
Contents

Foreword	v
Introduction	1
A. Alternative sources of financing TVET (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	3
I. Who should pay for training?	3
II. Problems of financing public TVET	4
1. Why public financing?	4
2. Sources of public TVET funds	5
III. Driving forces in employer training	8
1. Voluntary training arrangements	8
2. Compulsory employer training	13
B. New schemes for financing public TVET	17
I. Solving management problems of financing (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	17
1. Strengthening the role of market forces	17
2. Corporatization of public TVET institutions	20
3. Employer-administered public training funds	21
4. Vertical collaboration in financing	23
5. Performance-based financing of TVET schools	24
6. Applicability of new schemes in developing countries	26
II. Case-studies	27
1. Market forces in publicly funded training in the United Kingdom (<i>J. Fairley</i>)	27
2. Collaborative financing of Community Colleges in the United States (<i>D. Herschbach</i>)	34
3. From public training agency to corporation in Sweden (<i>R. Noonan, C. Söderberg</i>)	38
4. New training arrangements in the United Kingdom (<i>J. Fairley</i>)	44
5. Decentralized public funding of vocational education in the United States (<i>D. Herschbach</i>)	49
6. Performance-based financing of training for disadvantaged groups (<i>D. Herschbach</i>)	54
C. Revenue-generating levy schemes	57
I. The Latin American model (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	57
1. Recent evolutions of the levy scheme in Brazil	57
2. Major strengths and weaknesses	59
II. The Japanese employment insurance scheme	62

III. Case-studies	63
1. Levy-based co-financing agreements in Brazil (<i>E. M. Leite</i>)	63
2. Employment insurance-based financing of TVET in Japan (<i>M. Hamada</i>)	68
D. Levy-exemption, levy-grant and reimbursement schemes	75
I. General definitions (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	75
II. Experience of utilization (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	76
1. Levy-exemption schemes	76
2. Levy-grant mechanisms	79
3. Training cost reimbursement schemes	81
III. Advantages and weaknesses of incentive schemes (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	82
IV. Case-studies	86
1. The French system (<i>D. Bas</i>)	86
2. Financing of training in the Republic of Korea (<i>K. Baek</i>)	89
3. The Skills Development Fund of Singapore	93
4. The Employment Security Fund in Sweden (<i>R. Noonan,</i> <i>C. Söderberg</i>)	98
5. Failure of training levies in the United Kingdom (<i>J. Fairley</i>)	100
6. The Industrial Training Fund in Nigeria (<i>B. C. Mbonu</i>)	106
7. Industrial Social Funds in the Netherlands (<i>N. Veeken</i>)	108
E. Income tax rebate training incentives	115
I. Lessons learned from limited experience (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	115
II. Case-studies	117
1. The failure of the Brazilian income tax rebate scheme (<i>E. M. Leite</i>)	117
2. Income tax rebate incentive in Chile (<i>O. Corvalán-Vásquez</i>)	120
F. Financing apprenticeship	125
I. Classical dual system (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	125
1. Sources of funds	125
2. Forthcoming changes in the cost structure	127
II. The United States alternative (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	129
III. Apprenticeship schemes in developing countries (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	129
1. Compulsory schemes in Pakistan and India	129
2. The experience of Sri Lanka	130
IV. Transferability of the German model (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	131
V. Case-studies	132
1. Financing the German dual system (<i>P. Thiele</i>)	132
2. Joint Apprenticeship Scheme in the United States (<i>D. Herschbach</i>)	137
3. Regulated apprenticeship in Pakistan and India (<i>M. Muneer</i>)	141
4. Financing apprenticeship in Sri Lanka (<i>L. Weeratinga</i>)	145
G. Evaluate your system of financing TVET (<i>V. Gasskov</i>)	149