

# Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	ix
<i>List of Figures</i>	xiii
<i>Preface</i>	xv

1	Natural Hazards and Infrastructure	1
	Disasters' Toll on Infrastructure, 2	
	The Federal Policy Dilemma, 5	
	Federal Disaster Relief Policy, 5	
	The Cost Containment Issue, 9	
	Efficiency and Equity Issues, 16	
	The State and Local Policy Dilemma, 17	
	A Look Ahead, 19	

## **PART 1**

### **PUBLIC LOSSES UNDER THE MICROSCOPE**

2	Losses to the Public Sector in Natural Disasters	25
	Sources of Data, 26	
	The Magnitude of Losses, 29	
	Losses from Repetitive Events, 30	
	Types of Infrastructure Damaged, 32	
	Types of Governments with Losses, 35	
	How Losses Are Financed, 39	
	Inequities in Disaster Relief, 41	
	Inefficiencies in Disaster Relief, 45	
	Conclusions, 46	
	Notes, 48	
3	The Special Case of Earthquake Disasters	49
	The Federal and State Policy Context, 49	

The Whittier Narrows Case Study, 50	
Overview of Losses, 51	
Six Hard-Hit Governments, 54	
Conclusions, 58	

## **PART 2**

### **HOW TO CONTROL LOSSES**

<b>4</b>	<b>Financial Planning Strategies</b>	<b>63</b>
	Identifying Exposure to Risk, 64	
	Elimination of Risk, 65	
	Reduction of Risk, 65	
	Assumption of Risk, 66	
	Transfer of Risk, 68	
	Evaluating Risk Management Programs, 73	
	Using Risk Management Concepts to Cope with Disasters, 73	
	Conclusions, 77	
<b>5</b>	<b>Physical Planning Strategies</b>	<b>78</b>
	Comprehensive Planning, 78	
	Community Facility Planning, 81	
	Capital Improvement Programming, 83	
	Growth Management, 83	
	Conclusions, 87	
<b>6</b>	<b>Theory Versus Practice</b>	<b>89</b>
	Some Lessons from Previous Research, 90	
	Answering Questions About the State of Practice, 93	
	Many Governments Do Not Know the Risks They Face, 99	
	Many Governments Are Not Reducing Vulnerability to Loss, 99	
	Many Governments Are Not Purchasing Insurance or Setting Aside Contingency Funds, 108	
	Overall, Governments Are Not Prepared for Disasters, 113	
	Conclusions, 118	

<b>PART 3</b>		
<b>FOUR ISSUES IN SHARING ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS</b>		
7	The Technical Feasibility of Risk Analysis	129
	The Nature of Risk, 130	
	A General Approach to Risk Analysis, 132	
	Existing Methods, 141	
	Three Approaches to Risk Analysis, 144	
	Governments' Capacity to Analyze Risk, 151	
	Conclusions, 153	
8	Economic Considerations	154
	The Individual's Perspective on the Cost of Risk, 154	
	Policy Options from an Economic Perspective, 159	
	Local Impacts: An Illustration from California, 163	
	Toward Efficient Public Policy, 169	
	Notes, 171	
9	Local Decision Makers' Willingness to Share Risks	173
	The Decision to Purchase Flood and Earthquake Insurance, 173	
	Position in Local Government, 176	
	Judgments of Risk, 176	
	Cost Considerations, 181	
	External Requirements, 183	
	Situational and Organizational Factors, 185	
	Preferences for Alternative Ways of Sharing Risks, 190	
	Sources of Funds to Meet the Local Costs of Recovery, 190	
	Conclusions, 193	
10	Intergovernmental Considerations	196
	Characteristics of the Policy Arena, 196	
	Intergovernmental Issues, 200	

Political and Organizational Factors, 204	
Implications for Alternative Risk Management Approaches, 212	
Conclusions, 215	

## **PART 4**

### **POLICY FUTURES**

11	Future Directions for Public Policy	219
	The Need for Change, 219	
	Constraints on Policy Choices, 221	
	Policy Futures, 224	
	A Proposal for Reform of Federal Disaster Relief, 236	
	<i>Appendix A: Questionnaire for 1987 Survey of Risk Managers/Finance Directors</i>	241
	<i>Appendix B: Questionnaire for 1987 Survey of Public Works/Planning Directors</i>	249
	<i>Appendix C: Questionnaire for 1988 Survey on Natural Hazard Damages to Public Facilities</i>	255
	<i>References</i>	267

# Tables

1.1	Federal costs associated with presidentially declared disasters, January 1, 1980–December 31, 1985	3
2.1	Total damages to public facilities from presidentially declared natural disasters, 1980–1987, by state and territory	31
2.2	Governments experiencing repetitive losses in natural disasters, January 1, 1980–July 31, 1987	33
2.3	Losses to state and local governments in presidentially declared natural disasters, 1980–1987, by type of loss incurred	34
2.4	Estimated average annual losses to state and local governments from natural hazards	36
2.5	Distribution of losses in presidentially declared natural disasters, 1980–1987, by type of loss and type of government	37
2.6	Methods used by local governments to finance the reconstruction of public facilities damaged in presidentially declared natural disasters	39
2.7	Local officials' definition of disastrous loss and local governments' loss experience in terms of their self-defined definition of a disastrous loss	43
2.8	Per capita losses to cities and counties, by size and wealth of local jurisdictions where losses occurred, 1980–1987	44
3.1	Public sector losses in the Whittier Narrows earthquake, October 1, 1987	52
3.2	Types of losses local governments incurred in the Whittier Narrows earthquake	53
3.3	Losses experienced by six hard-hit jurisdictions in the Whittier Narrows earthquake of October 1, 1987	55

4.1	Group self insurance pools sponsored by state municipal leagues	71
5.1	Physical planning hazard loss reduction measures	85
6.1	List of variables and measures	94
6.2	Characteristics of communities studied	97
6.3	Acquisition of information and conduct of vulnerability analyses	100
6.4	Adoption of measures to reduce losses to existing facilities	101
6.5	Factors associated with adoption of measures to reduce losses to existing facilities	104
6.6	Adoption of measures to reduce losses to new facilities	106
6.7	Factors associated with adoption of measures to reduce losses to future facilities	109
6.8	Purchase of insurance/contingency funds budgeted for hazard damages	111
6.9	Overall disaster preparedness	114
6.10	Factors associated with overall preparedness	116
6A-1	Inventory of research findings on the determinants of local hazards policy	119
7.1	Hazard parameters	134
8.1	Federal disaster assistance versus eligible losses in selected California counties	165
8.2	Assessed value of private property, county assets, and property tax delinquency rate in selected California counties	166
8.3	Per capita estimates of county assets, private property, losses from natural hazards, and federal aid in selected California counties	167
9.1	Insurance purchase recommendations versus status as jurisdiction: aided, refused aid, or having no disaster	174
9.2	Insurance purchase recommendations by position in local government	177
9.3	Insurance purchase recommendation by judged odds of experiencing a disastrous loss to public property in any given year	178

9.4	Insurance purchase recommendation by judged odds of receiving federal disaster assistance for public property losses	179
9.5	Insurance purchase recommendation by rating of the adequacy of flood and earthquake hazards information available	180
9.6	Insurance purchase recommendation by rating of the cost of flood and earthquake insurance	182
9.7	Insurance purchase recommendation by rating of the cost of retrofitting structures to reduce losses	184
9.8	Insurance purchase recommendation by rating of the influence of insurance carriers on insurance purchase decisions	186
9.9	Insurance purchase recommendation by respondents' participation in organizational activities related to hazard management	188
9.10	Insurance purchase recommendation by community involvement in other risk management activities	189
9.11	Local officials' estimates of the feasibility of alternative risk sharing methods	191
9.12	Sources of local funding officials would use in the event of a natural disaster	192
11.1	Simulated disaster relief payments for losses to cities of 2,500 or more population in natural disasters, 1980-1987	238

# Figures

7.1	Hazard intensity distribution	135
7.2	Spatial variation in hazard intensity	136
7.3	Typical damage function	139
7.4	Loss distributions	140
7.5	Earthquake intensity by county	146
7.6	Zipcodes in San Francisco	148
7.7	Component level map of water system and floodplain	150
8.1	The cost of risk to a risk-adverse individual facing the possibility of losses to public infrastructure from natural hazards	155
8.2	Cumulative percentage of total infrastructure losses by per capita infrastructure losses	160