

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1 Overview | 9 |
| 1.1 Background and Objectives of the Study | 9 |
| 1.2 Organization of the Dissertation | 11 |
| 2 An Introduction to Real Options | 13 |
| 2.1 The Basic Idea | 13 |
| 2.1.1 Why Flexibility Adds Value | 13 |
| 2.1.1.1 Considering Flexibility, Uncertainty, and Irreversibility | 13 |
| 2.1.1.2 The Effect of Managerial Flexibility | 15 |
| 2.1.2 Flexibility and Traditional Capital Budgeting Methods | 16 |
| 2.1.3 Towards a New Investment Paradigm | 18 |
| 2.1.3.1 Expanded Net Present Value | 18 |
| 2.1.3.2 Similarity to Financial Options | 19 |
| 2.1.3.3 Assumptions for Valuing Real Options as Financial Options | 23 |
| 2.2 Classification of Real Options | 25 |
| 2.2.1 The Management Perspective | 25 |
| 2.2.2 The Valuation Perspective | 29 |
| 2.2.2.1 Characteristics of the Input Parameters | 29 |
| 2.2.2.2 Limitations of the Option Analogy | 36 |
| 2.3 Discussion of the Real Options Approach | 41 |
| 2.3.1 When to Use Real Options | 41 |
| 2.3.2 Advantages of the Real Options Approach | 42 |
| 2.3.3 Drawbacks of the Real Options Approach | 44 |
| 2.3.3.1 Capital Market Assumptions | 44 |
| 2.3.3.2 Modeling and Valuation Process | 45 |
| 2.4 Conclusions | 46 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 3 Stochastic Control Framework for Real Options | 47 |
| 3.1 Real Option Interactions and Stochastic Control | 47 |
| 3.2 Impulse Control and Optimal Stopping | 52 |
| 3.2.1 General Idea | 52 |
| 3.2.2 Impulse Control | 53 |
| 3.2.2.1 Introduction | 53 |
| 3.2.2.2 Mathematical Idea and Considered Stochastic Processes | 54 |
| 3.2.3 Impulse Control Model for Valuing Real Options | 59 |
| 3.2.3.1 Problem Formulation | 59 |
| 3.2.3.2 A Verification Theorem | 63 |
| 3.2.3.3 Extensions and Interpretation | 69 |
| 3.2.4 Combined Impulse Control and Optimal Stopping | 70 |
| 3.2.4.1 Problem Formulation | 71 |
| 3.2.4.2 A Verification Theorem | 74 |
| 3.3 Valuing Real Options in a Stochastic Control Framework | 78 |
| 3.3.1 On the Equivalence of Stochastic Control and Contingent Claims Analysis | 79 |
| 3.3.1.1 Construction of a Hedging Portfolio and Derivation of a Fun- damental Pricing Equation | 79 |
| 3.3.1.2 Evaluation Using an Equivalent Martingale Measure | 86 |
| 3.3.2 The Contingency Structure of Option Interactions | 92 |
| 3.3.3 Example Timing and Intensity of Investment | 105 |
| 3.4 Extensions and Some New Types of Options | 115 |
| 3.4.1 Competitive Interaction | 116 |
| 3.4.1.1 Exogenous Competition | 116 |
| 3.4.1.2 Endogenous Competition | 127 |
| 3.4.2 Considering Time Delay Effects | 140 |
| 3.5 Flexibility in the Manufacturing Industry - An Example | 149 |
| 3.5.1 Real Options and Volume Flexibility | 150 |
| 3.5.2 The Model | 152 |
| 3.5.2.1 The Project Value with Fixed Capacity | 155 |
| 3.5.2.2 Timing and Intensity | 159 |
| 3.5.2.3 Flexible Capacity | 162 |
| 3.5.2.4 Timing, Intensity and Flexible Capacity | 166 |
| 3.5.3 Numerical Solution Techniques | 168 |
| 3.5.3.1 Finite Difference Methods | 170 |
| 3.5.3.2 General Numerical Solution Procedure | 175 |
| 3.5.4 Numerical Analysis | 176 |
| 3.5.5 Simulation Results | 191 |
| 3.6 Conclusions and Extensions | 210 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Asymmetric risk profile caused by managerial flexibility | 16 |
| 2.2 | Time value and intrinsic value of a European call option | 20 |
| 2.3 | Sources of firm value | 26 |
| 2.4 | Different sources of risk | 29 |
| 2.5 | Characteristics of the underlying | 31 |
| 2.6 | Characteristics of the volatility | 33 |
| 2.7 | Characteristics of dividends | 33 |
| 2.8 | Characteristics of the rate of return shortfall | 34 |
| 2.9 | Characteristics concerning the exercise price | 35 |
| 2.10 | Characterisctcs of the risk free interest rate | 35 |
| 2.11 | Topics concerning time to maturity | 36 |
| 2.12 | Different aspects of competition | 38 |
| 2.13 | Characteristics of time lags | 40 |
| 2.14 | When managerial flexibility is valuable | 42 |
| | | |
| 3.1 | Reversible and irreversible switching | 50 |
| 3.2 | Organization of the study | 51 |
| 3.3 | Characteristics of switches | 93 |
| 3.4 | Modeling element generalized switching option | 95 |
| 3.5 | Modeling element irreversible switching | 95 |
| 3.6 | Modeling element single state | 95 |
| 3.7 | Modeling element n parallel states | 95 |
| 3.8 | Modeling element infinite time horizon | 95 |
| 3.9 | Modeling element abandonment | 95 |
| 3.10 | Graphical representation of a European option | 96 |
| 3.11 | Graphical representation of an American option | 96 |
| 3.12 | Graphical representation of an American compound option | 97 |
| 3.13 | Graphical representation of a European compound option | 98 |
| 3.14 | Perpetual option to invest | 99 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.15 | Optimal entry and exit decision | 100 |
| 3.16 | Option interactions | 104 |
| 3.17 | Perpetual timing and intensity option | 107 |
| 3.18 | Comparison of option values for fixed and variable intensity | 109 |
| 3.19 | Percentage of added value by intensity option | 109 |
| 3.20 | Impact of changing price volatility σ on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 110 |
| 3.21 | Impact of changing marginal production cost c on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 111 |
| 3.22 | Impact of changing interest rate r on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 112 |
| 3.23 | Impact of changing rate of return shortfall δ on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 113 |
| 3.24 | Impact of changing output efficiency a on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 114 |
| 3.25 | Impact of unit cost of capacity m on $P^*(M^*)$, $P^*(1)$ and M^* | 115 |
| 3.26 | Modeling element exogenous competition | 118 |
| 3.27 | Graphical representation of the option to invest under competition | 118 |
| 3.28 | Value of the shared option to invest (model 1) | 120 |
| 3.29 | Value of the shared option to invest (model 2) | 121 |
| 3.30 | Impact of changing value erosion ratio g on V_1^* (model 1) | 122 |
| 3.31 | Impact of changing value erosion ratio g on V_2^* (model 2) | 122 |
| 3.32 | Impact of changing arrival rate λ on $V_1^*(g)$ (model 1) | 124 |
| 3.33 | Impact of changing arrival rate λ on $V_2^*(g)$ (model 2) | 124 |
| 3.34 | Impact of changing volatility σ on $V_1^*(g)$ (model 1) | 126 |
| 3.35 | Impact of changing volatility σ on $V_2^*(g)$ (model 2) | 126 |
| 3.36 | Entry and exit decision in monopoly with variable capacity Q_A | 129 |
| 3.37 | Entry and exit decision in duopoly with variable capacities Q_A and Q_B | 133 |
| 3.38 | Modeling element time lag as generalized switching option | 141 |
| 3.39 | Modeling element time lag as generalized timing option | 141 |
| 3.40 | Impact of volatility on trigger values with and without time lag, $E = 0$ | 146 |
| 3.41 | Impact of volatility on trigger values with and without time lag, $E = 1$ | 147 |
| 3.42 | Impact of increasing time lag h on trigger values, $E = 1$ and $\sigma^2 = 0.01$ | 148 |
| 3.43 | Graphical representation of the product life cycle model | 155 |
| 3.44 | Product life cycle model with timing and intensity option | 159 |
| 3.45 | Product life cycle model with flexible capacity | 163 |
| 3.46 | Product life cycle model with combined timing, intensity and flexible capacity | 167 |
| 3.47 | Optimal production rate Q^* for capacity levels $M = 1.0$ and $M = 1.5$ | 178 |
| 3.48 | Instantaneous annual cash flow f for optimally controlled production rates | 179 |
| 3.49 | Output price per unit produced for optimally controlled production rates | 179 |
| 3.50 | Static project value and optimal initial capacity | 181 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.51 Flexible capacity project value and optimal initial capacity | 182 |
| 3.52 Timing and intensity option for static project | 183 |
| 3.53 Timing and intensity option for flexible capacity project | 183 |
| 3.54 Demand threshold and optimal capacity for the static project | 184 |
| 3.55 Demand threshold and optimal capacity for the flexible capacity project . . . | 185 |
| 3.56 Sources of value from the timing and intensity option and the static project . | 186 |
| 3.57 Sources of value from the flexible capacity option and the static project . . . | 187 |
| 3.58 Sources of value from the timing and intensity option, the flexible capacity option and the static project | 188 |
| 3.59 Sensitivity analysis | 189 |
| 3.60 Simulated trajectory of the demand parameter level (static project) | 191 |
| 3.61 Optimal production rate trajectory and capacity level (static project) | 192 |
| 3.62 Simulated trajectory of the demand parameter level (timing and intensity) . . | 193 |
| 3.63 Optimal production rate trajectory and capacity level (timing and intensity) | 194 |
| 3.64 Comparison of cash flow trajectories (static project and timing and intensity) | 195 |
| 3.65 Simulated trajectory of the demand parameter level (flexible capacity project) | 196 |
| 3.66 Optimal production rate trajectory and capacity levels (flexible capacity project) | 197 |
| 3.67 Simulated trajectory of the demand parameter level (flexible capacity project and timing and intensity) | 198 |
| 3.68 Production rate trajectory and capacity levels (flexible capacity project and timing and intensity) | 199 |
| 3.69 Comparison of cash flow trajectories (flexible capacity project and timing and intensity) | 200 |
| 3.70 Simulated pdf of the four models | 201 |
| 3.71 Simulated cdf of the four models | 202 |
| 3.72 Simulated cdf of the four models with capacity restriction | 206 |
| 3.73 Simulated cdf of the four models with capacity restriction and abandonment | 208 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Comparison of the option analogy between a call option and the option to invest | 21 |
| 3.1 | Comparison of Stochastic Control and Contingent Claim Analysis | 91 |
| 3.2 | State description of Trigeorgis' option interaction model | 102 |
| 3.3 | Cash flow earned by firm i in each of the 4 possible states. | 133 |
| 3.4 | Firm values for each possible state of the option game | 134 |
| 3.5 | Qualitative results of strategies and firm values under competition | 138 |
| 3.6 | Summary of the variables' names in the growth regime | 168 |
| 3.7 | Base case parameter values | 177 |
| 3.8 | Project and option values for base case parameters | 180 |
| 3.9 | Comparison of simulation results for base case project values | 204 |
| 3.10 | Project values for models with capacity restriction | 205 |
| 3.11 | Project values for models with capacity restriction and abandonment | 205 |
| 3.12 | Project values for the different flexible capacity models | 205 |
| 3.13 | Simulation results for restricted capacity project values | 207 |
| 3.14 | Simulation results for restricted capacity project values with abandonment | 209 |