosis (Spondylosis)....71
Methods....72
Results....75
Joint degeneration in females....75
Joint degeneration in males....79
Joint degeneration in the total sample....83
Joint degeneration overall....87
Results Summary....90
Discussion....90
Summary....94

6 **Trauma** **95**
Introduction....95
Non-vertebral fractures....95
Spondylolysis....97
Methods....98
Results....98
Non-vertebral Fractures....98
Spondylolysis....111
Discussion....112
Summary....114

7 **Dental Health and Disease** **115**
Introduction....115
Dental Census....116
Advanced Attrition....118
Introduction....118
Methods....119
Results....119
Caries....122
Introduction....122
Methods....123
Results....123
Secondary Dental Infections and Inflammation....126
Introduction....126
Methods....127
Results....127
Antemortem Tooth Loss....130
Introduction....130

Methods 130
Results 130
Results Summary 132
Discussion 132
Summary 137

8 Discussion and Conclusions **138**
Skeletal Evidence Summary 139
Childhood health, morbidity, and mortality: age at death and growth 139
Adult health, morbidity and mortality 139
The Natural Environment 141
Pathogen load 141
Infectious diarrhoea 142
Respiratory infections 143
Parasites 143
Parasitic protozoa and malaria 143
Parasitic helminth infections 144
Parasitic trematode infections 144
Nutrition 145
Prehistoric diet and nutrition 145
Modern diet and nutrition 146
Nutritional problems with the modern Thai diet 147
Hypotheses: comparisons based on the natural environment 148
Southeast Thailand health: 'Khok Phanom Di = Nong Nor' 148
Northeast Thailand health: 'Ban Lum Khao = Ban Na Di' 149
Regional health: 'Southeast ≠ Northeast Thailand' 150
Cultural environment 151
Archaeological evidence 152
Hypothesis: comparisons based on the cultural environment 152
Health: 'Khok Phanom Di < Nong Nor/Ban Lum Khao < Ban Na Di' 152
Conclusions 154

Appendix A **156**
Khok Phanom Di test for interobserver error 156
Age and sex estimation 156
Growth and disturbances of growth 156
Subadult diaphyseal lengths 156
Adult long bone lengths and stature 157
Enamel Hypoplasia 157
Joint Disease 158
Osteoarthritis and Vertebral Osteophytosis 158
Dental health and disease 159

Appendix B **161**
Statistics 161

Appendix C **162**
Skeletal size versus dental age 162

Appendix D **163**
Thai stature regression equations 163

Appendix E **164**
Observed Caries Prevalences 164

References **165**

List of Tables

Table 1.1: The dates, location and size of the skeletal cemetery samples from prehistoric Thailand analysed in this thesis....2
Table 3.1: Comparison of dental eruption age ranges for the permanent teeth that showed differences between the multiple populations presented in Ubelaker (1989) and modern Thai in Kamalanathan et al. (1960b)....30
Table 3.2: Average wear grade ranges used for age at death determination in the Nong Nor sample. (Grading scheme following Molnar (1971))....31
Table 3.3: Average wear grade ranges used for age at death determination in the Ban Lum Khao sample. (Grading scheme according to Molnar (1971))....32
Table 3.4: Average wear grade ranges used for age at death determination in the Ban Na Di sample. (Grading scheme according to Molnar (1971))....32
Table 3.5: Pelvic features used to estimate sex....34
Table 3.6: Cranial features used to estimate sex....34
Table 3.7: Section points used in the sex estimates of adults from Nong Nor. These are based on data from the Khok Phanom Di sample....36
Table 3.8: Section points used in the sex estimates of adults from Ban Lum Khao....36
Table 3.9: Section points used in the sex estimates of adults from Ban Na Di....36
Table 3.10: Khok Phanom Di age at death distribution (Tayles 1992)....38
Table 3.11: Khok Phanom Di adult age and sex distribution (Tayles 1992)....38
Table 3.12: Nong Nor age at death distribution....39
Table 3.13: Nong Nor adult age and sex distribution....39
Table 3.14: Ban Lum Khao - Age Structure....41
Table 3.15: Ban Lum Khao adult age and sex distribution....41
Table 3.16: Age at death at Ban Na Di....42
Table 3.17: Ban Na Di adult age and sex distribution....42
Table 3.18: Number of adult females with evidence of parity....43
Table 3.19: Sex ratios among the samples....45
Table 4.1: Summary statistics of female adult stature for the prehistoric Thai samples (cm)....53
Table 4.2: Summary statistics of male adult stature for the prehistoric Thai samples (cm)....53
Table 4.3: Prevalence of Harris lines on the distal tibiae of adult males and females for the prehistoric Thai samples....56
Table 4.4: Prevalence of linear enamel hypoplasia in the permanent dentition of the subadults from the prehistoric Thai samples....57
Table 4.5: Fisher's Exact p-values for significance of the enamel hypoplasia prevalence among the subadult permanent teeth samples....57
Table 4.6: Prevalence of linear enamel hypoplasia, by sex, in the adult teeth for the prehistoric Thai samples....58
Table 4.7: Fisher's Exact p-values for the significance of the linear enamel hypoplasia prevalences in the adult teeth among the prehistoric Thai samples....58
Table 4.8: Summary statistics of female adult bone mass (cortical index) for the prehistoric Thai samples....61
Table 4.9: Summary statistics of male adult bone mass (cortical index) for the prehistoric Thai samples....61
Table 4.10: Adult bone mass (cortical index) by age, combined sexes....62
Table 4.11: Relative measures of childhood growth and growth disturbances in prehistoric Thailand....63
Table 5.1: Bones assessed in each joint for osteoarthritis....72
Table 5.2: Age structures of the female samples observed for osteoarthritis....75
Table 5.3: Age structures of the female samples observed for vertebral osteophytosis....75
Table 5.4: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the female samples....76

Table 5.5: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the female samples at each upper limb joint....76
Table 5.6: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the female samples....77
Table 5.7: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the female samples at each lower limb joint....77
Table 5.8: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the female samples....78
Table 5.9: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing vertebral osteophytosis prevalences amongst the female samples at the cervical and thoracic level....78
Table 5.10: Age structures of the male sample observed for osteoarthritis....79
Table 5.11: Age structures of the male sample observed for vertebral osteophytosis....79
Table 5.12: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the male samples....80
Table 5.13: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the male samples at each upper limb joint....80
Table 5.14: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the male samples....81
Table 5.15: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the male samples at each lower limb joint....81
Table 5.16: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the male samples....82
Table 5.17: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing vertebral osteophytosis prevalences amongst the male samples at the cervical and thoracic level....82
Table 5.18: Age structures of the total sample observed for osteoarthritis....83
Table 5.19: Age structures of the sample observed for vertebral osteophytosis....83
Table 5.20: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the combined sex samples....84
Table 5.21: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the combined sex samples at each upper limb joint....84
Table 5.22: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the combined sex samples....85
Table 5.23: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing osteoarthritis prevalences amongst the combined sex samples at each lower limb joint....85
Table 5.24: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the combined sex samples....86
Table 5.25: Fisher's Exact p-values for comparing vertebral osteophytosis prevalences amongst the combined sex samples at the cervical and thoracic level....86
Table 5.26: Overall prevalence of osteoarthritis in each sample....88
Table 5.27: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the overall prevalence of osteoarthritis....88
Table 5.28: Overall prevalence rates of vertebral osteophytosis in each sample....89
Table 5.29: FET results for inter-sample comparisons of overall vertebral osteophytosis prevalences....89
Table 5.30: Joints showing the most osteoarthritis among all the samples....90
Table 6.1: Fracture rates of the major appendicular bones in each prehistoric Thai sample....98
Table 6.2: Non-vertebral fractures in prehistoric Thailand: description and possible cause of each case....99
Table 6.3: Spondylolysis in prehistoric Thailand: description and associated vertebral pathology of each case....111
Table 6.4: Number of individuals with spondylolysis in each sample from prehistoric Thailand...112
Table 7.1: Coding scheme for recording the presence and absence of teeth....116
Table 7.2: Summary of the subadult dental census for each of the prehistoric Thai samples....117
Table 7.3: The adult dental census for each of the prehistoric Thai samples summarised for whole intact teeth and tooth positions....117
Table 7.4: Grading scheme for advanced attrition (adapted from Molnar (1971))....118
Table 7.5: Summary of the number of teeth assessed for advanced attrition as divided by the age at death and sex of the individual from which they came....120
Table 7.6: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the age structure of the teeth assessed for advanced attrition....120
Table 7.7: Summary of the number of teeth with advanced attrition in each sample, for each sex and in total....121
Table 7.8: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the prevalence of advanced attrition, for each sex and in total....121
Table 7.9: The proportion of deciduous and permanent teeth with caries in subadults....123
Table 7.10: Summary of the number of teeth observed for caries as divided by the age at death and sex of the individual from which they came....124
Table 7.11: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the age structure of the teeth assessed for caries....124
Table 7.12: Summary of the corrected caries rates in each sample, for each sex and in total....125

Table 7.13: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the corrected caries rates, for each sex and in total....125
Table 7.14: Summary of the number of tooth positions observed for periapical cavities as divided by the age at death and sex of the individual from which they came....128
Table 7.15: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the age structure of the tooth positions assessed for periapical cavities....128
Table 7.16: Summary of the number of tooth positions with periapical cavities in each sample, for each sex and in total....129
Table 7.17: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the prevalence of periapical cavities, for each sex and in total....129
Table 7.18: Summary of the number of tooth positions with antemortem tooth loss in each sample, for each sex and in total....131
Table 7.19: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the prevalence of antemortem tooth loss, for each sex and in total....131
Table 7.20: Dental pathology profiles for the prehistoric Thai samples....132
Table 7.21: Summary of dental pathology prevalences (percentage by tooth) from a selection of prehistoric skeletal samples from Southeast Asia....136
Table 8.1: Examples of some common pathogens found in tropical countries and their effect on human, particularly child, health*....141
Table 8.2: Prevalence of acute bacteria, dysentery and malaria in 1980, Thailand....142
Table A.1: Khok Phanom Di age at death and sex estimation results from the author and Tayles (1992)....156
Table A.2: Khok Phanom Di diaphyseal lengths (mm) of subadults compared....157
Table A.3: Khok Phanom Di long bone lengths (mm) and stature estimates compared....157
Table A.4: Khok Phanom Di linear enamel hypoplasia compared....158
Table A.5: Khok Phanom Di osteoarthritis compared....158
Table A.6: Khok Phanom Di vertebral osteophytosis compared....159
Table A.7: Khok Phanom Di caries compared, permanent teeth only....159
Table A.8: Khok Phanom Di advanced attrition compared, permanent teeth only....159
Table A.9: Khok Phanom Di antemortem tooth loss compared, permanent teeth only....160
Table C.1: Number of children and the number of humeri measurements available from each sample for each age group....162
Table E.1: Summary of the number of teeth with caries in each sample, for each sex and the total sample....164
Table E.2: Fisher's Exact p-values for inter-sample comparisons of the prevalence of teeth with caries....164

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Map of Southeast Asia indicating the sites discussed in this research and the boundaries of the areas of northeast and southeast Thailand (dotted lines). Sites located with white circles are the primary sites discussed....3
Figure 1.2: A biocultural model for studying the relationship between health, stress, and adaptation in skeletal populations (adapted from Goodman and Armelagos 1989)....7
Figure 2.1: Khok Phanom Di burial plan indicating the seven mortuary phases and the spatial distribution of many burials (from Higham and Thosarat 1998e). MP = mortuary phase....15
Figure 2.2: Nong Nor burial plan (from Higham and Thosarat 1998e)....18
Figure 2.3: Ban Lum Khao burial plans (from Higham n.d.)....21
Figure 2.4: Ban Na Di burial plans (from Higham and Kijngam 1984e)....24
Figure 3.1: Discriminant function for sexing adults from Nong Nor using tibial nutrient foramen measurements. The equation was derived from data (n=58) from the Khok Phanom Di sample....35
Figure 3.2: Khok Phanom Di age at death distribution....38
Figure 3.3: Nong Nor age at death distribution....39
Figure 3.4: Ban Lum Khao age at death distribution....41
Figure 3.5: Ban Na Di age at death distribution....42
Figure 3.6: Age at death distribution of subadults....44
Figure 3.7: Adult age distribution for each population, divided by sex. (Data in Tables 3.11, 3.13, 3.15, and 3.17)....45
Figure 4.1: Mean humerus diaphyseal length plotted by dental developmental age for the subadult samples from Khok Phanom Di (n= 13 m/m, 10 individuals) (Tayles 1992), Ban Lum Khao (n = 21 m/m, 13 individuals), and Ban Na Di (n = 10 m/m, 8 individuals)....51
Figure 4.2: Mean adult stature of males and females for the prehistoric Thai samples. Sample size and standard deviations are in Tables 4.1 and 4.2....54
Figure 4.3: Comparison of stature among the prehistoric Thai samples. Boxplot indicates median, range, quartiles and outliers of the stature estimates....54
Figure 4.4: Percentage of teeth with linear enamel hypoplasia for each prehistoric Thai sample....58
Figure 4.5: Mean adult bone mass percentage (cortical index) for the prehistoric Thai samples....61
Figure 4.6: Comparison of bone mass among the prehistoric Thai samples. Boxplot indicates median, range, quartiles and outliers of the cortical index measurements....62
Figure 5.1: Osteoarthritis of the knee joint (A) with a lateral osteophyte (arrow). The condyles of the left femur from Ban Lum Khao, Burial 44, 30-39 yr old male (anterior view); (B) the distal condyle of the left femur (anterior view) and patella (posterior view) from Nong Nor, Burial 104, mid aged female. (length femur fragment = 75 mm). (C) Vertebral Osteophytosis. Three examples showing the range of severity in the lumbar vertebrae (anterior view). From left to right: Khok Phanom Di, Burial 83, 30 yr old female with minimal vertebral osteophytosis; Ban Na Di, Burial M.28, 40-44 yr old female with moderate vertebral osteophytosis; Ban Na Di, Burial T.17, 45-49+ yr old male with severe vertebral osteophytosis. Only the latter two are considered pathological....74
Figure 5.2: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the female samples....76
Figure 5.3: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the female samples....77
Figure 5.4: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the female samples....78
Figure 5.5: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the male samples....80
Figure 5.6: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the male samples....81
Figure 5.7: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the male samples....82
Figure 5.8: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the upper limb joints of the combined sex samples....84

Figure 5.9: Osteoarthritis prevalences in the lower limb joints of the combined sex samples.....85
Figure 5.10: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences in the combined sex samples.....86
Figure 5.11: Overall prevalence of osteoarthritis.....88
Figure 5.12: Vertebral osteophytosis prevalences over all regions of the spine.....89
Figure 6.1: Khok Phanom Di fractures. (A) Right metatarsals from Burial 44, male, 18 years (plantar view). Fifth metatarsal has a healed fracture of the distal shaft, note associated bowing to fourth metatarsal. (B) Right metacarpals from Burial 142, female, 31 years (lateral view). Fourth metacarpal has a healed midshaft fracture. (C) Left metacarpals from Burial 91, male, 45 years (palmar view). The fifth metacarpal has a healed midshaft fracture.....103
Figure 6.2: Khok Phanom Di fractures. (A) Clavicles from Burial 61, female, 48 years (superior view). Left clavicle (top) has a healed midshaft fracture. (B) Clavicles from Burial 110, female, 36 years (inferior view). Left clavicle (top) has a healed midshaft fracture.....104
Figure 6.3: Nong Nor fractures. (A) Left forearm bones from Burial 6, female, 30-39 years (anterior view). Ulna has a healed fracture in distal third of shaft. (B) Left ulna from Burial 53, unknown sex, 30-39 years with healed midshaft fracture (anterior view).....104
Figure 6.4: Nong Nor fractures. Clavicles from Burial 47, male 30-39 years (posterior view). Right clavicle (left) has a healed midshaft fracture.....105
Figure 6.5: Nong Nor fractures. Left mandibular body from Burial 32, male, 20-29 years, with healed fracture in region of molars (lateral view).....105
Figure 6.6: Ban Lum Khao forearm fractures. (A) Right forearm bones from Burial 28, male, 35-39 years (anterior view). Ulna has a healed fracture in distal third of shaft. (B) Right forearm bones from Burial 65, male, 40+ years (anterior view). Radius has a healed Colles' fracture of distal shaft. (C) Right arm bones with from Burial 98, female, 35-39 years (anterior view). Ulna has a healed Monteggia fracture of proximal third of shaft.....106
Figure 6.7: Ban Lum Khao fractures. Right tibia and fibula from Burial 30, male, 30-34 years (anterior view). Fibula has a healed fracture in proximal third of shaft. N.B. These bones are covered with a calcareous deposit.....108
Figure 6.8: Ban Lum Khao fractures. Clavicles from Burial 64, female, 40-44 years (inferior view). Left clavicle (right) has a healed fracture in region of conoid tubercle. N.B. These bones are covered with a calcareous deposit.....108
Figure 6.9: Ban Na Di fracture. Right metatarsals from Burial M.35, male, 35-39 years (plantar view). Right third metatarsal has a healed midshaft fracture.....109
Figure 6.10: Ban Na Di fracture. Left femur with healed greenstick fracture of proximal shaft, from Burial M.17, male, 40-44 years. (A) anterior view (B) posterior view.....110
Figure 6.11: An example of spondylolysis in the fifth lumbar vertebra of Ban Lum Khao Burial 86, 40-44 year old male (superior view).....112
Figure 7.1: The percentage of teeth with advanced attrition in each sample, for each sex and in total.....121
Figure 7.2: Procedure for calculating the corrected caries rate (adapted from Lukacs 1995).....123
Figure 7.3: The corrected caries rate of each sample, for each sex and total.....125
Figure 7.4: The percentage of tooth positions with periapical cavities in each sample, for each sex and in total.....129
Figure 7.5: The percentage of tooth positions with antemortem tooth loss in each sample, for each sex and the total sample.....131
Figure D.1: Thai regression equations for estimating stature from long bone lengths.....163