

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xiv
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvi

PART I CUTTING SYNTAX DOWN TO SIZE

1	Why Simpler Syntax?	3
1.1	Different notions of simplicity	3
1.2	A sample argument: Bare Argument Ellipsis	6
1.3	The goals of linguistic theory	9
1.4	The architecture of the grammar	14
1.4.1	Constraints rather than derivations	15
1.4.2	No “hidden levels” of syntax	16
1.4.3	Multiple sources of combinatoriality	17
1.4.4	Conceptual Structure	20
1.4.5	Combinatorial autonomy of syntax and semantics	22
1.5	The continuum from words to rules; “syntactic nuts” and the core/periphery distinction	25
1.5.1	Words that go in the wrong place	26
1.5.2	Sluice-stranding	29
1.5.3	Constructional idioms in the VP	32
1.6	Core grammar and its relation to UG	37
2	How Did We Get Here? Principles and Early History of Mainstream Syntax	44
2.1	Principles of argumentation in mainstream syntax	45
2.1.1	General considerations	45
2.1.2	Uniformity	46
2.1.3	A consequence of Occam’s Razor applied locally: VP-shells	50
2.2	<i>Syntactic Structures</i> through early PPT: transformational passive and its consequences	56

2.2.1	Uniform lexical projection and passive	57
2.2.2	The Structure-Preserving Hypothesis	61
2.2.3	Raising to subject	62
2.2.4	(No) Raising to object	64
2.2.5	Government and binding	67
2.2.6	Trace theory and quantifier movement	70
3	Later History of Mainstream Syntax	73
3.1	Late PPT: UTAH, Head Movement, and beyond	73
3.1.1	UTAH and its consequences	73
3.1.2	Further consequences of Head movement	79
3.1.3	Branching	82
3.1.4	Agreement through movement	84
3.2	The Minimalist Program	88
3.2.1	Perfection	88
3.2.2	Derivational economy	92
3.3	Uniformity entails Generative Semantics	94
3.3.1	MP meets GS	94
3.3.2	Classic cases	95
3.3.3	How is this possible?	100
3.4	The alternative	103
4	Flat Structure	107
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Overview of syntactic structure	108
4.3	In-principle arguments for binary branching	112
4.3.1	Learnability	112
4.3.2	Binary branching as a desideratum of minimalism	113
4.3.3	C-command and unambiguous paths	115
4.4	Empirical arguments for right-branching	117
4.4.1	The structure of the evidence	117
4.4.2	Binding of anaphors	118
4.4.3	C-command and quantifier binding	122
4.5	Arguments for left-branching	124
4.5.1	Basic arguments from VP anaphora	124
4.5.2	Arguments/adjuncts in VP	128
4.5.3	Small clauses	131
4.6	NP structure	135
4.6.1	NP complements	136
4.6.2	NP specifiers	139
4.7	A sketch of English phrase structure	143

PART II THE SYNTAX–SEMANTICS INTERFACE

5	Basic Clause Structure	151
5.1	Looking ahead	151
5.2	Overview of CS	153
5.3	Carving out the words and morphemes	158
5.4	Default principles for determining syntactic embedding	163
5.5	Constraining (most of) linear order	170
5.6	What a verb can say about its arguments	173
5.6.1	Obligatory vs. optional semantic arguments	174
5.6.2	Category of syntactic arguments	176
5.6.3	Direct vs. oblique NP arguments	178
5.6.4	Syntactic argument structure alternations	180
5.7	Hierarchical linking of direct NP arguments	182
6	The Grammatical Function Tier	187
6.1	The need for grammatical functions	187
6.2	The Grammatical Function tier and Raising	191
6.2.1	Some basic cases	191
6.2.2	Absent subjects: Controlled VPs and pro-drop	193
6.2.3	Dummy subjects	196
6.2.4	Raising	197
6.3	Passive	202
6.3.1	Standard cases and interaction with raising	202
6.3.2	Passive VPs as complements and modifiers	206
6.3.3	Prepositional passives: extension of the GF-tier to oblique arguments	207
6.3.4	Passives that skip over arguments	210
6.3.5	Things that don't undergo passive	212
6.4	Binding of reflexives in the GF-tier	215
6.5	Bells and whistles	222
6.5.1	The light verb construction	222
6.5.2	VP constructions	225
6.5.3	Coercions	227
6.6	Concluding remarks	230
7	Bare Argument Ellipsis and its Relatives	233
7.1	Introduction	233
7.2	Nonsentential utterance types	236

7.3	Problems for a syntactic account of bare argument ellipsis	239
7.4	Reasons to believe syntax is involved in BAE	248
7.5	The generalizations behind indirect licensing	254
7.6	A mechanism for indirect licensing	258
7.7	Sluicing and sluice-stranding	266
7.8	Gapping	273
7.8.1	Reasons why gapping cannot be syntactic deletion	273
7.8.2	Gapping as double BAE	275
7.8.3	The gapped fragment is not an S	278
8	VP Ellipsis and its Relatives	283
8.1	<i>Do X</i> anaphora, <i>it happen</i> anaphora, VP ellipsis, pseudo-gapping, and <i>one</i> -anaphora	283
8.1.1	The facts	284
8.1.2	Reasons not to derive the constructions from full VPs	284
8.1.3	Treatment of the constructions in terms of indirect licensing	289
8.1.4	Pseudo-gapping	292
8.2	Some arguments that VP ellipsis must be syntactic deletion	296
8.3	Summary of Chapters 7 and 8	298
9	Discontinuous Dependencies	301
9.1	There is no A'-movement	302
9.2	<i>Wh</i> -questions	307
9.2.1	Simple <i>wh</i> -questions	307
9.2.2	Comparison of movement and non-movement	311
9.2.3	In situ <i>wh</i> and pied-piping	313
9.3	Other <i>wh</i> -constructions	320
9.3.1	The signature of a long-distance dependency	320
9.3.2	Other <i>wh</i> -constructions in English	321
9.3.3	Chains and <i>wh</i> -in situ	324
9.4	Island constraints	327
9.4.1	Against intermediate traces of movement	327
9.4.2	Slash-categories	330
9.4.3	Where do constraints come from?	332
9.4.4	Semantic constraints on long-distance dependencies	334
9.4.5	Topicalization, left and right dislocation, and connectivity	338
9.5	<i>Tough</i> movement	342

9.6 Other candidates for movement	347
9.6.1 Heavy shift	347
9.6.2 Scrambling	350
9.7 Summary	351

PART III BINDING AND CONTROL

10 Mme Tussaud meets the Binding Theory	355
10.1 Introduction	355
10.2 The problem	356
10.3 Interaction of the statue rule with binding	359
10.4 The Pragmatic Option	360
10.5 The Syntactic Option	363
10.5.1 Interaction of the Syntactic Option with binding	364
10.5.2 A deletion can't work anyway	366
10.5.3 An apparently better version of the Syntactic Option	368
10.6 The Interface Option	371
10.7 Reconceiving binding theory	373
10.8 Formalizing and generalizing parts of Principle A _{CS}	379
10.8.1 The 'statue' sentences and other coercions	379
10.8.2 Verbs whose meanings involve representations or information	383
10.8.3 Final remarks	387
11 <i>Something else</i> for the Binding Theory	389
11.1 Introduction	389
11.2 How <i>else</i> behaves	393
11.3 Contra-indexing and extended anaphora	398
11.3.1 Against contra-indexing	398
11.3.2 Quantifier + <i>else</i> is compositional	399
11.3.3 Condition C and Crossover	401
11.3.4 Sloppy identity	403
11.4 <i>Else</i> is not <i>other than</i> α in syntax	404
11.4.1 Syntactic reconstruction is not possible with "extended anaphors"	405
11.4.2 Syntactic reconstruction is impossible because there need be no possible syntactic realization	406

11.4.3	The reconstructed antecedent of <i>else</i> need not be a constituent in syntax	407
11.4.4	Putting the pieces together	410
11.5	Summary	412
12	The Semantic Basis of Control in English	415
12.1	Introduction	415
12.2	A typology of control	417
12.2.1	Motivation for pursuing a semantic solution	417
12.2.2	One purely syntactic dimension: possibility of a local subject	420
12.2.3	Free control, nearly free control, and unique control	421
12.2.4	Control in adjunct clauses	425
12.3	Actional complements	427
12.4	Unique control by objects and by subjects	432
12.4.1	Unique control is determined by semantic roles	432
12.4.2	Some communication verbs and some adjectives with unique control	438
12.5	Toward a semantically based theory of unique control	444
12.6	Coercion that shifts control	451
12.6.1	The <i>bring about</i> coercion	452
12.6.2	The <i>someone allow</i> coercion	454
12.7	Partial control and the joint intention coercion	459
12.8	Further problems	464
12.8.1	Four more cases of control	464
12.8.2	Obviative vs. non-obviative control	465
12.8.3	Parallels with reflexives	466
12.8.4	Control of nominals	467
12.9	Conclusions	469

PART IV CONNECTIONS BETWEEN CLAUSES

13	Semantic Subordination Despite Syntactic Coordination	473
13.1	Introduction	473
13.2	A conditional reading of <i>and</i>	475
13.3	<i>LS-and</i> is not a subordinating conjunction	478
13.4	Interactions with binding	481
13.5	Extraction	485

13.6	Inversion and extraction within main clause <i>S-and</i> _{LS-S}	491
13.7	Asymmetric coordination ≠ semantic subordination	494
13.8	Summary	498
14	The View from the Periphery: The English	
	Comparative Correlative	500
14.1	Introduction to the CC construction	500
14.2	Evidence for the left-subordinate hypothesis	504
14.3	Evidence for the paratactic hypothesis	507
14.4	The internal structure of CC-clauses	509
14.4.1	The subject requirement and <i>be</i> -omission in CC-clauses	509
14.4.2	The usual constraints on long-distance dependency	511
14.4.3	The upper end of the long-distance dependency	513
14.4.4	Behavior of <i>the more</i> compared to other specifiers of QP	515
14.5	Binding	517
14.6	Extraction from CC	521
14.7	Summary and consequences for UG	526
15	What Is Language Like? Moving On	530
15.1	Where have we gotten?	530
15.2	Special properties of the present approach	536
15.3	Two aesthetics	540
15.4	Where do we go from here?	544
	References	548
	Index	575