TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 0. | Preface | v |
| 0.1. | Field of Discourse | v |
| 0.2. | Hierarchy in general | v |
| 0.3 | Hierarchies among F's | vi |
| 0.4 | Conclusions | vi |
| 1. | Distinctive Features, some basic considerations | 1 |
| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1.1. | F definitions | 2 |
| 1.1.2. | F-ordering and economy | 3 |
| 1.2 | Necessity of F-hierarchy | 5 |
| 1.3 | Binarity of F's | 6 |
| 1.4 | Levels of pertinence in F-hierarchies | 7 |
| 1.5 | Perceptual relevance of F's | 7 |
| 1.6 | Phonological and phonetic F's; inadequacies | 7 |
| 1.7 | Absence of perceptual F's | 8 |
| 2. | Hierarchies and rank orders | 10 |
| 2.0 | Introduction | 10 |
| 2.1.1. | Basic characteristics of hierarchies | 10 |
| 2.1.2. | Hierarchy vs. rank order | 12 |
| 2.2.1. | Implications of plus and minus specifications | 13 |
| 2.2.2. | Matrix-derived F-hierarchies | 14 |
| 2.3.1. | Some examples of matrix-derived F-hierarchies | 14 |
| 2.3.2. | Definition-derived hierarchies | 16 |
| 2.3.3. | Priority of articulation F's over acoustic F's | 16 |
| 2.3.4. | Significance of minus specifications | 17 |
| 2.3.5. | F-inventory based on SPE | 18 |
| 2.3.6. | Rules stating articulatory restrictions | 19 |
| 2.3.7. | Difficulties in arriving at assessment of articulatory constraints | 23 |
| 2.3.8. | Number of articulatory predictions per F | 25 |
| 2.3.9. | Hierarchy in F-value predictions | 25 |
| 2.3.10. | Examples of F-value predictions | 27 |
| 2.4. | Matrix-derived F-hierarchies | 30 |
| 2.4.1. | F-matrices | 30 |
| 2.4.2. | Maximally redundant matrix for English | 32 |
| 2.4.3. | Procedure to arrive at a matrix-derived F-hierarchy | 33 |
| 2.4.4. | Results | 34 |
| 2.4.5. | Discussion | 34 |
| 2.4.6. | Matrix-derived F-hierarchy for Dutch | 37 |

2.5.1. Motivation for F-introduction . . . 41
2.5.2. Survey of proposed F's . . . 42
2.5.3. Necessity of reduction of F-inventory . . . 42
2.6. Rule-derived rank orders . . . 42
2.6.1. F-counting in rules . . . 42
2.6.2. Stress rules . . . 45
2.6.3. Some restrictions . . . 46
2.6.4. Sound-symbol to F conversion . . . 46
2.6.5. Results . . . 47
2.6.6. Rule-derived F-frequencies in Dutch . . . 48
2.7. Consonant distribution in clusters and its implications for F rank orders . . . 50
2.7.1. Types of clusters . . . 50
2.7.2. Characteristics of cluter formation . . . 50
2.7.3. F counting as an appropriate measure of differences between consonants . . . 51
2.7.4. F counting as an inappropriate measure of differences between consonants . . . 52
2.7.5. Unequal F weights in clusters . . . 53
2.7.6. Expectations . . . 54
2.7.7. Lexical frequency of occurrence of F's in Dutch; procedure . . . 54
2.7.8. F-differences in actually occurring Dutch clusters . . . 55
2.7.9. F differences in all theoretically possible Dutch clusters . . . 55
2.7.10. F differences in bigram-derived Dutch clusters . . . 57
2.7.11. Specific contribution per F in Dutch cluster formation . . . 58
2.7.12. Discussion . . . 59
2.7.13 Distribution of F-differences over actual and bigram-derived Dutch clusters per F . . . 60
2.7.14. Conclusion . . . 60
2.7.15. Lexical frequency of ocurrence of F's in English; procedure . . . 61
2.7.16. F-differences in actually occurring English clusters . . . 62
2.7.17. F-differences in all theoretically possible English clusters . . . 62
2.7.18. Saporta's hypothesis disproved . . . 64
2.7.19. Specific contribution per F in English cluster formation . . . 64
2.7.20. Discussion . . . 66
2.8. Frequency of occurrence and utilization of F's . . . 68
2.8.0. Justification . . . 68
2.8.1. Material . . . 68
2.8.2. Procedure . . . 69
2.8.3. Results . . . 69
2.8.4. Nonredundant F-frequency of occurrence, Dutch . . . 70
2.8.5.1. Procedure, Dutch . . . 71
2.8.5.2. Results . . . 72
2.8.5.3. Discussion . . . 72
2.8.6. Material, English . . . 72
2.8.7.1. Procedure, English . . . 73
2.8.7.2. Results . . . 73
2.8.8. Nonredundant F-frequency of occurrence, English . . . 73
2.8.9. Discussion . . . 74
2.9.0. F rank orders in sound acquisition . . . 74
2.9.1. Speech sound order in sound acquisition . . . 75
2.9.2. F acquisition . . . 75
2.9.3. Language specific influences . . . 76
2.9.4. Various proposals for speech sound acquisition . . . 76
2.9.5. F acquisition vs. sound acquisition . . . 77

2.9.6. Children's performance in perceptual tasks . . . 78
2.9.7. Discussion . . . 78
2.9.8. Analysis of a set of speech deviations in children . . . 82
2.9.9. F-rank order in deviations . . . 83
2.9.10 Conclusion . . . 83

3. DF's in perception, especially of consonants . . . 85
3.0. Why perception? . . . 85
3.1. Types of perceptual F-studies . . . 85
3.2. Discussion . . . 94
3.3. Conclusions . . . 95

4. Nonacoustic perceptual rank orders of F's . . . 97
4.0. The experiments . . . 97
4.1.1. Basic considerations on inner speech . . . 97
4.1.2. Inner speech as an experimental stimulus . . . 97
4.1.3. Perceptual vs. distinctive F's . . . 98
4.1.4. Some expectations . . . 98
4.1.5.1. Stimulus material . . . 99
4.1.5.2. Subjects . . . 99
4.1.5.3. Procedure . . . 100
4.1.5.4. Analysis of the data . . . 100
4.1.6. Stress values for random vs. actual stimuli . . . 101
4.1.7. Discussion . . . 102
4.1.8. Dimensionality . . . 102
4.1.9. Effect of dimensionality reduction . . . 103
4.1.10. Five-dimensional analysis . . . 104
4.1.11. The meaning of optimal dimensions . . . 105
4.1.12. Graphic representation of the dimensions . . . 105
4.1.13. Proximity and hierarchy of the dimensions . . . 106
4.1.14. Hierarchical closter analysis of the data . . . 106
4.1.15. Interpretation of the data . . . 107
4.1.15.1. Stability of the branching diagrams . . . 108
4.1.15.2. Phonetically naive vs. nonnaive subjects . . . 108
4.1.15.3. Interpretation of the dimensions in relation to Kruskal analysis 109
4.1.16. Conclusions . . . 110
4.1.17. Inherent objections . . . 110
4.2.0. Experiment no. 2 . . . 111
4.2.1. Procedure . . . 111
4.2.2. Instructions . . . 112
4.2.3. Subjects . . . 113
4.2.4.0. Expectations . . . 113
4.2.4.1. Rank orders . . . 113
4.2.5. Results . . . 114
4.2.5.1. MINISSA analysis . . . 114
4.2.5.2. Johnson analysis . . . 114
4.2.6. Discussion . . . 115
4.2.6.0. Dimensionality . . . 115
4.2.6.1. Interpretation of dimensions, pooled data . . . 115
4.2.6.2. Interpretation of branching diagrams, pooled data . . . 116
4.2.6.3. Interpretation of individual results . . . 117
4.2.6.3.1. PeterL. . . . 117
4.2.6.3.2. MarcelvdB. . . . 118
4.2.6.3.3. PetravH. . . . 118
4.2.6.3.4. MFeelen . . . 118

4.2.6.3.5. GertG. . . . 118
4.2.6.3.6. AnkvdB. . . . 119
4.2.6.3.7. AnnejL. . . . 119
4.2.6.3.8. ITT4. . . . 119
4.2.7. Conclusions . . . 119

5. Correlations among the various hierarchies and rank orders established . . . 121
5.1. Procedure . . . 121
5.2. Restrictive considerations . . . 124
5.3. Discussion . . . 124
5.4. Conclusion . . . 126

6. Conclusions and prospects . . . 128
6.0. Modes of listening and F's . . . 128
6.1. Sources of F hierarchies excluded from this study. . . . 128
6.2. Prosodic F's . . . 129
6.3. F-combinations in perception . . . 130
6.4. Shortcomings of a segmental F model . . . 130
6.5. The syllable as a F . . . 131
6.6. Intonation F's . . . 131
6.7. Some suggestions for a general F model . . . 131
6.8. Phonology and hierarchical F evidence in phonetics . . . 132
6.9. Conclusions . . . 133

Appendix 1 . . . 136
" 2 . . . 137
" 3 . . . 138
" 4 . . . 148
" 5 . . . 149
" 6 . . . 150
References . . . 174