

CONTENTS

Preface	5
1. Introduction.....	13
1.1. Linguistics and Methodology	13
1.1.1. Methodological vs. Substantive Aspects ..	13
1.1.2. The Analysis of a Methodological Principle ..	17
1.1.3. Premises of the Analysis of a Methodolo- gical Principle.....	23
1.1.4. The Necessity of Analyzing the Me- thodological Aspects of Linguistics	28
1.2. The Contents and Organization of the Monograph	36
2. The Theory of Phonology	38
2.1. General Remarks	38
2.2. The Objects of Study of Transformational Generative Grammar	39
2.2.1. A Grammar	39
2.2.2. The General Theory	40
2.3. The Structure of a Transformational Generative Grammar	40
2.4. The Phonological Component	42
2.4.1. The Main Contributions	42
2.4.2. The Function of the Phonological Component ..	43
2.4.3. The Structure of the Phonological Com- ponent	44
2.4.3.1. The Original Version	45
2.4.3.1.1. Phonetic Representations	45
2.4.3.1.2. Lexical Representations	48

2.4.3.1.3. Phonological Representations	56
2.4.3.1.4. The Naturalness Condition	58
2.4.3.1.5. Phonological Rules	60
2.4.3.1.6. Phonological Redundancy Rules	65
2.4.3.1.7. The Theory of Exceptions	66
2.4.3.1.8. Schematic Summary	67
2.4.3.2. The Markedness Version	67
2.4.3.2.1. Problematic Phonological Phenomena	69
2.4.3.2.2. Reasons for Failure of Original Version	70
2.4.3.2.3. The Notion of Markedness	72
2.4.4. Substantive Issues in Transformational Generative Phonology	74
 3. The Notion 'Linguistically Significant Generalization'	76
3.1. General Remarks	76
3.2. The Methodological Function of the Notion 'Linguistically Significant Generalization'	76
3.2.1. A Typical Linguistic Argument	78
3.2.2. The Abstract Structure of the Linguistic Argument	81
3.3. The Content of the Notion 'Linguistically Significant Generalization'	87
3.3.1. A Generalization	87
3.3.2. The Notion 'Linguistically Significant'	89
3.3.2.1. 'Significant' vs. 'True' or 'Correct'	89
3.3.2.2. Linguistic Significance and Mentalism	93
3.3.2.3. Linguistically Relevant Data and Linguistically Significant Facts	95
3.3.2.4. Linguistic Significance and the Evaluation Measure	101
3.3.2.4.1. The Evaluation Measure and the Basic Pattern of Linguistic Argumentation	104

CONTENTS

3.3.2.4.2. The Scope of the Evaluation Measure	107
3.3.2.4.3. Conditions of Adequacy for the Evaluation Measure	108
3.3.2.4.4. The Notion 'Degree of Linguistically Significant Generalization'	110
3.4. Summary of Conclusions	113
4. The Validation of Transformational Generative Phonology as a Mentalistic Theory	116
4.1. General Remarks	116
4.2. The Thesis of Mentalism	117
4.3. The Validation of Mentalistic Hypotheses	121
4.3.1. Introductory Remarks	121
4.3.2. Norms for Validating Empirical Theories	122
4.3.3. Modes of Validating Mentalistic Hypotheses	124
4.3.3.1. General Remarks	124
4.3.3.2. The 'How Else' Argument	125
4.3.3.3. Testing the Predictions of Grammars	127
4.3.3.4. Psycholinguistic Testing	131
4.3.3.5. Reference to Sustaining Evidence	136
4.3.3.5.1. Historical Evidence for Rule Schemata	137
4.3.3.5.2. Intuitive Evidence and the Evaluation Measure	142
4.3.3.5.3. Psychological Evidence for Linguistic Universals	144
4.3.3.5.4. Metatheoretical Evidence for the Evaluation Measure	150
4.3.3.5.5. Neurophysiological Evidence for the Finiteness of a Grammar	154
4.3.3.5.6. Diverse Kinds of Evidence for 'M-U' Decisions and Marking Rules	157
4.3.3.5.6.1. Statistical Evidence	158
4.3.3.5.6.2. Historical and Sociological Evidence	159

4.3.3.5.6.3. Psychological and Neuro- physiological Evidence	159
4.3.3.5.6.4. Articulatory and Percep- tual Evidence	159
4.3.3.5.7. Merits of Coherence Norms for Validating Mentalistic Hypotheses ..	160
4.4. Summary of Conclusions	164
4.5. Implications of Conclusions	166
4.5.1. The Evaluation Measure and the Notion 'Linguistically Significant Generalization' ..	166
4.5.2. Mentalistic Linguistics: A Future Pro- spect?	167
 5. The Empirical Status of Transformational Generative Phonology as a Non-mentalistic Theory	171
5.1. General Remarks	171
5.1.1. A Non-mentalistic Theory	171
5.1.2. The Empirical Status of a Theory	174
5.2. The Testability of the Theory of Phonology ..	176
5.2.1. The General Issue	176
5.2.2. The Availability of Evidence	177
5.2.2.1. General Remarks	177
5.2.2.2. The Evaluation Measure	178
5.2.2.2.1. The Issues	178
5.2.2.2.2. Motivation for Adopting an Evaluation Measure	179
5.2.2.2.3. Evidence for the Form of the Evaluation Measure	181
5.2.2.2.4. Summarizing Remarks	190
5.2.2.3. Phonetic Representations	191
5.2.2.3.1. The Issues	191
5.2.2.3.2. The Reference of Phonetic Representations	193
5.2.2.3.3. Evidence about Physical Phe- nomena	194

CONTENTS

5.2.2.3.4. Evidence about Perceptual Phenomena	195
5.2.2.3.5. The Role of the Notion 'Systematic Considerations'	198
5.2.2.3.6. Summarizing Remarks	204
5.2.3. Blocking	205
5.2.3.1. General Remarks	205
5.2.3.2. Blocked Devices	205
5.2.3.2.1. The Principle of the Transformational Cycle	205
5.2.3.2.1.1. The Issues	205
5.2.3.2.1.2. Fineness of Stress Differentiation	208
5.2.3.2.1.3. Variability of Phrase-Internal Stress Relations	209
5.2.3.2.2. Linguistic Universals	212
5.2.3.2.2.1. The Non-mentalistic Notion 'Linguistic Universal'	212
5.2.3.2.2.2. Notions Blocking Claims about Linguistic Universals	213
5.2.3.2.3. The Theory of Exceptions	215
5.2.3.2.3.1. The Issue	215
5.2.3.2.3.2. First Set of Test Implications	216
5.2.3.2.3.3. Second Set of Test Implications	218
5.2.3.2.4. The Devices Accounting for Stress Phenomena	220
5.2.3.2.4.1. The Issue	220
5.2.3.2.4.2. The Strategy	221
5.2.3.2.4.3. The Notion 'Exception to a Rule'	224
5.2.3.2.4.4. The Conditions on the Abstractness of Lexical Representations	228

5.2.3.2.4.5. The Degree of Motivation for Blocking Lexical Representa- tions	229
5.2.3.2.4.6. The Degree of Motivation for Additional Rules	233
5.2.3.2.4.7. Merits of the Alternative Strategies	235
5.2.3.2.4.8. Summarizing Remarks . .	240
5.2.3.3. Blocking Devices	241
5.2.3.3.1. General Remarks	241
5.2.3.3.2. Methodological Status of Blocking Devices	241
5.3. Summary of Conclusions	244
5.4. Implications of the Conclusions	247
Bibliography	249
Index	258