

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	xi
INTRODUCTION:	
1. The aim of this work is to discuss ontological and epistemological issues.	1
2. It will avoid assuming extensive knowledge of mathematics or logic.	1
3. Concerning the ontological and epistemological issues and of the present approach in supporting these views.	2
4. Statements of mathematical theories carry ontological implications, for example, the axioms of real numbers.	3
5. Numbers are unobservable, neither physical nor mental and universals, i.e., numbers are queer entities.	5
6. Consideration of the substitutional interpretation as a way of accepting mathematical truths without queer entities.	6
PART I: EXISTENCE IN MATHEMATICS	
CHAPTER ONE: IF-THENISM	
7. Mathematical statements are alleged to be conditional. There are two versions of this view.	11
8. But mathematical statements are not material implications.	11
9. A second version of if-thenism is the view that mathematical statements are logical implications. This view is open to two sorts of criticisms. We may ask whether acceptance of logical truths involves making ontological commitments.	12

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 10. We may also ask whether mathematical statements are logical implications. Definitions of "real number" must be considered.   | 14 |
| 11. Someone may object to my claim because he subscribes to a more inclusive notion of logical truth. But, I ask, how does he distinguish logical from non-logical truths. In my view a logical truth is one which is an instance of a formula which is "true in all possible worlds". | 16 |
| 12. One further way of defining "real number" is considered.   | 17 |
| 13. Conclusion of chapter one.   | 17 |

#### CHAPTER TWO: POSTULATIONISM

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 14. The definition of real number considered in section 12 suggests a view of mathematics held (at one time) by Bertrand Russell and also by Henri Poincaré, namely the view that mathematical statements assert only that theorems are consequences of certain assumptions.           | 19 |
| 15. But, even if postulationism is true with respect to pure mathematics, in applications of mathematics categorical mathematical assertions are made. Thus, postulationism does not enable us to use mathematical knowledge while avoiding the implication that queer entities exist. | 20 |
| 16. But postulationism does not give a correct description of the activity of the "pure" mathematician. Example regarding convexity.   | 21 |
| 17. Nor does it correctly describe the class of mathematical truths, as was pointed out by Quine.  | 25 |
| 18. Conclusion of chapter two.   | 26 |

#### CHAPTER THREE: MATHEMATICAL PRINCIPLES AS ANALYTIC

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 19. Some philosophers have claimed that mathematical propositions are analytic. In particular they have asserted that mathematical truths are true by definition and lacking in factual import. | 27 |
|---|----|

20. Is " $3 + 2 = 5$ " true by virtue of definitions of '3', '2', ' + ', '5' and '='? We are not compelled to say that it is. But even if it is true by definition, the theory presupposed by these definitions has ontological implications. 28
21. Defenders of the view that mathematical propositions are analytic have claimed that considerations of the ways in which such propositions are learned are irrelevant to epistemology. But this position is wrong. It leads to an absurd consequence as consideration of the notion learning shows. 30
22. Defenders of the view that mathematical propositions are analytic have claimed that arithmetic truths cannot be refuted by observed counter-instances. But this claim is apparently untenable. 33
23. Some philosophers, notably C.I. Lewis and E. Nagel have maintained that mathematical and logical propositions are prescriptions and therefore have no ontological import. We argue that while such propositions have a prescriptive role it does not follow that they have no ontological import. 35
24. Conclusion of chapter three. 39

## CHAPTER FOUR: CARNAP'S THEORIES

25. In this section we try to explain some of the basic ideas of Carnap's theories. In particular we explain the notions of syntactical and semantical systems. 40
26. An incomplete example of a syntactical and semantical system is given. A complete system of the sort given constitutes, according to Carnap, the rules of ordinary logical reasoning. 42
27. Here we consider some truths of mathematics, namely Peano's postulates. Carnap presented a syntactic system for these postulates and also gave semantical rules. He also gave definitions so that statements of Peano's postulates could be obtained in the semantical system of ordinary logic. 45

28. While the translations of Peano's postulates into the semantical system developed by Carnap are L-true, we may ask whether Carnap has shown that mathematical principles make no ontological commitments. For one thing we may ask whether Carnap's translations really express Peano's postulates. Also, the axioms of the semantical system stated by Carnap appear to have ontological implications. Further, the axioms needed to complete the semantical system, namely the axioms needed for derivation of principles of mathematics contain existential implications and are not L-true. This is shown through consideration of the axioms of choice and infinity. Thus, even though mathematical truths may be translatable into statements of this semantical system, that does not show that the mathematical truths contain no ontological implications. 48
29. Consideration of predicative definitions, predicative functions, the vicious circle principle and the axiom of reducibility. 53
30. Carnap has argued that there is a distinction between internal and external questions and that external questions regarding existence are meaningless. We criticize the distinction and argue that his conclusion that external questions regarding existence are meaningless is false. 59

## PART II: MATHEMATICAL KNOWLEDGE

### CHAPTER FIVE: FICTIONALISM, PROOF, GÖDEL'S VIEW OF MATHEMATICAL KNOWLEDGE

31. Consideration of the view of Hans Vaihinger that mathematical concepts are fictions. His theory that mathematical statements are all fictions or semi-fictions seems mistaken since it is incompatible with the fact that some people have mathematical knowledge. On our view a pragmatic theory of mathematical knowledge is correct. 66
32. Consideration of the nature of mathematical proof. 70

33. Some mathematical principles must be known without proof, since there is mathematical knowledge through proofs and the number of premisses of such knowledge is finite.	77
34. Consideration of the view of Kurt Gödel that mathematical knowledge rests on principles known via intuition of mathematical objects. Objections to this view.	78
35. Mathematical intuition and the causal theory of perception. Views of Mark Steiner.	85
36. Conclusion of chapter five.	89

CHAPTER SIX: INTUITIONISM

37. Explanation of intuitionist views on mathematical existence and knowledge.	91
38. Consideration of Intuitionist and Wittgensteinian objections to the law of excluded middle. Their objections are not sound.	97
39. Consideration of intuitionist real number theory (of intuitionist theory of the continuum). The Intuitionists cannot account for all of our mathematical knowledge.	105
40. Criticism of the intuitionist view that mathematical knowledge rests on self-evident principles and of the intuitionist theory of the reference of mathematical terms.	110
41. Intuition and learning of mathematics.	114
42. Conclusion.	118

CHAPTER SEVEN: MATHEMATICAL KNOWLEDGE AS EMPIRICAL: J.S. MILL AND D. HILBERT

43. J.S. Mill's view of mathematical knowledge.	120
44. Criticisms of Mill's view by positivists.	121
45. Gottlob Frege's criticisms of Mill.	123
46. Hilbert's theory of mathematical knowledge.	127
47. Criticisms of Hilbert's theory.	130
48. Discussion and criticism of Haskell Curry's version of formalism.	132

49. Critical discussion of the formalist theory of Abraham Robinson. Robinson avoids the objections directed against Hilbert's epistemology. But his view is essentially incomplete.	137
50. Conclusion.	141
CHAPTER EIGHT: AN EMPIRICIST THEORY OF KNOWLEDGE	
51. Skepticism revisited. Discussion of the view of Stephan Körner.	144
52. Discussion of the significance of non-euclidean geometries and of alternative set theories with respect to the existence of mathematical knowledge.	147
53. Brief explanation of our theory of mathematical knowledge. Mathematical principles are confirmed via hypothetico-deductive inferences.	149
54. Consideration of an objection: It is alleged sometimes that mathematical knowledge is certain and so cannot be empirically confirmed.	152
55. Could science dispense with real number theory and make do with rational number theory instead?	155
56. Does confirmation of mathematical principles show that while we are warranted in using such principles in natural science we are not warranted in believing that they are true?	156
57. Consideration of the view that knowledge of mathematical principles presupposes that (1) no impredicative definitions occur in such principles, (2) there is no reference to infinite totalities in such principles, (3) there is no reference to the existence of sets in such principles or (4) that such principles be "constructive".	159
REFERENCES	165
NAME INDEX	171
SUBJECT INDEX	173