Contents

	Tables and Figures	page 1x
	Preface	xi
	Introduction	1
I	Operational preliminaries	
I.I	Orientation points along the time line	7
1.2	Time and its Linguistic representation	8
	1.2.1 Timeandtense	8
	1.2.2 Absolute vs. relative tense	10
1.3	Tense, aspect, mood, and modality	11
-	1.3.1 Tense and aspect	11
	1.3.2 Mood, modality, and modals	12
	1.3.3 Tense as modality	15
I.4	The categories of future reference	16
	1.4.1 Futurity vs. posteriority	16
	1.4.2 Exponents of futurity	17
	1.4.3 Temporal proximity	17
1.5	Go-futures	17
1.6	Contingent vs. assumed event	20
	1.6.1 Speaker's perspective	20
1.7	Obligation and intentionality	21
2	Future as an ontological and grammatical category	
	Mapping future onto grammar	22
2.I	The dynamic dimension of future semantics	23
	2.1.1 Where futures come from and where they go	24
2.2	Modality and future orientation	24
	2.2.1 Future as tense or mood	25
	2.2.2 Conditional as tense or mood	26
	2.2.3 The future as 'internal' and 'external' time	28
	2.2.4 The future as projected present	30
2.3	Temporality, modality, and the instability of futures	31
3	The Latin background	
•	Proto-Indo-European	3
	Future reference in Latin	33

vi Contents

	3.1.1 The synthetic futures	page 33
	3.1.2 The first periphrastic construction	35
	3.1.3 Other periphrastic structures	39
	3.1.4 Emerging patterns	40
3.2	Decline of amabo/dicam and genesis of cantare habeo	40
	3.2.1 Phonological and morphological factors	41
	3.2.2 The structural hypothesis	43
	3.2.3 Semantic-stylistic explanations	45
	3.2.4 Assessment of proposed linguistic explanations	47
	3.2.5 An historico-cultural explanation: Christianity	47
3.3	The rise of periphrastic constructions	50
	3.3.1 Modal verb phrases in popular Latin	50
	3.3.2 Beginnings and extension of the habeo + infinitive construction	on 52
	3.3.3 Semantics of cantare habeo and cantabo	56
	3.3.4 Diachronic semantics of cantare habeo	58
	3.3.5 Cantare habebam: future-of-the-past and conditional	59
-	Romance	
4. I	Synthesis of cantare habeo	67
	4.1.1 'Daras'	68
	4.1.2 Genesis of the agglutinated forms	68
	4.1.3 Chronology	69
	4.1.4 Morphosyntax of chanterai	70
	4.1.5 Agglutinated vs. disjunctive futures in Romance	74
	4.1.6 Temporality, modality, and the issue of boundness	74
	4.1.7 Synchronic relations of chanterai and cantare habeo	75
4.2	Chanterai and the expression of futurity in early Romance	76
	4.2.1 Volitional futures	77
	4.2.2 Obligative and potential futures	77
	4.2.3 Intentive futures	78
4.3	Go-futures	78
	4.3.1 Is the future 'coming' or 'going'?	78
	4.3.2 Go-futures in diachronic perspective	81
	4.3.3 Aspect and tense in go-futures	83
	4.3.4 Tense/aspect and the morphosyntax of futures	85
4.4	Chanterai vs. je vais chanter	86
	4.4.1 Contrastive semantics of simple futures and go-futures	86
	4.4.2 Present relevance: key to the tense/aspect reversal in go-future	
	4.4.3 Acquisition of futures	99
	4.4.4 Geographic and sociolinguistic distribution of simple futures	
15	and go-futures Summary	101
4 •3	Summar y	102
5	Syntheticity vs. analyticity in Romance futures and perfects	
5.1	The concept of cycles in the evolution of futures	103
	5.1.1 Temporalization and syntheticity	105

	5.1.2 Temporalization and analyticity	page	106
	5.1.3 Futurity, modality, and the issue of boundness		108
	5.1.4 Cycles and the fusion of cantare habeo		110
5.2	Verb phrases: an indicator of word-order in transition		110
,	5.2.1 Latin: an OV language or not?		I I I
	5.2.2 Motivation for typological change		113
5.3	Word-order and the fusion of cantare habeo		113
,	5.3.1 Syntax of habeo + nonfinite verb constructions		113
	5.3.2 Futures from volo, venio + infinitive		I I 4
	5.3.3 The accentual hypothesis		114
	5.3.4 Failure of habeo cantatu to fuse		115
	5.3.5 Vadormir: fusion of an aux + infinitive structure		115
5.4	Cantare habeo vs. habeo cantatu and the shift from OV to SVO		119
	5.4.1 Why two different word-orders?		119
	5.4.2 Grammaticization of cantare habeo		I 20
	5.4.3 From OV to SVO		I 2 I
	5.4.4 The morphosyntax of modal auxiliaries		123
	5.4.5 The status of habeo: auxiliary or main verb?		124
	5.4.6 Relative chronology in the formation of analytic tenses		125
5.5	Morphology recapitulates syntax		126
	5.5.1 "Today's morphology is yesterday's syntax"		126
	5.5.2 Stronger and weaker versions of the claim		127
6	Semantics of futurity in Romance		
6. I	From obligation to futurity and then?		128
	6.1.1 Modal applications of futures		129
	6.1.2 Future and irrealis modalities		133
6.2	Future and subjunctive		133
	6.2.1 Conceptual overlap between future and subjunctive		133
	6.2.2 Future and subjunctive: Latin and the Indo-European lega	су	134
	6.2.3 The future subjunctive		137
	6.2.4 Marking futurity in the subjunctive		139
	6.2.5 The status of subjunctive in Romance		141
	6.2.6 The marking of irrealis from Latin to Romance		142
6.3	The next future auxiliary?		143 144
	6.3.1 'Want to'		144
	6.3.2 'Ought to'		148
	6.3.3 'Plan to'		140
	Conclusions		
7.1	Approach to the problem		150
7.2	The boundness of Romance verb forms		151
	7.2.1 Are futures less bound than pasts or presents?		151
	7.2.2 Boundness as a function of morphological and syntactic		
	typology		151

viii Contents

7.3	Temporality, modality, and boundness	page 153
7.4	Movements in semantics and grammar	154
	Notes	156
	Bibliography	194
	Index	215

Tables

I.	Greek and Latin reflexes of Indo-European moods	page 33
2a.	Futur vs. ultérieur in Latin and French	36
2b.	Futurity/posteriority/prospection in Latin and French	36
3.	Latin and Romance aspectual systems	44
4.	The expression of the hypothetical in Latin	64
5.	Irrealis and temporal distance from 'now'	66
6.	Morphosyntactic evolution of cantare habeo	71
7.	Symmetry of French past and future systems	100
8.	Analytic and synthetic cycles	104
9.	Futurity and modality in diachronic overview	109
10.	The American Spanish go-future	116
II.	Diachronic overview of future forms and functions	130
	Figures	
I.	Functional evolution of the infinitive construction with habere	66
2.	The go-future perspective	79
2. 3.	Future vs. go-future	98
_	Diachronic semantics of futurity	129
4. 5	Experience semantics of rature and subjunctive in Latin	136