TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments 7

1. Description of the project 15
   1.1. Basic methodological problems 15
      1.1.1. Why does one work with a set of data? 15
      1.1.2. Selection of data 19
         1.1.2.1. Selection of the experimental subjects 19
         1.1.2.2. Frequency of data collection 20
         1.1.2.3. Method of data collection 20
   1.2. Research conducted in this study 22
      1.2.1. Details concerning the experimental subjects 23
      1.2.2. Details concerning the frequency and methodology of data collection 25

2. A critical examination of existing linguistic models 27
   2.1. Definite models appearing after 1958: the pivot-open grammar . . . 29
      2.1.1. The pivot-open model 29
      2.1.2. Application of the pivot-open model to data acquired in this study 32
      2.1.3. A critical evaluation of the pivot-open model 33
   2.2. Definite models appearing after 1958: the generative transformational model 37
      2.2.1. The study of Lois Bloom: a generative transformational model 38
      2.2.2. Application of the T/G model to data acquired in this study . 39
      2.2.3. A critical evaluation of the T/G model 43

3. The proposal of a semantic relations model 49
   3.1. Theoretical bases 49
      3.1.1. Communicative aspects of the two-word sentences 49
      3.1.2. Contextual determination of the two-word sentences 51
      3.1.3. Assignment of a syntax to the two-word sentences 53
   3.2. Methodology 55

4. The application of a semantic relations model 58
4.1. The grammar of Gijs 58
4.1.1. Relations between two nouns 58
4.1.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 58
4.1.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 59
4.1.2. Noun-verb relations 62
4.1.3. Qualification relations 65
4.1.3.1. The differentiated qualification 69
4.1.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 70
4.1.3.3. Is + qualifier 70
4.1.4. Summary 71
4.1.5. Exceptions 71
4.2. The grammar of Maria 72
4.2.1. Relations between two nouns 72
4.2.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 72
4.2.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 73
4.2.2. Noun-verb relations 74
4.2.2.1. The subject-verb relation 74
4.2.2.2. The object-verb relation 76
4.2.3. Qualification relations 78
4.2.3.1. The differentiated qualification: negation and affirmation 81
4.2.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 82
4.2.3.3. A comparison between the undifferentiated qualification and the undifferentiated noun-verb relation 82
4.2.4. Summary 82
4.2.5. Exceptions 82
4.3. The grammar of Diederik 83
4.3.1. Relations between two nouns 83
4.3.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 83
4.3.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 85
4.3.2. Noun-verb relations 86
4.3.2.1. The subject-verb relation 86
4.3.2.2. The object-verb relation 89
4.3.3. Qualification relations 91
4.3.3.1. The differentiated qualification 94
4.3.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 95
4.3.3.3. A comparison between the undifferentiated qualification and the undifferentiated noun-verb relation 95
4.3.4. Summary 96
4.3.5. Exceptions 96
4.4. The grammar of Joost 97

4.4.1. Relations between two nouns 97
4.4.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 97
4.4.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 99
4.4.2. Noun-verb relations 99
4.4.2.1. The subject-verb relation 99
4.4.2.2. The object-verb relation 100
4.4.3. Qualification relations 101
4.4.3.1. The differentiated qualification 105
4.4.3.1.1. The deixis in the differentiated qualification . 105
4.4.3.1.2. Negation and affirmation in the differentiated qualification 105
4.4.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 106
4.4.4. Summary 106
4.4.5. Exceptions 107
4.5. The grammar of Arnold 107
4.5.1. Relations between two nouns 107
4.5.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 107
4.5.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 108
4.5.2. Noun-verb relations 110
4.5.2.1. The subject-verb relation 110
4.5.2.2. The object-verb relation 111
4.5.3. Qualification relations 112
4.5.3.1. The differentiated qualification 115
4.5.3.1.1. The deixis in the differentiated qualification . 115
4.5.3.1.2. Negation and affirmation in the differentiated qualification 116
4.5.3.1.3. The adverb of place in the differentiated qualification 117
4.5.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 117
4.5.3.3. A comparison between the undifferentiated qualification and the undifferentiated noun-verb relation 118
4.5.4. Summary 118
4.5.5. Exceptions 119
4.6. The grammar of Katelijn 119
4.6.1. Relations between two nouns 119
4.6.1.1. Fixed allocation between two nouns 119
4.6.1.2. Coincidental relations between two nouns 120
4.6.2. Noun-verb relations 121
4.6.2.1. The subject-verb relation 121
4.6.2.2. The object-verb relation 122
4.6.3. Qualification relations 123
4.6.3.1. The differentiated qualification 128

4.6.3.1.1. The deixis in the differentiated qualification . 128
4.6.3.1.2. Negation and affirmation in the differentiated qualification 129
4.6.3.2. The undifferentiated qualification 129
4.6.4. Summary 130
4.6.5. Exceptions 130

5. A comparison of the six preceding grammars 132
5.1. Introduction 132
5.1.1. The fundamental problem of word order 132
5.1.2. The fundamental problem of errors 133
5.2. The noun-noun relations in the grammar of the two-word sentence . 135
5.2.1. The relation of fixed allocation between two nouns 135
5.2.1.1. The reasons for a fixed word order within the relation of fixed allocation 138
5.2.1.2. The evolution of the relation of fixed allocation . . . 139
5.2.2. The relation of coincidence between two nouns 140
5.2.2.1. The reasons for a fixed word order within the relation of coincidence 142
5.2.2.2. The evolution of the relation of coincidence 144
5.2.2.2.1. The development of the lexicon 144
5.2.2.2.2. The development of the semantic content . 145
5.2.2.3. Summary 146
5.2.3. Conclusion with respect to the noun-noun relations 147
5.2.3.1. The explanation for the different developmental levels . 148
5.2.3.2. The word-order within the noun-noun relations . . . 150
5.2.3.3. The advanced stage and the universality of the noun-noun relations 151
5.3 The noun-verb relations in the grammar of the two-word sentence . . 152
5.3.1. The predicative and the genesis of the word classes 152
5.3.2. The differentiated noun-verb relations 155
5.3.2.1. Hypothesis 1 156
5.3.2.2. Hypothesis 2 158
5.3.3. Conclusion on the nature of the noun-verb relations 161
5.3.3.1. The development of the predicative into the different noun-verb relations 161
5.3.3.2. The developmental stage of the noun-verb relations compared to data found in other investigations . . . 162
5.4. The qualification relations in the grammar of the two-word sentence . 163
5.4.1. The undifferentiated qualification 164
5.4.2. The differentiated qualification 165
5.4.2.1. The deixis and its importance in the motivation of lan-

guage development 166
5.4.2.2. The negation/affirmation 170
5.4.2.3. The place relation 174
5.4.3. Conclusion with respect to the qualification relations 174
5.5. Sentences not explained by this scheme 176
5.5.1. The vocative 177
5.5.2. The construction "is + qualifier" in the speech of Gijs . . . 177
5.5.3. Utterances explicable by means of a diachronic analysis . . . 180
5.5.4. Stereotyped sentences and inexplicable utterances 181

6. Conclusions 182
6.1. The transition from two-word sentences to multiple-word sentences . 182
6.2. The grammar of the two-word sentence 184
6.3. The explanation of different developmental levels 188
6.3.1. The influence of the language model supplied by adults 189
6.3.2. Influence of sex 190
6.3.3. Influence of the development of intelligence 191
6.4. Characteristics and the universality of the two-word sentence 191

Bibliography 194

Index 197

Author Index. 198