

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Parallel computers . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Shared-memory multiprocessors . . . . .	3
1.1.2 Distributed-memory multicomputers . . . . .	5
1.2 Parallel programming . . . . .	6
1.2.1 Shared data; the evolution . . . . .	6
1.2.2 Message passing; the revolution . . . . .	7
1.2.3 Distributed shared memory; best of both? . . . . .	7
1.3 Functional programming . . . . .	9
1.4 The EIT Reduction Machine project . . . . .	11
1.5 WYBERT . . . . .	13
1.6 Outline . . . . .	14
<b>2 Functional programming and its implementation</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Reduction . . . . .	19
2.2 Values and expressions . . . . .	20
2.2.1 Lists . . . . .	22
2.3 Higher order functions . . . . .	23
2.4 Lazy evaluation . . . . .	25
2.4.1 Infinite datastructures . . . . .	27
2.4.2 Stream programming . . . . .	29
2.5 Graph reduction . . . . .	31
2.5.1 Strictness analysis . . . . .	35
2.6 Summary . . . . .	37

<b>3</b>	<b>Parallel implementations of lazy functional languages</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Parallel graph reduction . . . . .	41
3.1.1	Generating parallelism . . . . .	42
3.1.2	Global address-space support . . . . .	44
3.1.3	Storage management . . . . .	48
3.1.4	Task scheduling . . . . .	52
3.1.5	Controlling parallelism . . . . .	55
3.2	Survey . . . . .	59
3.2.1	$\langle \nu, G \rangle$ . . . . .	60
3.2.2	AMPGR . . . . .	61
3.2.3	GAML . . . . .	62
3.2.4	Flagship . . . . .	63
3.2.5	PAM . . . . .	64
3.2.6	HDG . . . . .	65
3.2.7	PABC . . . . .	66
3.2.8	GRIP . . . . .	67
3.2.9	HyperM . . . . .	68
3.3	Comparison . . . . .	70
3.3.1	Performance . . . . .	74
3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>WYBERT: graph reduction on shared memory</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Design considerations . . . . .	78
4.1.1	Divide-and-conquer parallelism . . . . .	79
4.1.2	The FRATS reduction strategy . . . . .	81
4.1.3	Task scheduling . . . . .	82
4.1.4	Local garbage collection . . . . .	82
4.1.5	Evaluation method . . . . .	84
4.2	FRATS: A parallel reduction strategy . . . . .	86
4.2.1	Termination through transformation . . . . .	88
4.2.2	Curried functions . . . . .	90
4.2.3	Transformation methodology . . . . .	93
4.2.4	Performance consequences . . . . .	94
4.3	Top-of-Stack scheduling . . . . .	97
4.3.1	Worst case behaviour . . . . .	99
4.3.2	Performance from Simulation Studies . . . . .	101
4.4	Memory management for parallel tasks . . . . .	106
4.4.1	Local copying garbage collection . . . . .	108

---

4.4.2	Evaluation . . . . .	115
4.5	Discussion . . . . .	120
<b>5</b>	<b>The FAST/FCG compiler</b>	<b>123</b>
5.1	The front end . . . . .	125
5.2	The assembler . . . . .	128
5.2.1	Implementation . . . . .	130
5.3	Graph representation . . . . .	131
5.4	The code generator . . . . .	135
5.4.1	Tail call optimisation . . . . .	138
5.4.2	Compile-time stack simulation . . . . .	139
5.4.3	Inlining of primitive functions . . . . .	142
5.4.4	Parameters passed in registers . . . . .	143
5.4.5	Life-time analysis . . . . .	145
5.5	Performance . . . . .	147
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	151
<b>6</b>	<b>Experimental results</b>	<b>153</b>
6.1	FRATS reduction strategy . . . . .	155
6.1.1	Spark-and-wait implementation . . . . .	155
6.1.2	Sandwich versus spark-and-wait . . . . .	156
6.2	Scheduling . . . . .	158
6.3	Storage management . . . . .	160
6.4	Garbage collection . . . . .	163
6.5	Execution profiling . . . . .	165
6.5.1	Queens . . . . .	166
6.5.2	Wang . . . . .	168
6.5.3	Wave . . . . .	170
6.5.4	Performance characteristics . . . . .	173
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>177</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>183</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>197</b>