

Contents

<i>Tables and Figures</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xix
Part I Conceptual and Historical Perspectives	
1. The Role of Theory in Risk Studies <i>Sheldon Krinsky</i>	3
2. A Social and Programmatic History of Risk Research <i>Dominic Golding</i>	23
3. Concepts of Risk: A Classification <i>Ortwin Renn</i>	53
Part II Social, Cultural, and Psychological Paradigms	
4. Cultural Theory and Risk Analysis <i>Steve Rayner</i>	83
5. Perception of Risk: Reflections on the Psychometric Paradigm <i>Paul Slovic</i>	117
6. The Social Amplification of Risk: Progress in Developing an Integrative Framework <i>Roger E. Kasperson</i>	153

7. The Social Arena Concept of Risk Debates <i>Ortwin Renn</i>	179
⑧ Social Drama and Risk Evaluation <i>Ingar Palmlund</i>	197

Part III The Role of Science in Risk Assessment

9. Public Wisdom, Expert Fallibility: Toward a Contextual Theory of Risk <i>Harry Otway</i>	215
10. Heuristics, Biases, and the Not-So-General Publics: Expertise and Error in the Assessment of Risks <i>William R. Freudenburg</i>	229
11. Three Types of Risk Assessment and the Emergence of Post-Normal Science <i>Silvio O. Funtowicz and Jerome R. Ravetz</i>	251
⑫ Risk and Social Learning: Reification to Engagement <i>Brian Wynne</i>	275

Part IV Policy and Decision Frameworks

13. A Conceptual Framework for Managing Low-Probability Events <i>Howard Kunreuther</i>	301
14. Expert Knowledge and Public Values in Risk Management: The Role of Decision Analysis <i>Detlof von Winterfeldt</i>	321
15. Inconsistent Values in Risk Management <i>Chris Whipple</i>	343
Reflections <i>Sheldon Krinsky and Dominic Golding</i>	355
<i>Bibliography</i>	365
<i>Index</i>	399
<i>About the Editors and Contributors</i>	409

Tables and Figures

TABLES

2.1	Ten Generic Questions for Risk Analysis and Management	28
2.2	Meetings and Conferences with TARA Input and Support, 1981–1985	29
2.3	NSF Technology Assessment and Risk Analysis (TARA) Program Awards, 1979–1983	30
2.4	EVIST/EVS Funded Risk Projects, 1976–1987	34
2.5	SRA Executive Committee Membership, 1980–1991	37
2.6	SRA Executive Committee Membership by Disciplinary Background, 1980–1991	39
2.7	Major Academic Centers of Risk Research in the Social Sciences	41
4.1	Characteristics of Grid and Group	88
4.2	A Typology of Social States Defined by Institutions	97
4.3	Interaction of Organizational Function and Structure in Framing Uncertainty	110
5.1	Environmental Features Studied	135
5.2	Hierarchy of Images Associated with an “Underground Nuclear Waste Storage Facility”	144
5.3	College Students’ Word Associations to the Stimulus Term “Chemicals”	146
5.4	Statements Designed to Assess Sensitivity to Degree of Exposure	148

6.1	128 Hazard Events Data Base	167
6.2	Overview of Six Field Studies	171
6.3	Recent Studies and Commentaries on the Social Amplification of Risk, 1987–1992	177
7.1	Social Resources: Sectors, Media, and Motivators	186
8.1	Generic Roles in the Societal Evaluation of Risk: An Example from the Conflict over the Cancer Risks of Hormone-Treated Meats in the United States	205
11.1	Traditional Forms of Problem-Solving Strategies	258
14.1	Steps in a Multiple-Stakeholder Decision Analysis	325
14.2	Example of a Constructed Attribute	332

FIGURES

1.1	Human Adjustment to Natural Hazards	11
1.2	Causal Model of a Hazard	12
2.1	Annual Number and Total NSF-DRMS Awards, 1981–1989	32
2.2	Average Annual Project Awards from NSF-DRMS, 1980–1989	33
2.3	Society for Risk Analysis Membership, 1980–1989	36
2.4	Number of Articles in <i>Risk Analysis</i> by Discipline, 1981–1990	46
2.5	Distribution of Articles in <i>Risk Analysis</i> by Discipline, 1981–1990	47
2.6	Number of Articles in <i>Risk Analysis</i> by Risk Assessment Categories, 1981–1990	49
2.7	Distribution of Articles in <i>Risk Analysis</i> by Risk Assessment Categories, 1981–1990	50
2.8	Number of Articles in <i>Risk Analysis</i> by Selected Topics, 1981–1990	51
3.1	A Systematic Classification of Risk Perspectives	57
3.2	Major Sociological Perspectives on Risk	68
3.3	Risk Taking in the Context of Cultural Prototypes	74
4.1	Grid/Group Typology	89
4.2	Funtowicz and Ravetz's Three Kinds of Science	101
4.3	Vertical and Horizontal Axes of Cultural Affiliation	105
4.4	Comparison of Stability and Mobility Hypotheses	108

4.5	The Changing Challenge for Risk Analysis	114
5.1	Profiles for Nuclear Power and X-Rays Across Nine Risk Characteristics	122
5.2	Location of 81 Hazards on Factors 1 and 2 Derived from the Interrelationships Among 15 Risk Characteristics	123
5.3	Accidents as Signals: The Relation Between Signal Potential and Risk Characterization for 30 Hazards Selected from Figure 5.2	125
5.4	Mean Perceived Risk and Perceived Benefit for 33 Activities, Substances, and Technologies Based on a National Survey in Canada	128
5.5	Risk Perceptions of Swedish Men and Women	130
5.6	Risk Perceptions of Canadian Men and Women	131
5.7	Location of 40 Automobile Defects Within a Two-Factor Space Derived from Interrelationships Among Five Characteristics	133
5.8	Perceptual Map of Pharmaceutical Products, Medical Treatments, and Other Hazards in the Swedish Study	134
5.9	Spatial Representation of Perceptions Among 37 Unwanted Environmental Features	136
5.10	Derived Stimulus Configuration: Hazard Space Derived from INDSCAL Analysis	138
5.11	Subject Weights for Dimensions in the Group Hazard Space	140
6.1	Highly Simplified Representation of the Social Amplification of Risk and Potential Impacts on a Corporation	158
6.2	Hypothetical Model of Causal Relationships	169
7.1	Graphical Representation of the Arena Metaphor	183
8.1	Phases in the Social Interaction over Risk	207
10.1	The Atrophy of Vigilance	245
11.1	Three Types of Problem-Solving Strategies	254
13.1	Conceptual Framework for Developing Policy Programs	311
14.1	Value Tree of a Member of the Lung Association of America	328

14.2	Value Tree of a Member of an Oil Company	329
14.3	Combined Value Tree for L.A. Basin Air Pollution Control	330
14.4	Decomposition of a Reactor Safety Problem	333
14.5	Cumulative Probability Distributions over the Components of the Reactor Safety Problem for Five Experts	335
14.6	Cumulative Probability Distributions over the Aggregated Catastrophic Failure Frequency in the Reactor Safety Problem for Five Experts	337