

<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Notes on Presentation</i>	xv
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xix
<i>Transliteration</i>	xxi

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Problem	3
1.1. Background	3
1.2. The Kartvelian Family	5
2. Theory and Method	13
2.1. Synchrony	13
2.2. Diachrony	21
2.3. Conclusion	33
	vii

PART II. RECONSTRUCTION

3. Synchronic Case Marking	37
3.1. Introduction	37
3.2. The Basis for the Distribution of Cases	38
3.3. Svan	42
3.4. Old Georgian	47
3.5. Laz	52
3.6. Mingrelian	55
3.7. Concluding Statement	58
Appendix. Class 2 Intransitives	58
 4. Reconstruction of Case Morphology	 65
4.1. Introduction	65
4.2. Sample Declensions	66
4.3. Reconstruction	72
4.4. The Nonarticulated Form in Old Georgian	79
4.5. Plurals, Pronouns, and Proper Nouns	87
4.6. Reconstruction of CK Cases	89
 5. Series II Predates Series I	 93
5.1. A Proposal	93
5.2. Tense, Mood, and Aspect in Kartvelian	95
5.3. <i>Icis</i> and <i>Uçqis</i> 'Know'	103
5.4. Concluding Statement	104
 6. Reconstruction of Series II Case Marking	 107
6.1. Syntactic Relics	108
6.2. Georgian	111
6.3. Laz	116
6.4. Svan	119
6.5. Basic Intransitives with Variation among Cognates	123
6.6. Transitive–Intransitive Pairs in Kartvelian	124
6.7. Distinguishing Innovation from Archaism	133
6.8. Further Characterization of CK Case Marking	141
6.9. Summary: The General Picture	143

PART III. THE DEVELOPMENT OF SERIES I IN COMMON KARTVELIAN

7. An Account of the Development of Series I	151
7.1. Facts to be Accounted for	151
7.2. Existing Hypotheses	157
7.3. An Explicit Proposal	162
8. Ablaut	167
8.1. Ablaut in Monomorphemic Verb Stems in CK	167
8.2. Beyond Monovocalicity: A Proposal	170
8.3. Ablaut in Bimorphemic Verb Stems in CK	172
8.4. Evidence to Support the Proposal	176
8.5. Restructuring the Paradigms	184
8.6. Summary	185
Appendix. Other Ablaut Patterns	186
9. The Origin of Series Markers	189
9.1. A Proposal	189
9.2. Common Georgian-Zan	190
9.3. Svan	199
9.4. A Functional Parallel	202
9.5. The Problem of Series Marker <i>-i</i>	203
9.6. Alternative Analyses	206
9.7. Conclusion	207
10. The Plural Agreement Marker <i>-en</i>	209
10.1. The Distribution of <i>-en</i> in Old Georgian	209
10.2. The Suffix <i>-en</i> in Series I	222
10.3. Summary	228
11. Case Marking in the Object Demotion Construction	231
11.1. Ergative Case Alignment and Object Demotion	231
11.2. Reconstruction of Marking Assigned to Retired Indirect Objects	235
11.3. The Retired Indirect Object in Series I	253
12. The Picture That Emerges	257
12.1. Person Agreement in Old Georgian	257
12.2. Summary of Evidence Supporting the Object Demotion Hypothesis	263

PART IV. OTHER CHANGES RELATED TO CASE MARKING	
13. Inversion and the Origins of Series III and IV	271
13.1. The Syntax of the Inversion Construction	272
13.2. The Origin of Series III	286
13.3. The Place of the Evidential in the Verbal System	295
13.4. Inversion and the History of Number Agreement	306
13.5. Reanalysis of Series III	316
13.6. The Origin of Series III and Other Changes in the Grammar	321
14. Ergative to Active: The Mechanism of Change in Georgian	329
14.1. The Transition from Generalized to Restricted Ergative	330
14.2. The Transition from Ergative to Active	342
14.3. The Actualization of Change	344
14.4. Alternative Accounts	350
14.5. Conclusion	354
Appendix. Overgeneralization: The Case of Expressives	354
15. Case Changes Resulting from the Ergative-to-Active Shift	363
15.1. Reanalysis of Series I	363
15.2. A Change in Case Morphology	370
15.3. Extensions	371
15.4. Extension as a Mechanism in the Change of Case Alignment	380
15.5. Artasën	385
15.6. Conclusion	389
PART V. KARTVELIAN AND LANGUAGE UNIVERSALS	
16. Concomitants of Change	395
16.1. Correlates	395
16.2. Interpretation	404
16.3. Conclusions	409
17. Case Alignment: Diachrony and Synchrony	413
17.1. Mechanisms	413
17.2. Directions	417
17.3. The Nature and Explanation of Change	420
17.4. The Nature of Active Alignment in Kartvelian	427
17.5. The Nature of Alignment Splits	428
17.6. Conclusion	430
<i>References</i>	433
<i>Index</i>	453