Contents_____

List of Figures	1X
List of Tables	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
One Introduction	1
PART I: FRAMES ON EUROPEAN INTEGRATION AND THE EUROPEAN UNION IN THE UNITED KINGDOM, GERMANY, AND SPAIN	19
Two Ways of Seeing European Integration	21
Three Good Reasons for Attitudes toward European Integration	65
Four Journalists and European Integration	106
PART II: NATIONAL CULTURES AND FRAMES ON EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	157
Five Spain: Europe as a Mirror with Two Reflections	159
Six West Germany: Between Self-Doubt and Pragmatism	179
Seven East Germany: A Different Past, a Different Memory	200
Eight The United Kingdom: Reluctant Europeans	214
Nine Frames and Attitudes toward European Integration: A Statistical Validation	236
Ten Conclusions	249

ix

VIII CONTENTS

Appendix 1 Selection and Distribution of Respondents, and the Interviewing Process	263
Appendix 2 Newspaper Selection, Sampling, and Coding Procedures for Editorials and Opinion Pieces	267
Appendix 3 Frames on European Integration: A Discriminant Analysis, by City	270
Appendix 4 Sources for Part II: Novels, History Textbooks, and Head of State Addresses	27]
Notes	277
References	299
Index	315

List of Figures _____

1.1	Trends in net support for membership in the European Union in the largest member states	9
2.1	Group centroids for first and second canonical discriminant functions, based on respondents' frames on European integration and the European Union	57
3.1	Four models of European integration	71
4.1	"Self-Determinists" and "Continentalists" in British history	137
9.1	Average empirical Bayes residuals by country, obtained after estimating hierarchical linear model in table 12	244

List of Tables_____

1.	Net Support for Membership in the European Union in 2000	10
2.	Themes Mentioned to Justify Positive or Negative Comments about European Integration or the European Union	27
3.	Main Characterizations of Own Country and People	59
4.	Main Historical Events Mentioned during Interviews	60
5.	Themes Mentioned to Justify Attitudes toward European Integration or Membership in the European Union	68
6.	Themes Mentioned to Justify Attitudes by Country and Preferred Model of Integration	102
7.	Mean Number of Descriptive or Evaluative Comments in Spanish, British, and German Op-Ed Articles, 1946–97	108
8.	Ratios of Negative to Positive Descriptive or Evaluative Comments in Spanish, British, and German Op-Ed Articles, 1946–97	109
9.	Descriptive or Evaluative Comments	112
10.	Positive and Negative Descriptive or Evaluative Comments in Spanish, British, and German Op-Ed Articles, 1946–97	114
11.	The Effects of Fearing that the EU Will Harm National Identity and the Degree of Closeness to European Union on Support of Membership in the EU and Preference for an Integrative Model	238
12	The Effects of Economic, Social, and Other Variables on Preference for an Integrative Model	243
13	Distribution of Field Interviews to Ordinary Citizens	264
14	Integration: A Discriminant Analysis	270