

# Contents

List of Figures	IX
List of Tables	X
Abbreviations	XI
<b><u>1. THESIS MOTIVATION AND OBJECTIVES</u></b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 Definitions</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1.1 Planning from a Business Perspective	2
1.1.2 Project Management	4
1.1.3 Planning from an AI Perspective	5
<b>1.2 Functions of Planning</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.3 Research Deficit</b>	<b>9</b>
1.3.1 AI in Operative Planning	9
1.3.2 AI in Project Management	11
1.3.3 AI Research	14
<b>1.4 Thesis Objectives and Methodology</b>	<b>16</b>
1.4.1 Questions posed by the Thesis	16
1.4.2 Contributions of the Thesis	18
1.4.3 Relevance for Business Research	20
<b><u>2. CONVENTIONAL OPERATIVE PLANNING</u></b>	<b>22</b>
<b>2.1 Conventional Project Management</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1.1 Methodology Overview	22
2.1.2 Deficiency in Project Management Methodology	26
<b>2.2 Conventional Planning Methodology</b>	<b>28</b>
2.2.1 Classification of Planning Methods	29
2.2.2 Overview of Quantitative Methods	30

2.2.3	Deficiency in Quantitative Methods	33
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Conventional Software</b>	<b>36</b>
2.3.1	Conventional Planning Languages	37
2.3.2	Domain specific OR-Scheduling Systems	39
2.3.3	Deficiency in Conventional Planning Software	41
2.3.4	Limitations of Conventional Project Management Software	42
<b>3.</b>	<b><u>TECHNOLOGY REVIEW AND EVALUATION</u></b>	<b>47</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>AI applied to Project Management</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1.1	Project Networks in AI Research	48
3.1.2	Expert Systems for PM	50
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Constraint satisfaction</b>	<b>54</b>
3.2.1	Introduction	54
3.2.2	Overview of Algorithms	55
3.2.3	Forward checking	58
3.2.3.1	Illustration employing n-Queens	58
3.2.3.2	Relation to Problem Structure	59
3.2.3.3	Refinement with Heuristics	60
3.2.3.4	Limitations in Suitability	62
3.2.4	Application to Scheduling	63
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Objects, Agency, and Distributed Planning</b>	<b>66</b>
3.3.1	Introduction	66
3.3.1.1	Definition	67
3.3.1.2	Rationales for and against Distributed AI	69
3.3.2	Application to Planning and Scheduling	73
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Relating Technology to Domain Characteristics</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b><u>CRITERIA FOR SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN</u></b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Reasons for Past Failure</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Characteristics of the Planning Problem</b>	<b>82</b>

4.2.1 Information Typology	82
4.2.2 Inherent Complexity and Limitations	83
4.2.3 Individual Perspectives and Interests	91
<b>4.3 AI Planning and Scheduling</b>	<b>92</b>
4.3.1 Plan and Schedule Quality	92
4.3.2 Knowledge Acquisition Bottleneck	98
4.3.3 Adaptability (Flexibility I)	100
4.3.4 Extensibility (Flexibility II)	102
4.3.5 Efficiency and Performance	104
4.3.6 Reactivity	106
4.3.7 Uncertainty Management	109
4.3.8 Learning	111
<b>4.4 Project Management Domain</b>	<b>112</b>
4.4.1 Functionality expected of conventional systems	112
4.4.2 Integrating conventional functionality	113
4.4.3 Guidelines for Conventional PM-Software	116
<b>4.5 Requirements for an "intelligent" assistant system</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>4.6 User Interface Criteria</b>	<b>122</b>
4.6.1 Criteria from business research	123
4.6.2 Planning and Scheduling Operations	124
<b>4.7 Work-Group Support</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>4.8 Miscellaneous Engineering Criteria</b>	<b>128</b>
<b><u>5. PLANTALK</u></b>	<b><u>130</u></b>
5.1 Proposal Objectives	130
5.2 The Architecture	131
5.2.1 Redefining the Role and Components of Project Management Software	131
5.2.2 Consequences derived from Design Criteria	133
5.2.3 Architecture Overview	134
5.2.4 Defined Objects	136

5.2.5 Integrating conventional functionality	138
5.2.6 User Interface	139
5.2.7 Potential for Extension and Adaptation	140
<b>5.3 Using PLANTALK - An Example</b>	<b>144</b>
5.3.1 The Software Project Domain	144
5.3.2 Adapting PLANTALK for the Domain	145
5.3.3 A Sample Planning Session	145
5.3.4 Rescheduling and Resource Management	149
<b>5.4 Evaluation</b>	<b>152</b>
5.4.1 Summary of Functional Advantages	154
5.4.2 Current Limitations	155
5.4.3 Suitability of the Approach	156
5.4.4 Recommended Extensions	158
5.4.5 Position in Current Research	158
<b><u>6. IMPLICATIONS FOR BUSINESS</u></b>	<b><u>161</u></b>
<b>6.1 Impact on the Task</b>	<b>161</b>
6.1.1 Progressive Structuring	161
6.1.2 Impact on the Scope of Automation	163
<b>6.2 Impact on Information Handling and Behavior</b>	<b>166</b>
6.2.1 Impact on Individual Planners	167
6.2.1.1 Bias and Human Error	167
6.2.1.2 The Planning Process	170
6.2.1.3 Workload and Stress	173
6.2.1.4 Qualification Requirements	173
6.2.1.5 Work-Satisfaction and Motivation	174
6.2.2 Potential Impact on the Group	177
6.2.2.1 KBPS and their Potential for Project-Groupware	178
6.2.2.2 Potential for Conflict Prevention	181
6.2.3 Potential Impact on the Organization	182
<b>6.3 Organizational Efficiency</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>6.4 Risks and Limitations</b>	<b>188</b>

<b>7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>GLOSSARY</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: OVERVIEW OF AI PLANNING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: AN OVERVIEW OF CLASSICAL AI PLANNING</b>	<b>219</b>
B.1 Architecture	219
B.2 Planning as Search	225
B.3 Abstraction and Hierarchy	234
B.4 Goal Ordering and Interaction	238
<b>APPENDIX C: ADVANCED AI PLANNING METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>240</b>
C.1 Representing Domain Knowledge	240
C.2 Temporal Reasoning	242
C.3 Uncertainty Management	245
C.3.1 Overview of Methods	246
C.3.2 Certainty Factors	250
C.3.3 Cohen's Theory of Endorsements	252
C.3.4 Fox's Semantic System	254
C.3.5 Integrating Decision Theory	256
C.3.6 Planning systems with uncertainty management	260
C.4 Learning	264
C.4.1 Value for Planning	264
C.4.2 Learning Macro-Operators	266
C.4.3 Explanation-based Learning	267
C.4.4 Case-based Learning	268
C.5 DAI and Object-oriented Systems	271

C.5.1 Issues in System Design	271
C.5.2 Solving Decomposition Problems	272
C.5.3 Distribution of Work among Agents	273
C.5.4 Coordination and Coherence	275
C.5.5 Interaction and Communication	277
C.6 Further Directions in AI Planning	278

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>280</b>
-------------------	------------

---

## List of Figures

1-1	Questions posed by the thesis	17
1-2	Thesis content by chapters	19
2-1	Example for an AON project network	24
3-1	Forward checking in n-queens	59
4-1	Summary of criteria for system architecture	129
5-1	Overview of PLANTALK architecture	135
5-2	PLANTALK objects	137
5-3	PLANTALK - Example for parameter dialog	146
5-4	PLANTALK - Example for query dialog	146
5-5	PLANTALK - Example task list with durations	147
5-6	PLANTALK - Processing after export	149
5-7	PLANTALK - Example for scheduling	150
5-8	PLANTALK - Example for rescheduling resources	151
5-9	PLANTALK - Position in current research	158
6-1	Task environment and problem representation	162
6-2	Impact on the scope of task automation	164
6-3	Human error based on Bias	169
6-4	Impact on individual planning as observed with CSI and PLANTALK	171
6-5	Parties and interests in the planning process	176
6-6	Form of organization and potential for KBPS	183
7-1	Business issues leading to PLANTALK	195
7-2	AI and technical issues leading to PLANTALK	196
B-1	The classical AI planning problem	220
B-2	Actions as state change in STRIPS - The blocks world example	221
B-3	An advanced STRIPS-like operator	224
B-4	Operation of a hierarchical, non-linear planner	236
C-1	The different interval relationships	244

## List of Tables

1-1	Opinions about expert systems in project management	12
2-1	Attempts to optimize project schedules in OR	26
2-2	Characteristics of conventional methodology	34
2-3	Characteristics of conventional planning software	41
2-4	Resource optimization in conventional PM	43
2-5	Requirement for soft-facts in PM	44
2-6	Characteristics of PM Software	45
3-1	Benefits of AI paradigms and techniques	77
4-1	Example for a natural language interface	125
5-1	Formative and summative evaluation	153