

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	XV
List of Tables	XXI
Abbreviations	XXVII
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Motivation and Research Questions	4
1.2 Structure of the Dissertation	6
2 Inter-Organizational Cooperation and Supply Chain Management	9
2.1 Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	10
2.1.1 Definition of Cooperation	11
2.1.2 Definition of Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	14
2.1.3 Forms of Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	16
2.1.3.1 Redistributive and Reciprocal Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	17
2.1.3.2 X and Y Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	19
2.1.3.3 Rotering Matrix for Identifying the Type of Inter-Organizational Cooperation	21
2.2 Supply Chain Management	22
2.2.1 Supply Chain Management as a Field of Research and of Practical Endeavors	22
2.2.2 Defining Supply Chain Management.....	24
2.2.3 The Objectives of Supply Chain Management.....	28
2.2.4 Issues Related to Cooperation in the Context of Supply Chain Management.....	32
2.2.4.1 Co-opetition – A Concept Describing Simultaneous Cooperation and Competition.....	33
2.2.4.2 The Bullwhip Effect – A Frequent Problem in Supply Chains with Lower Degree of Cooperation	35
2.2.4.2.1 Demand Forecast Updating.....	36
2.2.4.2.2 Order Batching	37
2.2.4.2.3 Price Fluctuation	38
2.2.4.2.4 Rationing and Shortage Gaming.....	38
2.3 Logistics Planning as Object of Inter-Organizational Cooperation.....	39
2.3.1 Business Logistics – a Supply Chain Management Process	39
2.3.2 Logistics Planning as a Hierarchical Planning Problem.....	42

2.3.3	Inter-Organizational Logistics Planning in Supply Chains as a Hierarchical Planning Problem	46
2.3.4	Inter-Organizational Planning – The Approach of Wyner and Malone	47
3	Cooperation in Supply Chains and SCM Software Use in the European Automotive Industry	51
3.1	Cooperative Transportation in Supply Chains	51
3.1.1	An Exemplary Decision Category in Logistics: Transportation	51
3.1.2	Selected Cooperative Scenarios for Transportation	55
3.1.2.1	Cooperation Scenario I: Engaging in a Logistics Alliance	56
3.1.2.2	Cooperation scenario II: Supply Chain-Wide Container Management	60
3.1.2.3	Cooperation Scenario III: Selling Excess Transportation Capacity to Other Companies	60
3.1.2.4	Cooperation Scenario IV: Joint Ownership of Transportation Capacity	62
3.1.2.5	Cooperation Scenario V: Multi-Stop Shipping and Sequenced Loading	62
3.1.2.6	Cooperation Scenario VI: Merge-in-Transit and Sequenced Loading	64
3.1.2.7	Cooperation Scenario VII: Cross Docking and Sequenced Loading	67
3.1.2.8	Cooperation Scenario VIII: Sequenced Delivery	69
3.2	SCM Software as an Instrument for Cooperative Planning in Supply Chains – An Explorative Survey on the European Automotive Industry	71
3.2.1	Information Sharing as Premise for Cooperation in Supply Chains	71
3.2.2	Goals of the Survey	78
3.2.3	Research Design	79
3.2.4	Cooperation in the European Automotive Industry	80
3.2.4.1	Fields of Cooperation	81
3.2.4.2	Collaborative Planning	81
3.2.5	Supply Chain Management Software in the European Automotive Industry	84
3.2.5.1	The Status Quo of Using Supply Chain Management Software	84
3.2.5.2	Goals of Implementing Supply Chain Management Software	86
3.2.5.3	Evaluation of Benefits from Using Supply Chain Management Software	88
3.2.5.4	Supply Chain Management Software and Network Effects in the European Automotive Industry	90
3.2.6	Summary of Results	94
4	The Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine – A Case Study of Audi AG	97
4.1	Exposés of Companies in the Supply Chain of the V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	98
4.1.1	Audi AG	98
4.1.1.1	General Overview of Audi AG	98
4.1.1.2	Supply Chain Related Overview of Audi AG	101
4.1.2	Audi Hungaria Motor Kft.	104
4.1.3	TCG Unitech Systemtechnik	104
4.1.4	Gustav Wahler GmbH u. Co. KG	105
4.2	Description and Analysis of the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	105
4.2.1	Description of the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	106
4.2.2	Analysis of Inventory Levels in the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	114
4.2.3	Analysis of Orders Placed by the Companies in the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	121
4.3	Evaluation of the Audi AG Supply Chain	129

4.3.1	The Bullwhip Effect in the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	129
4.3.2	Evaluation of the Cooperation Scenarios for Transportation in the Supply Chain of the Audi A8 V8 4.0l Diesel Engine.....	135
4.3.2.1	Cooperation Scenario I: Engaging in a Logistics Alliance	135
4.3.2.2	Cooperation Scenario II: Supply Chain-wide Container Management.....	138
4.3.2.3	Cooperation Scenario III: Selling Excess Transportation Capacity to Other Companies.....	139
4.3.2.4	Cooperation Scenario IV: Joint Ownership of Transportation Capacity	140
4.3.2.5	Cooperation Scenario V: Multi-Stop Shipping and Sequenced Loading.....	140
4.3.2.6	Cooperation Scenario VI: Merge-in-Transit and Sequenced Loading.....	141
4.3.2.7	Cooperation Scenario VII: Cross Docking and Sequenced Loading	141
4.3.2.8	Cooperation scenario VIII: Sequenced delivery.....	142
4.3.3	Evaluation of the Implementation of Supply Chain Monitoring in the Audi AG Supply Chain: Real-time Exchange of Information on Capacity, Inventory, and Demand.....	143
4.4	Summary of Results	152
5	SCOptimizer – A Prototype for Quantifying Benefits of Cooperative Planning in Supply Chains	159
5.1	Prototypical Implementation.....	161
5.1.1	The SCOptimizer Architecture	161
5.1.2	Prototypical Implementation of the Evaluation of Cooperative Distribution Planning with the SCOptimizer.....	169
5.1.2.1	Planning Background	169
5.1.2.2	Description from the Planner's Point of View	174
5.1.3	Prototypical Implementation of the Evaluation of the Bullwhip Effect	190
5.1.3.1	Planning Background	190
5.1.3.2	Description from the Planner's Point of View	198
5.2	Computational Study on Cooperative Distribution – An Exemplary Evaluation of Cooperative Planning Using the SCOptimizer.....	222
5.2.1	Approach of the Computational Study.....	223
5.2.2	Selected Results of the Computational Study.....	228
5.2.2.1	Total Costs.....	229
5.2.2.2	Vehicle Costs	236
5.2.2.3	Distance Costs	238
5.2.2.4	Capacities.....	240
5.2.2.5	Relative Results	244
5.2.3	Summary of Results	248
6	Summary and Conclusions	253
6.1	Summary of the Findings and Implications.....	254
6.2	Outlook and Further Research	257
	References	261

List of Figures

Figure 1: Delimitation of the Coordination Forms Market-Cooperation-Hierarchy	12
Figure 2: Redistributive Cooperation	18
Figure 3: Reciprocal Cooperation	19
Figure 4: Y Cooperation.....	20
Figure 5: X Cooperation.....	21
Figure 6: Rotering Matrix for Classifying Inter-Organizational Cooperation	21
Figure 7: Levels of Supply Chain Complexity.....	27
Figure 8: Increasing Demand Order Variability Upstream the Supply Chain.....	35
Figure 9: Logistics Planning Activities	41
Figure 10: Examples of Hierarchical Situations	43
Figure 11: Structure of a Hierarchical Planning System.....	43
Figure 12: Hierarchies in Distributed Planning.....	45
Figure 13: Hierarchical Structure of Logistics Planning in Supply Chains.....	47
Figure 14: Forms of Inter-Organizational Planning.....	48
Figure 15: Multi-Stop Shipping and Sequenced Loading	64
Figure 16: Delivery to the Manufacturer with and without Merge-in-Transit.....	65
Figure 17: Comparison of Merge-in-Transit and Cross Docking	68
Figure 18: Bilateral Information Exchange in Supply Chains.....	72
Figure 19: The Supply Chain-Wide Exchange of Information.....	72

Figure 20: Screenshot from ICON-SCC.....	75
Figure 21: Complementary Use of ERP and SCM Solutions	79
Figure 22: Is Your Company Taking Part in Multiple Supply Chains?.....	81
Figure 23: Degree of Collaboration in Planning Processes.....	82
Figure 24: Does Your Company Have a SCM Software Solution?.....	84
Figure 25: Why Does Your Company not Use SCM Software?.....	85
Figure 26: Persecuted Goals – Reductions	86
Figure 27: Persecuted Goals – Improvements	87
Figure 28: Evaluation of Supply Chain Management Software – I.....	88
Figure 29: Evaluation of Supply Chain Management Software – II.....	89
Figure 30: Selection Criteria for SCM Software Solutions	92
Figure 31: Worldwide Locations of Audi Group.....	99
Figure 32: Transportation between Audi in Győr and Ingolstadt/Neckarsulm as well as Other Plants.....	104
Figure 33: The Supply Chain of the V8 4.0l Diesel Engine	107
Figure 34: Backlogs Quoted in Audi Neckarsulm’s Supply Call-offs to Audi Hungaria between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003.....	113
Figure 35: Wahler’s Inventory Level of Thermostats between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003	115
Figure 36: TCG Systemtechnik's Inventory Level of Thermostats between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003.....	116
Figure 37: TCG Systemtechnik’s Inventory Level of Water Pumps between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003	117
Figure 38: Audi Neckarsulm’s Inventory Level of V8 4.0l Diesel Engines between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003	119
Figure 39: Ratio of Audi Neckarsulm’s Demand in a Particular Week in the Final Supply Call-off, and Demand in the Same Week in the Supply Call-off Four Weeks Earlier (Calculated between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003).....	126

Figure 40: Demand Order Variability in the Supply Chain for the V8 4.0l Diesel Engine.....	130
Figure 41: The Architecture of the SCOptimizer	162
Figure 42: Excerpt from an XML Description for a Solver Class	163
Figure 43: XML Registry for at Runtime Available Distribution Planning Methods.....	164
Figure 44: Excerpt from the XML Registry of Available Actors for Modeling.....	164
Figure 45: Excerpt from the Description File for Warehouses	166
Figure 46: Excerpt from the XML Description of a Desk Session	167
Figure 47: Excerpt from a Results File for Centralized Distribution Planning.....	168
Figure 48: Non-Cooperative Transportation Planning.....	171
Figure 49: Centralized Cooperative Transportation Planning	171
Figure 50: Modeling Mask of the SCOptimizer.....	175
Figure 51: Selecting a Planning Method for the Vehicle Routing in the Distribution Planning.....	176
Figure 52: Mask for Selecting Cooperation Degree and Cooperating Nodes	177
Figure 53: Input Mask for the Savings Method of Clarke and Wright with Point Graph.....	178
Figure 54: Tabular Display of Results for the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Planning.....	181
Figure 55: Graphic Display of Results for the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Planning.....	182
Figure 56: Tabular Display of Planning Results for the Decentralized, Cooperative Planning.....	183
Figure 57: Graphic Display of Results for the Decentralized, Cooperative Planning.....	184
Figure 58: Tabular Display of Results for the Centralized, Cooperative Planning.....	185
Figure 59: Graphical Display of Results for the Centralized, Cooperative Planning.....	186
Figure 60: Exemplary Model for the Evaluation of the Bullwhip Effect.....	200
Figure 61: Selecting a Planning Method for the Evaluation of the Bullwhip Effect.....	200

Figure 62: Excerpt from the Description File for the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation	201
Figure 63: Option Dialog with Required Input from the Planner	202
Figure 64: Input Mask for Selecting the Degree of Cooperation.....	202
Figure 65: Input Mask for Determining the Characteristics of Historic Demand Data.....	203
Figure 66: Input Mask for Selecting the Corresponding Bill of Materials	203
Figure 67: Bill of Materials for a Single-Path Supply Chain.....	204
Figure 68: Excerpt from the Description File for the Input Parameters for the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	205
Figure 69: Input Mask for Actor-Dependent Parameters for the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	205
Figure 70: Planner's View of the Input Table for Costs Involved in the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	206
Figure 71: Graphic Display of Results of the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation for the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario	208
Figure 72: Tabular Display of Results of the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation for the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario	209
Figure 73: Tabular View of Total Order Amounts in the Decentralized, Non- Cooperative Scenario.....	210
Figure 74: Tabular View of Inventory Costs per Period in the Decentralized, Non- Cooperative Scenario.....	211
Figure 75: Tabular View of Total Inventory Costs in the Decentralized, Non- Cooperative Scenario.....	212
Figure 76: Graphic Display of Inventory Levels in the Decentralized, Non- Cooperative Scenario.....	213
Figure 77: Graphic Display of Results of the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation for the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario	215
Figure 78: Graphic Display of Inventory Levels in the Decentralized, Cooperative Scenario.....	216

Figure 79: Graphic Display of Results of the Bullwhip Effect Evaluation for the Centralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario.....	218
Figure 80: Graphic Display of Inventory Levels in the Centralized, Cooperative Scenario.....	219
Figure 81: Steps of the Computational Study.....	223
Figure 82: Overview of the Experiment Design of the Computational Study.....	225
Figure 83: Total Supply Chain Costs	230
Figure 84: Results of the Centralized, Cooperative Experiments in Terms of Total Supply Chain Costs.....	230
Figure 85: Results of the Decentralized, Cooperative Experiments in Terms of Total Supply Chain Costs	231
Figure 86: Results of the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Experiments in Terms of Total Supply Chain Costs	231

List of Tables

Table 1: Streams of Research Contributing to the Field of Supply Chain Management	24
Table 2: Sample Definitions for “Supply Chain”	24
Table 3: Sample Definitions for “Supply Chain Management”	25
Table 4: Four Main Uses of the Term “Supply Chain”	26
Table 5: Objectives of Supply Chain Management.....	29
Table 6: Commonly Used Models of Organizational Effectiveness	31
Table 7: Decision Categories in Logistics	40
Table 8: Examples of Services Offered by Logistics Service Providers	57
Table 9: Examples of Cooperation between Manufacturers and LSPs	58
Table 10: Examples of the Implementation of Merge-in-Transit.....	66
Table 11: Examples of the Implementation of Cross Docking.....	69
Table 12: Examples of Extensive Information Exchange between Companies	77
Table 13: Dependencies ERP-Supply Chain Management Software Solution	93
Table 14: Key Figures of Audi Group for 2003 and 2004.....	99
Table 15: Production of Vehicles for Audi Group in 2003 and 2004.....	100
Table 16: Audi AG’s Position in Major Markets.....	101
Table 17: Summary of Key Inventory Figures	121

Table 18: Orders of Audi Neckarsulm, Audi Hungaria, and TCG Systemtechnik between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003 (Weekly Amounts).....	124
Table 19: Quantification of the Bullwhip Effect in the Engine Supply Chain between Week 10 and Week 26 of 2003.....	130
Table 20: Summary of Evaluation of Cooperation Scenarios.....	155
Table 21: Lead Times between Members of the Engine Supply Chain.....	156
Table 22: Vehicle Parameters.....	179
Table 23: Further Input Parameters.....	179
Table 24: Distance between Nodes in the Plane.....	180
Table 25: Summary of Supply Chain Results of the Exemplary Evaluation of Distribution Planning.....	187
Table 26: Individual Performance of Cooperating Partners.....	188
Table 27: End Customer's Demand per Period.....	206
Table 28: Order Amounts of Each Actor for Each Period in the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario.....	211
Table 29: Results of the Decentralized, Non-Cooperative Scenario of the Exemplary Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	213
Table 30: Order Amounts of Each Actor for Each Period in the Decentralized, Cooperative Scenario.....	215
Table 31: Results of the Decentralized, Cooperative Scenario of the Exemplary Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	217
Table 32: Order Amounts of Each Actor for Each Period in the Centralized, Cooperative Scenario.....	218
Table 33: Results of the Centralized, Cooperative Scenario of the Exemplary Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	220
Table 34: Results of the Exemplary Bullwhip Effect Evaluation.....	221
Table 35: Key Scenario Parameters for the Creation of Experiments.....	224
Table 36: Vehicle Transfer Costs between DCs (Fixed plus Variable Costs).....	224

Table 37: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 0.5.....	232
Table 38: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.0.....	232
Table 39: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.5.....	232
Table 40: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) in a Centralized Cooperation	233
Table 41: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) in a Decentralized Cooperation.....	233
Table 42: Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with No Cooperation	234
Table 43: Individual Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 0.5.....	234
Table 44: Individual Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.0.....	235
Table 45: Individual Performance of Experiments (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.5.....	235
Table 46: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Vehicle Costs (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 0.5	237
Table 47: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Vehicle Costs (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.0	237
Table 48: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Vehicle Costs (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.5	237
Table 49: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Distance-Dependent Variable Costs for all Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio.....	238
Table 50: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Tours for All Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio.....	239
Table 51: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Distance per Tour for All Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio	239
Table 52: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Retailers per Tour for All Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio	240

Table 53: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Vehicle Capacity Utilization (in Percent) for All Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio	241
Table 54: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Unused Internal Vehicles with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 0.5	241
Table 55: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Unused Internal Vehicles with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.0	242
Table 56: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Unused Internal Vehicles with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.5	242
Table 57: Performance of Experiments in Terms of LSP Vehicles Retrieved with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 0.5	243
Table 58: Performance of Experiments in Terms of LSP Vehicles Retrieved with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.0	243
Table 59: Performance of Experiments in Terms of LSP Vehicles Retrieved with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.5	243
Table 60: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Customer (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 0.5.....	245
Table 61: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Customer (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.0.....	245
Table 62: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Customer (in Percentage) with an External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio of 1.5.....	246
Table 63: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Distance per Retailer for All Settings of External/Internal Vehicle Price Ratio	246
Table 64: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Tour with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 0.5	247
Table 65: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Tour with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.0	247
Table 66: Performance of Experiments in Terms of Total Costs per Tour with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.5	247

Table 67: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Potential Revenue Units with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 0.5.....	248
Table 68: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Potential Revenue Units with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.0.....	249
Table 69: Individual Performance of Experiments in Terms of Potential Revenue Units with a Retailer Demand/Transportation Capacity Ratio of 1.5.....	249