

Contents

Preface	ix
1 Methodology and aims	
1.1 Brief description of the proposed study	1
1.2 Sociolinguistics vs. linguistics	3
1.3 Written vs. spoken language	14
1.4 Diachronic variation – a sociolinguistic perspective	22
1.5 The use of the Cedergren–Sankoff variable rule program	26
2 Methods for a sociolinguistic study of historical syntax	
2.1 The relevance of sociolinguistics to syntax	29
2.2 The nature of syntactic variation	31
2.3 A sociolinguistic study of historical syntax: the relative system	37
2.3.1 Treatment of the relatives in generative grammar	38
2.3.2 The Det-S or Art-S analysis	38
2.3.3 The NP-S analysis	40
2.3.4 The NOM-S analysis	41
2.3.5 Deep structure conjunction analysis	42
2.3.6 Rules for the introduction and deletion of relative markers	44
2.3.6.1 WH-rel attachment or relative clause formation rule	44
2.3.6.2 WH fronting	46
2.3.6.3 Relative <i>that</i> transformation	46
2.3.6.4 Relative <i>that</i> deletion	48
2.4 The derivation of relative clauses and pronouns	51
3 The history of the relative clause/markers in English with special reference to Middle Scots	
3.1 The origin of the relative clause in the Germanic languages: a problem of general syntax	53
3.2 The Old English period	56
3.3 The Middle English period	59
3.4 The early modern English period	69
3.5 Relative markers in Middle Scots	69
3.6 The case of relative marker deletion/omission	72

4	The linguistic variables	
4.1	Type of clause	81
4.2	Features of the antecedent or head NP	88
	4.2.1 Animacy of the antecedent	88
	4.2.2 Types of noun modification structures	89
4.3	Syntactic position or function of the relative marker	92
	4.3.1 Subject relatives	92
	4.3.2 Object and indirect object relatives	93
	4.3.3 Predicate nominal relatives	94
	4.3.4 Genitive or possessive relatives	95
	4.3.5 Temporal and locative relatives	96
	4.3.6 Prepositional or oblique relatives	97
4.4	Other factors affecting the choice of relative markers	99
4.5	Relativization and syntactic complexity	99
5	The extralinguistic variables: methods for the reconstruction of language in its social context	
5.1	The problem of sampling	105
	5.1.1 'Random' sampling and the problem of sample size	107
	5.1.2 On the random nature of linguistic data	111
5.2	Type of text	114
	5.2.1 Sociolinguistic definitions of style	115
	5.2.2 The isolation of contextual styles	118
5.3	Reconstructing language in its social context	121
5.4	The intersection of stylistic and linguistic factors in the use of relative markers	126
	5.4.1 Quirk's study of relative pronouns in modern educated English	128
	5.4.2 Caldwell's (1974) study of the relative pronoun in Early Scots	131
5.5	Prescriptive grammar and the relative pronouns	132
6	Analysis of the data by two sociolinguistic techniques: cross-product analysis and implicational scaling	
6.1	Where to start?	139
6.2	A linguistic description of the relative markers in Middle Scots (1530–50)	140
	6.2.1 The effect of the animacy of the antecedent	142
	6.2.2 The effect of different types of antecedents	143
	6.2.3 The effect of syntactic position	144
6.3	Syntactic complexity	148
6.4	The measurement of syntactic complexity in individual texts	152
6.5	Syntactic complexity and stylistic differentiation	157
6.6	Index of relative marker deletion	160
6.7	The isolation of contextual styles and the language of individuals	165
	6.7.1 Stylistic levels in <i>Ane Satyre of the Thrie Estaitis</i>	166
	6.7.2 Stylistic levels in <i>The Scottish Correspondence of Mary of Lorraine</i>	167

6.8	Analysis of the data by implicational scaling	170
	Appendix	174
	Excursus	177
7	Variable rule analysis of the data	
7.1	The Cedergren–Sankoff variable rule program	184
7.2	Variable rule analysis of Middle Scots relative clauses	188
7.3	Multivariate analysis of some data from modern English	198
7.4	The contribution of extralinguistic constraints to the study of diachronic change	200
7.5	The relationship between model and theory	209
7.6	Implications for synchronic and socio-historical grammars	214
8	The bearing of sociolinguistic data on linguistic hypotheses	
8.1	Labov's analysis of contraction and deletion of the copula in BEV	218
8.2	The use of variable constraints in linguistic argumentation	221
8.3	The role of social factors in linguistic descriptions and argumentation	224
8.4	A sociolinguistic analysis of variation in word-final /r/ in Edinburgh: a case for integrative sociolinguistic description	228
8.5	What is a speech community?	234
9	On the epistemological status of sociolinguistic theory	
9.1	On the nature and locus of variability	240
	9.1.1 Idiolectal vs. sociolectal grammars	240
	9.1.2 Is variability a matter of competence or performance?	247
9.2	Linguistic and social data: independent or dependent variables?	252
	9.2.1 Transition	253
	9.2.2 The social dimension of linguistic change	262
	9.2.3 Constraints and actuation: what can be explained?	269
9.3	Is a sociolinguistic theory possible?	273
	9.3.1 On the empirical foundations of a sociolinguistic theory	274
	9.3.2 On falsification and the role of probability theories in linguistics	277
	9.3.3 Defining a sociolinguistic methodology	280
9.4	Suggestions for a sociolinguistic research program	282
	9.4.1 Avoiding scientism	282
	9.4.2 Developing a non-deductivist epistemology	284
9.5	The place of sociolinguistic theory vis-à-vis linguistic theory	285
	Bibliography	290
	Index	310