

CONTENTS

	<u>page</u>
INTRODUCTION	5
PART ONE / MOECHTE	
I. PROBLEM: SUBJUNCTIVE OR INDICATIVE?	
Semantic Category One: <u>möchte</u> expressing a present time wish, usually without any discernible subjunctive flavor	11
A. <u>möchte</u> followed by an object dass-clause	12
B. 1. <u>möchte</u> followed by a simple infinitive. Present time wish usually looking into the future	15
2. Suppressed infinitives	16
3. Present time wish as a polite circumlocution for action already going on	19
4. Transitional cases between B. 1. - 2. - 3.	24
C. <u>möchte</u> followed by compound infinitives	25
D. The past tense of <u>möchte</u> as discussed here is <u>wollte</u>	30
E. Subjunctive flavor transferred from surrounding verb forms	32
II. PROBLEM: OBJECTIVE OR SUBJECTIVE?	
The subjective usages of <u>möchte</u> with a distinct subjunctive flavor.	33
A. <u>möchte</u> meaning possibility	34
B. <u>möchte</u> meaning a hopeful wish	38
C. <u>möchte</u> as a substitute for the Imperative in Indirect Discourse	40
D. <u>möchte</u> in Indirect Discourse, but not as a substitute for the Imperative	42
PART TWO / MAG/MOCHTE	
I. PROBLEM: MOMENTARY WISH OR PERMANENT LIKE?	
Semantic Category One: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing a momentary wish	47
A. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by no dependent verbal construction	48
B. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a simple infinitive	50
C. <u>hatte...mögen</u> followed by a simple infinitive	53
Semantic Category Two: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing a permanent like or dislike.	55
A. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by no dependent verbal construction	57
B. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a simple infinitive	59
C. <u>hatte...gemocht</u> followed by no dependent verbal construction	63

II. PROBLEM: POSSIBILITY OR CONCESSION?	
Semantic Category Three: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing the idea of possibility.	69
A. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a simple infinitive	70
B. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a compound infinitive	74
Semantic Category Four: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing the idea of concession.	78
A. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a simple infinitive	81
B. <u>mag/mochte</u> followed by a compound infinitive	84
C. <u>mag/mochte</u> in transition from possibility to concession	86
Semantic Category Five: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing permission	90
Semantic Category Six: <u>mag/mochte</u> expressing hope, almost order	92
PART THREE / MOEGE/HAETTE...MOEGEN	
I. PROBLEM: THE NATURE OF THE GERMAN SUBJUNCTIVE	97
A. <u>möge</u> expressing a hopeful wish	98
B. <u>möge</u> as a substitute for the Imperative in Indirect Discourse	99
C. <u>möge</u> expressing possibility	101
D. <u>möge</u> expressing concession	101
E. <u>möge</u> expressing permission	105
II. PROBLEM: HAETTE...WEINEN MOEGEN VERSUS HAETTE AM LIEBSTEN GEWEINT	
A. <u>hätte...mögen</u> expressing a wish	109
B. <u>hätte...mögen</u> expressing possibility	110
C. The use of <u>gern</u> , <u>lieber</u> , and am <u>liebsten</u> with forms of mögen	111
CONCLUSION	112
BIBLIOGRAPHY	115

TABLES

PART ONE

Table I.	Dependent Infinitives involved in Polite Circumlocution	22
Table II.	<u>Möchte</u> . Present time wish and Polite Circumlocution	29
Table III.	Sources of <u>möchte</u> meaning possibility	36
Table IV.	<u>Möchte</u> meaning possibility	37
Table V.	<u>Möchte</u> as a hopeful wish	40
Table VI.	Semantic Categories	44
Table VII.	Cumulative	44

PART TWO

Table I.	<u>Mag/mochte</u> . Expressing a momentary wish	55
Table II.	<u>Mag/mochte</u> . Expressing a permanent disposition	66
Table III.	Constructions which contain <u>mag/mochte</u> -concessiveness	79
Table IV.	<u>Mag/mochte</u> . Expressing possibility and concessiveness	88
Table V.	Comparison: Subject Distribution: <u>möchte</u> -present time wish and <u>mag/mochte</u> -possibility	89
Table VI.	Cumulative	95

PART THREE

Table I.	Cumulative	112
----------	------------	-----