

<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xviii
1 Explanation in linguistics	1
1.1 The formal approach to explanation	2
1.2 Problems with the formal approach	6
1.2.1 <i>The fundamental problem</i>	6
1.2.2 <i>Alternative sources of explanation</i>	8
1.2.3 <i>Empirical evidence for innateness</i>	15
1.2.4 <i>Typological universals</i>	22
1.2.5 <i>Explanation of historical change</i>	24
1.2.6 <i>The achievement of explanatory adequacy</i>	26
1.3 The functional approach to explanation	27
1.4 Problems with the functional approach	32
1.5 A unified approach to explanation	36
1.5.1 <i>Defining the unified approach</i>	36
1.5.2 <i>Applying the unified approach</i>	37
2 Morphological regularity	39
2.1 The data and universals	40
2.1.1 <i>Greenberg (1966)</i>	40
2.1.2 <i>Hawkins and Gilligan (1988)</i>	41
2.2 Problems with the data and universals	44
3 Affixes and heads	49
3.1 The notion 'head of a word'	50
3.1.1 <i>Syntactic approaches</i>	51
3.1.1.1 <i>X' syntax and percolation</i>	51
3.1.1.2 <i>The positional criterion</i>	55
3.1.1.3 <i>A revised definition of headship</i>	63
3.1.1.4 <i>Assessment</i>	65

x	<i>Morphology and mind</i>	
	3.1.2 <i>Semantic approaches</i>	66
3.2	Cross-linguistic factors	70
	3.2.1 <i>Head serialisation</i>	70
	3.2.2 <i>A diachronic perspective</i>	74
3.3	Psycholinguistic factors	78
	3.3.1 <i>Serialisation in the syntax</i>	78
	3.3.2 <i>Serialisation in the morphology</i>	80
3.4	Conclusion	82
4	The diachronic link	84
4.1	The historical account	85
	4.1.1 <i>'Today's morphology': the origin of affixes</i>	85
	4.1.2 <i>'Yesterday's syntax': SOV as universal word order</i>	91
	4.1.3 <i>Problems with the SOV account</i>	93
	4.1.4 <i>Assessment of the historical account</i>	96
4.2	Phonological decay and semantic redundancy	97
	4.2.1 <i>Phonological decay</i>	97
	4.2.2 <i>Semantic redundancy</i>	103
4.3	A revised historical account	107
5	Understanding affixes	112
5.1	Modelling the mental lexicon	112
	5.1.1 <i>Three models of lexical access</i>	113
	5.1.1.1 <i>The Cohort Model</i>	114
	5.1.1.2 <i>The Logogen Model</i>	123
	5.1.1.3 <i>The Search Model</i>	125
	5.1.1.4 <i>Assessment</i>	127
	5.1.2 <i>The global organisation of the lexicon</i>	128
	5.1.3 <i>Morphologically complex words</i>	130
	5.1.3.1 <i>Representation</i>	130
	5.1.3.2 <i>Access</i>	135
5.2	Morphologically complex words in a Cohort-based model	143
	5.2.1 <i>Representation</i>	143
	5.2.2 <i>Access</i>	149
	5.2.3 <i>Opacity</i>	152
	5.2.4 <i>Some conclusions</i>	155
5.3	A processing explanation for the suffixing preference	156
	5.3.1 <i>The Cutler et al. processing account</i>	156
	5.3.2 <i>Problems with the Cutler et al. account</i>	159
5.4	Conclusion	162

6 A micro-analysis of historical change	164
6.1 Refining the hypothesis	165
6.1.1 <i>The flirting process</i>	165
6.1.2 <i>Objections and exceptions</i>	171
6.1.3 <i>Simplicity of representation</i>	175
6.1.4 <i>Lexical reanalysis and borrowing</i>	178
6.2 Testing the hypothesis	183
6.2.1 <i>Novel forms</i>	184
6.2.2 <i>Experimental evidence</i>	186
6.2.2.1 <i>Rationale</i>	186
6.2.2.2 <i>The experiment</i>	188
6.2.2.3 <i>Results and analysis</i>	190
6.2.3 <i>Discussion</i>	191
6.3 Conclusion: a unified explanation of morphological regularity	192
<i>Appendices</i>	195
1 <i>Pretest materials</i>	195
2 <i>Test items with pretest familiarity scores</i>	197
<i>Notes</i>	198
<i>Bibliography</i>	210
<i>Index</i>	221