## CONTENTS

| ı. I   | NTRODUCTION   |    |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.1    | Some History  | 1  |
| 1.2    | Aims and Purposes   | 5  |
| II. T  | HE STATUS OF LINGUISTICS AS A SCIENCE   |    |
| 2.1    | The Early Theory and the 'Standard View' of   |    |
|        | Scientific Theory Construction  |    |
| 2.1.1  | Chomsky's and Lees' (1957) positions  | 7  |
| 2.1.2  | The deductive-nomological model of scientific explanations in the natural sciences                                    | 11 |
| 2.1.3  | Observables, implicit discovery procedures and the native speaker's "predictions"                                     | 12 |
| 2.2    | The Empirical Scientific Status of the Standard   |    |
|        | Theory and its Extensions and Revisions   |    |
| 2.2.1  | The loss of the direct link to observables in ST: The competence-performance distinction                              | 14 |
| 2.2.2  | The incorporation of a semantic component   | 17 |
| 2.2.3  | Implicit discovery procedures for ST grammars and the proliferation of theoretical constructs                         | 18 |
| 2.2.4  | The shift to 'unobservables' in the Extended<br>Standard Theory: Universal Grammar as a theory<br>of a 'mental organ' | 19 |
| 2.3    | Explanation versus Explication  |    |
| 2.3.1  | Generative grammars comparable to axiomatic theories in the nonempirical sciences                                     | 22 |
| 2.3.2  | Linguistics as an 'unnatural', i.e. human science (Itkonen)   |    |
| 2.3.2. | nature  | 25 |
| 2.3.2. |   | 27 |
| 2.3.2. | 3 Grammatical Explication   | 28 |

2.3.2.3

| III. | THE CONCEPT | OF 'LI | NGUIS | STICA | ALLY | SIGNIFICANT | GENE- |
|------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
|      | RALIZATION' | (LSG)  | AND   | ITS   | CRIT | TICS        |       |

| 3.1     | Different LSGs as Bases for Different Theories  |    |
|---------|---|----|
| 3.1.1   | LSGs as determined by the formal devices available in the theory                        | 34 |
| 3.1.2   | 'Deep' semantic relationships as LSGs   | 36 |
| 3.1.3   | 'Atomic predicates' as LSGs   | 37 |
| 3.1.4   | 'Generativist' versus 'interpretivist' LSGs   | 38 |
| 3.2     | LSGs and the Concept of 'Independent Motivation   | •  |
| 3.2.1   | 'Abstract verbs' as LSGs  | 40 |
| 3.2.2   | 'Standard hypotheses' versus 'generalized hypotheses'                                   | 41 |
| 3.3     | The Role of Hypothetical Constructs   |    |
| 3.3.1   | Empirical versus nonempirical generalizations   | 44 |
| 3.3.2   | Valid abstractions versus fictions (or expressing versus inventing generalizations)     | 47 |
| 3.3.3   | Descriptive versus procedural metalanguages   | 52 |
| 3.4     | Linguistically Significant versus Statistical-  |    |
|         | ly Significant Generalizations  |    |
| 3.4.1   | Significance as a 'scalar' property?  | 55 |
| 3.4.2   | Statistical # linguistic significance   | 33 |
| 3.4.2.1 |   | 58 |
| 3.4.2.2 | The curly-brackets notation   | 60 |
| 3.4.2.3 |   | 62 |
| 3.5     | LSGs and the Lexical Extension of Linguistic  |    |
|         | Forms and Processes   | 64 |
| 3.6     | Formal versus Explanatory Generalizations   |    |
| 3.6.1   | The terms 'formal' and 'explanatory'  | 66 |
| 3.6.2   | Primary and secondary generalizations   | 69 |
| IV. THE |   |    |
|         | E REVISED EXTENDED STANDARD THEORY (REST) AND ITS DULES VERSUS THE STANDARD THEORY (ST) |    |
| 4.1     | Chomsky (1980)  | 72 |
| 4.2     | Proliferation of Subtheories and of Levels of Representations                           | 75 |
|         |   |    |

| 4.3       | Data Restrictions   |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.3.1     | Sentence grammar  | 7   |
|           | Exclusion of semantics (or 'autonomy of syntax' re-adopted)   | 79  |
| 4.4 I     | Preview: Examining the evidence for REST  | 81  |
|           | MOTIVATION FOR EXTENDING THE BASE COMPONENT:<br>LEXICALIST HYPOTHESIS (LH)                                |     |
| t         | The Problem of the 'Trading Relations' between the Base and the Transformational Component (LH or TH)     | 83  |
|           | Chomsky's Original Arguments for LH and Their Refutation  |     |
| 5.2.0     | Introduction: Three arguments   | 84  |
| 5.2.1     | The 'Derived Structures'Argument (DSA)  |     |
| 5.2.1.1   | Chomsky's analyses of some 'clear cases'  | 85  |
| 5.2.1.2   | Some 'unclear cases': Bolinger (1973)   | 86  |
| 5.2.1.3   | Other counterevidence to the DSA  |     |
| 5.2.1.3.1 | <del>-</del>  | 88  |
| 5.2.1.3.2 | Causative verbs and their DNs (Smith 1972)  | 89  |
| 5.2.2 I   | The 'Internal Structure' Argument (ISA)   |     |
| 5.2.2.1   | DSA and ISA: Generalizing transformations to NPs  | 90  |
| 5.2.2.2   | Passives and their nominalizations  |     |
| 5.2.2.2.1 | <pre>t structure-building</pre>   | 92  |
| 5.2.2.2   | Passive DNs do have sentential origins: 'irregular' passives, DNs, sentential adverbs and 'picture' nouns | 95  |
| 5.2.2.3   | Degrees of 'nouniness'  | 100 |
| 5.2.2.4   | A strategy for the disposing of counter-<br>evidence to LH: The postulation of 'ana-<br>logical' rules    | 103 |
| 5.2.3 T   | The 'Semantic Kinkiness' Argument (SKA)   |     |
| 5.2.3.1   | Semantic idiosyncrasy versus 'syntactic' productivity   |     |
| 5.2.3.1.1 | Productivity in ST versus EST   | 105 |

| 5.2.3.1. | Morphological irregularity versus syntac-   | 107 |
|----------|---|-----|
| 5.2.3.2  | Lexicalization versus syntactic derivation  |     |
| 5.2.3.2. | 1 Lexemes versus syntactic derivatives  | 109 |
| 5.2.3.2. |   | 111 |
| 5.2.3.3  | 'Metalinguistic' head nouns and LH versus TH  | 113 |
| 5.3      | Further Counterevidence to LH   |     |
| 5.3.0    | Introduction  | 115 |
| 5.3.1    | The 'neutral entry' hypothesis refuted:   |     |
| 5.3.1.1  | The directionality of word-forming processes  | 116 |
| 5.3.1.2  | Nomina actionis and qualitatis versus agent-<br>and object-nominalizations: The 'double<br>occurrence' constraint (DOC) | 118 |
| 5.3.1.3  | Proliferation of noun classes and lexical entries   | 120 |
| 5.3.1.4  | Deverbal adjectives in -able  | 122 |
| 5.3.2    | Lexical <sub>2</sub> (and morphological) versus syntactic, phonological and lexical <sub>1</sub> redundancy rules       |     |
| 5.3.2.1  | The transformational character of Chomsky's lexical <sub>2</sub> redundancy rules                                       | 125 |
| 5.3.2.2  | Syntactic, phonological and lexical, redun-<br>dancy rules  | 128 |
| 5.3.2.3  | Feature precipitation (phonological, syntactic and semantic) versus morphological feature creation                      | 129 |
| 5.3.2.4  | Morphological redundancy rules and the filter-<br>ing effect of PS-rules: un-passives                                   | 135 |
| 5.3.3    | Passive participles as verbs or adjectives or both or neither   |     |
| 5.3.3.1  | Passive participles as verbs - <u>un</u> -passives as adjectives: Hust (1977)   | 138 |
| 5.3.3.2  | Passive participles as adjectives: Freidin (1975)   | 140 |
| 5.3.3.3  | Deriving contradictory conclusions from the same data and ignoring some (with PS-rules as filters)                      | 143 |
| 5.3.3.4  | "Predicting" actives from passives: directionality reversed   | 146 |
| 5.4      | The "Empirical" Distinction between Transforma-   |     |
|          | tions and Lexical Rules: Wasow (1977)   |     |

| 5.4.1    | "Natural" properties of transformations as against lexical (redundancy) rules: Wasow's criteria              | 148   |
|----------|--|-------|
| 5.4.2    | Wasow's arguments refuted:   |       |
| 5.4.2.1  | V- <u>able</u> adjectives: transformations may feed category-changing rules                                  | 150   |
| 5.4.2.2  | Lexical versus transformational passives (Wasow vs. Freidin vs. Hust)  | 154   |
| 5.4.3    | Getting rid of counterevidence: PS-rules, empty (subject) nodes and additional semantic interpretation rules | 156   |
| VI. MOTI | IVATIONS FOR CONSTRAINING THE 'EXTENDED' CATEGORIA   | L     |
| RULE     | ES: X' THEORIES  |       |
| 6.0      | Preview  | 159   |
|          |  |       |
| 6.1      | Chomsky's Original Motivation for X' Theories  | 159   |
| 6.1.1    | 'Specifiers' and 'Complements'   | , , , |
| 6.1.2    | Universality claims: The schema, its categories, their features and NP as a cyclic node                      | 161   |
| 6.2      | The X' Schema as a Formalization of LH   | 163   |
| 6.3      | Counterevidence to LH as Counterevidence to  |       |
|          | X' Theory  | 164   |
| 6.3.0    | Introduction   | 104   |
| 6.3.1    | Counterargument I to LH and its consequences for X' theory   | 165   |
| 6.3.2    | Counterargument II to LH and its consequences for X' theory  | 169   |
| 6.4      | Additional Counterevidence to X' Theories  | 474   |
| 6.4.0    | Introduction   | 171   |
| 6.4.1    | Word-formation processes: Denominal verbs and their nominal bases  |       |
| 6.4.1.0  | L : di ocumerasy   | 172   |
| 6.4.1.1  | Locatum verbs  | 173   |
| 6.4.1.2  | •  | 175   |
| 6.4.1.3  |  | 178   |
| 6.4.1.4  |  | 180   |
| 6.4.1.5  | Instrument verbs   | 181   |
| 6.4.1.6  |  |       |

| 6.4.1.6.1     | No relevant parallelisms between nouns and their verbal derivatives   | 183 |
|---------------|---|-----|
| 6.4.1.6.2     | Complex NPs and parallel nominal compounds  | 185 |
| 6.4.1.6.3     | Lexicon-internal "syntactic" deep struc-<br>tures, obligatoriness of subcategoriza-<br>tion features and "hypothetical" verbs       | 186 |
| 6.4.1.7       | Denominal adjectives and deadjectival verbs   | 189 |
| 6.4.2 Adnopar | ominal NPs and their predicative counter-<br>ts   |     |
| 6.4.2.1       | Chomsky's original treatment of adnominal genitive NPs  | 191 |
| 6.4.2.2       | Syntactic sources for adnominal genitive NPs  |     |
| 6.4.2.2.1     | Lexicalist case-grammars versus Chomskyan subject-predicate grammars  | 192 |
| 6.4.2.2.2     | Predicate genitives as relative clause sources for 'alienable' and other possessives  | 195 |
| 6.4.2.3       | Predicate and adnominal genitives as problems for Chomskyan X' theories   |     |
| 6.4.2.3.1     | Chomsky (1968): Subjects of DNs versus subjects of 'picture' nouns  | 197 |
| 6.4.2.3.2     | Specifiers without heads  | 198 |
| 6.4.2.3.3     | Syntactic irrecoverability versus semantic interpretation: Chomsky (1968) versus Jackendoff (1974, 1977)                            | 200 |
| 6.4.2.3.4     | Preposing versus postposing analyses of NP's Nconstructions: Hornstein (1977) versus Jackendoff                                     |     |
| 6.4.2.3.4.1   | Differences between NPs and Ss (S + V'' or V''')  | 202 |
| 6.4.2.3.4.2   | Thematic functions versus grammatical relations: $\underline{NP}$ 's $\underline{N}$ derived from $\underline{N}$ $\underline{PNP}$ | 208 |
| 6.4.2.3.4.3   | DNs versus 'picture' nouns  | 209 |
| 6.4.2.3.4.4   | Postposing and spelling rules versus trace theory   | 210 |
| 6.4.2.4       | Hornstein's arguments refuted   |     |
| 6.4.2.4.1     | Spelling rules and semantic range of genitive NPs in preposing analyses   | 213 |
| 6.4.2.4.2     | Thematic functions + trace theory versus LH + X' theory   | 216 |
| 6.4.2.4.3     | Trace theory and the base-generation of passives  | 218 |
| 6.4.2.4.4     | Formal syntax, trace theory and selection-<br>al information  | 220 |

| 6.4.2.5  | Conclusions  |      |
|----------|--|------|
| 6.4.2.5. | postnominal PPs and their predicative  | 223  |
| 6.4.2.5. | (DNs) and all other types of nouns and their consequences for lexicalist grammars  | 231  |
| 6.4.2.5. | Postposing (X <sub>1</sub> ') versus preposing (X <sub>2</sub> ') approaches and their consequences for and compatibility with REST tenets |      |
| 6.4.2.5. | 3.1 Divergent properties   | 234  |
| 6.4.2.5. | 3.2 Common failures  | 237  |
| 527 T    | ADDUCT A CAINCE V ' AND Y ' THEORIES   |      |
| VII. FU  | URTHER ARGUMENTS AGAINST X1' AND X2' THEORIES  |      |
| 7.0      | Introduction   | 239  |
| 7.1      | X' Systems and the Modifier-Head Distinction (or   |      |
|          | the clearly 'unclear' case of prenominal adjec-  |      |
|          | tives)   |      |
| 7.1.1    | 'Specifier' as a category symbol versus an abbreviation of nodes   |      |
| 7.1.1.1  | Introduction   | 2 39 |
| 7.1.1.2  | "Category Symbolists"  |      |
| 7.1.1.2. | 1 Hornstein (1977): S # V'''   |      |
| 7.1.1.2  | 1.1 'Specifiers' versus 'modifiers'  | 241  |
| 7.1.1.2. | 1.2 "Relative clause source" and "subjecti-<br>val" adjectives   | 243  |
| 7.1.1.2  | .2 Halitsky (1975): S = V'''   |      |
| 7.1.1.2  | 2.1 An X <sub>1</sub> ' prediction: The Specifier Recursion Hypothesis   | 245  |
| 7.1.1.2  | .2.2 Sentential subjects versus nominal sub-   | 247  |
| 7.1.1.2. | 2.3 Locality, strict locality, and inherent subcategorization of sentential subjects   | 250  |
| 7.1.1.2  | .2.4 'Subjectival' adjectives versus the Left Branch Schema  | 252  |
| 7.1.1.2  | .2.5 The costs of the X <sub>1</sub> ' "prediction"  | 253  |
| 7.1.1.3  | 'Specifier' as an abbreviation of nodes  |      |
| 7.1.1.3  |  | 255  |

| 7.1.1.3.2                               | Syntactic base-generation of two adjectival slots and semantic projection  | 257        |
|---|--|------------|
| 7.1.1.3.3                               | Further deficiencies of Jackendoff's approach  |            |
| 7.1.1.3.3.1<br>7.1.1.3.3.2              | Generalization of syntactic base rules versus generalization of semantic projection rules: Verbs and their DNs versus predicate adjectives and nominals and their DNs Locality versus non-locality of subjects | 259<br>262 |
| 7.1.1.3.3.3                             | A possible modification of Jackendoff's  | 202        |
| 7.1.1.3.3.4                             | $S = \begin{cases} V''' \\ A''' \\ N''' \end{cases}$ Further extensions of generalized gramma-   | 263        |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | tical relations: 'subjectival' and 'ob-<br>jectival' adjectives  | 265        |
|   | minal adjectives: The explanatory inad-<br>les of X' theories  | 266        |
| 7.1.3 Modifi jects                      | ers and heads versus verbs and their ob-   |            |
| 7.1.3.0 Ir                              | ntroduction: X' theories as formalizations of the modifier-head distinct-ion   | 268        |
| 7.1.3.1 F1                              | ries (1952): Modifiers and objects belong<br>to different layers of struc-<br>ture   | 269        |
| 7.1.3.2 Er                              | ndocentricity versus exocentricity   |            |
| 7.1.3.2.1                               | Sentences as exocentric constructions  | 271        |
| 7.1.3.2.2                               | Emonds (1976) versus Harris (1951)   |            |
| 7.1.3.2.2.1                             | Endocentricity of X' phrase nodes versus surface equivalence of morpheme classes   | 271        |
| 7.1.3.2.2.2                             | The vacuousness of deep structure 'heads'  | 274        |
| 7.1.3.2.2.3                             | The parenthesis notation as a cause of overgenerating PS-rules   | 276        |
| 7.1.3.2.2.4                             | Emonds (1976) versus Lyons (1977): The exocentricity of 'common' NPs   | 278        |
| 7.1.3.2.2.5                             | The circularity of deep structure "explanations": Obligatory $\Delta$ heads and their deletion   | 280        |
| 7.1.3.3 Mc                              | odifiers and heads in a pre-ST approach<br>wees 1962)  |            |
| 7.1.3.3.1                               | Subordinate elements as optional co-<br>constituents of major categories in<br>PS-expansions   | 282        |
| 7.1.3.3.2                               | Systematic optionality of modifiers versus occasional optionality of objects: a  |            |

|          | transformational reconstruction of the modi-<br>fier-head distinction                      | -<br>284 |
|----------|--|----------|
| 7.1.3.4  | "Heads" in the latest version of REST: Chomsky (1981)                                      |          |
| 7.1.3.4. | subcomponents and subtheories  | 286      |
| 7.1.3.4. | versus 0-theory: subcategorization versus selection, the 'projection' principle and        | 287      |
| 7.1.3.4. | 3 Adjectival 'heads' in RREST  |          |
| 7.1.3.4. | predicate adjectives   | 291      |
| 7.1.3.4. | a third type of 'indirect' 9-marking and LF  | 293      |
| 7.1.3.4. | 3.3 Prenominal adjectives, a fourth type of 'indirect' 0-marking and LF                    | 295      |
| 7.2      | The Alleged Restrictivity of X' Categorial Rules   |          |
| 7.2.0    | Introduction: The programme of reducing the class of possible base components and grammars | 296      |
| 7.2.1    | Jackendoff's Uniform Level hypothesis: S = V'''  | 297      |
| 7.2.2    | Bresnan's features and types (XO - X'''')  |          |
| 7.2.2.1  | An alleged inadequacy of PS-rules  | 298      |
| 7.2.2.2  | Bresnan versus Lyons   | 300      |
| 7.2.3    | 'Perverse' PS-rules to generate GNs: Schachter (1976)                                      | 302      |
| 7.2.4    | GNs and other exceptions to the X' schema: Jackendoff (1977)                               | 304      |
| 7.2.5    | The vacuousness of X' restrictivity claims and LH:   |          |
| 7.2.5.1  | The "restrictivity" of exceptions to the X' schema   | 305      |
| 7.2.5.2  | PS-rules   | 306      |
| 7.2.6    | X' conventions as 'constraints on linguistic change': Lightfoot (1979)                     | 307      |
| 7.2.7    | Lightfoot's vacuousness criteria or the mutual incompatibility of X' conventions           | 309      |

| 7.3      | The Restrictivity of the <u>SS</u> -Theory versus EST,  |             |
|----------|---|-------------|
| 7 2 2    | REST and RREST  |             |
| 7.3.0    | Introduction  | 310         |
| 7.3.1    | Restrictivity of PS-rules and the proliferation of possible and impossible subcategories in lexicalist grammars |             |
| 7.3.1.1  | Subcategorization of nouns in ST versus EST   | 311         |
| 7.3.1.2  | 'Mirror image' subject selection: prenominal adjectives   | 313         |
| 7.3.1.3  | Base-generated 'garbage' and the lexicon (and other modules) as filters   | 313         |
| 7.3.2    | Some restrictive properties of SS grammars  |             |
| 7.3.2.1  | 'True heads' and no subcategorizational 'garbage'   | 314         |
| 7.3.2.2  | An SS grammar versus ST   |             |
| 7.3.2.2. | 1 Fillmore's (1963) approach: SS plus motivated dummies   | 315         |
| 7.3.2.2. | 'Local grammaticality' versus unrestricted deep structures  | 317         |
| 7.3.2.2. | Embedding transformations versus genera-<br>lized phrase-markers and the cycle                                  | 318         |
| 7.3.2.3  | "Formal" simplicity of ST- versus 'empirical' restrictivity of $\overline{\text{SS}}$ grammars                  | 320         |
| 7.3.3    | REST: Restrictivity of transformations traded for the proliferation of other theoretical constructs             |             |
| 7.3.3.1  | Restricting transformations to 'Move $\alpha$ ' at the expense of other modules                                 | 324         |
| 7.3.3.2  | The 'history' of the active-passive relation revisited  | 324         |
| 7.3.3.3  | Proliferation of formal devices versus explanatory adequacy   | 328         |
|          |   |             |
|          | ME CONCLUDING ARGUMENTS: 'ADVANCED' LEXICALISM RSUS EARLY TRANSFORMATIONALISM                                   |             |
| 8.1      | Introduction  | <b>3</b> 30 |
| 8.2      | 'Advanced' Lexicalism: Recursive Word-Structure   |             |
|          | Rules, a 'Lexical' Lexicon and 'Transformational'   |             |
|          | Insertion Conditions  | 331         |
| 8.3      | 'Morphological' Insertions as Mirror-Images of  |             |
|          | Syntactic Ones  | 335         |

| 8.4      | Disambiguation of Morphological Structures by     |             |
|----------|---|-------------|
|          | Structure-Building 'Semantic' Interpretation      |             |
|          | Rules, i.e. Syntactic Paraphrases                 | 337         |
| 8.5      | The 'Clausiness' Squish: Syntactic Phrases as     |             |
|          | Inputs to Word-Structure Rules                    | <b>3</b> 39 |
| 8.6      | 'Morphological' Argument Structure Equals Syn-    |             |
|          | tactic Argument Structure                         | 340         |
| 8.7      | The DOC as Counterevidence to Early and 'Advanced | •           |
|          | Lexicalism: Verbal Nexus Combinations and N+N     |             |
|          | Compounds   | 344         |
| 8.8      | Hypothetical Verbs as "Semantic Features" in N+N  |             |
|          | Compounds or the Vacuousness of Modular Solutions |             |
|          | to the Variability Problem                        | 347         |
| 8.9      | Structure-Building 'Interpretive' Filters as      |             |
|          | Substitutes for Transformations                   | 352         |
| 8.10     | Conclusions: The Common Failures of Lexicalist    |             |
|          | Models versus TH                                  | 354         |
| FOOTNOTE | ES  |             |
|          | es to Chapter I                                   | <b>3</b> 59 |
|          | es to Chapter II                                  | 360         |
|          | es to Chapter III                                 | 365         |
|          | es to Chapter IV                                  | 375         |
|          | es to Chapter V                                   | 377         |
|          | es to Chapter VI                                  | 392         |
|          | es to Chapter VII                                 | 408         |
|          | es to Chapter VIII                                | <b>4</b> 36 |
| BIBLIOG  |   | 442         |