

---

# Contents

---

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Introduction</i>	xiii
<b>1 Judging the end(ing) of life: conflict and confusion</b>	<b>1</b>
1 <i>Conceptual rights and conceptual wrongs: defining 'euthanasia'</i>	3
1.1 <i>Humpty Dumpty at the end of life</i>	4
1.2 <i>Towards a working definition of 'euthanasia'</i>	8
2 <i>Moral rights and moral wrongs</i>	9
2.1 <i>The intrinsic value of life</i>	10
2.2 <i>Choosing the value of life</i>	13
2.3 <i>The instrumental value of life</i>	15
2.4 <i>Executioners on slippery slopes</i>	17
3 <i>Assessing the rights and wrongs of ending life</i>	21
3.1 <i>The intrinsic value of life</i>	21
3.2 <i>Choosing the value of life</i>	24
3.3 <i>The instrumental value of life</i>	26
3.4 <i>Executioners on slippery slopes</i>	27
4 <i>Conclusion: conflict and confusion at the end of life</i>	30
<b>2 Not Pretty: 'mercy killing' in legal fact and legal fiction</b>	<b>32</b>
1 <i>The mercy killer as murderer</i>	34
2 <i>The mercy killer at 'breaking point'</i>	37
2.1 <i>Accommodating the mercy killer</i>	37
2.2 <i>Stretching a (breaking) point</i>	39
2.3 <i>Diminishing the mercy killer</i>	40
3 <i>The 'unique' mercy killer</i>	42
3.1 <i>Before the breaking point</i>	43
3.2 <i>After the breaking point</i>	44
3.3 <i>The 'unique' mercy killer</i>	45

---

4	<i>Locating the mercy killer</i>	46
4.1	<i>When is a killing not a mercy killing?</i>	47
4.2	<i>When is a killing a mercy killing?</i>	48
4.3	<i>Pretty, not Pretty and the sentencing lottery</i>	49
5	<i>The mercy killer: presumed innocent?</i>	50
6	<i>Conclusion: collusion, compromise and confusion</i>	52
<b>3</b>	<b>Assisted suicide in 'the shadowy area of mercy killing'</b>	<b>55</b>
1	<i>What is assisted suicide?</i>	57
1.1	<i>Guilty for aiding the innocent</i>	58
1.2	<i>Publishers in peril</i>	58
1.3	<i>Assisting, assisting an attempt, and attempting to assist in an attempt</i>	59
1.4	<i>Pills, pillows and pistols</i>	60
2	<i>Looking for borders in 'shadowy areas'</i>	62
2.1	<i>Stretching the borders of assisted suicide</i>	62
2.2	<i>Assisted suicide beyond the borders: the curious case of 'death tourism'</i>	63
2.3	<i>The classic case of providing the pills</i>	66
2.4	<i>Left to die</i>	68
2.4.1	<i>Passive complicity in suicide</i>	70
2.4.2	<i>Innocent doctors and guilty relatives</i>	72
2.4.3	<i>Left to die or abandoned to die</i>	73
3	<i>The 'unique' mercy killer revisited</i>	77
4	<i>A right to assisted suicide</i>	79
4.1	<i>Dianne Pretty's failure: the right to die denied</i>	79
4.2	<i>Why Dianne Pretty failed</i>	81
5	<i>Conclusion: complicity, compromise and confusion</i>	82
<b>4</b>	<b>Get out of jail free? Double effect and doctors in the dock</b>	<b>84</b>
1	<i>Double effect in English law</i>	87
2	<i>Clarifying the clinical confusion</i>	88
2.1	<i>Clarifying the clinical concepts</i>	89
2.2	<i>When double effect matters</i>	89
2.3	<i>Double effect or covert euthanasia</i>	91
3	<i>No intention</i>	92
3.1	<i>The guilty father and the innocent doctor</i>	92
3.2	<i>Double effect or covert euthanasia (revisited)</i>	94
3.3	<i>Double effect in the dock</i>	96
3.4	<i>Killing by accident</i>	99
4	<i>Not a cause of death</i>	100
4.1	<i>The guilty layperson and the innocent doctor (revisited)</i>	100

4.2	<i>Not a cause in fact</i>	101	
4.3	<i>Not a cause in law</i>	102	
5	<i>Justified murder</i>	102	
5.1	<i>Overstretching the judicial reach</i>	102	
5.2	<i>The guilty layperson and the innocent doctor (re-revisited)</i>	104	
5.3	<i>Get out of jail free</i>	105	
6	<i>Beyond the boundaries of double effect</i>	106	
6.1	<i>Dodging the dock</i>	107	
6.2	<i>Engaging the jury's sympathy</i>	109	
6.3	<i>Directing the jury and directing the result</i>	110	
6.4	<i>Get out of jail free (revisited)</i>	112	
7	<i>Conclusion: compromise or confusion?</i>	112	
<b>5</b>	<b>Beyond Bland: hedging bets on the value of life?</b>		<b>115</b>
1	<i>Quinlan's challenge: letting die and letting morality in</i>	117	
1.1	<i>Quinlan's challenge</i>	117	
1.2	<i>Letting die as a moral matter</i>	119	
1.3	<i>Duties, doctors and intruders</i>	120	
2	<i>Beyond Bland: the problem of fatal omissions</i>	123	
2.1	<i>Bland's challenge: letting the incompetent patient die</i>	123	
2.2	<i>Karapetian's challenge: duties, doctors and intruders (revisited)</i>	126	
2.3	<i>Diverting doctors from the dock</i>	128	
2.4	<i>Beyond Bland: letting die as a moral matter (revisited)</i>	130	
3	<i>Doctrinal difficulties: what is the value of life?</i>	131	
3.1	<i>The intrinsic value of life</i>	131	
3.2	<i>From intrinsic value to instrumental value</i>	133	
3.3	<i>From intrinsic value to self-determined value</i>	136	
4	<i>Conclusion: hedging bets on the value of life</i>	139	
<b>6</b>	<b>Euthanasia and the middle ground: from conflict to compromise</b>		<b>141</b>
1	<i>From conflict to creativity and consensus</i>	143	
1.1	<i>Condoning conflict and confusion</i>	144	
1.2	<i>Creativity at the end of life</i>	145	
1.3	<i>Consensus and convergence at the end of life</i>	146	
2	<i>The case for compromise</i>	149	
2.1	<i>The conditions of compromise</i>	149	
2.2	<i>Condoning compromise</i>	151	

3	<i>The contours of compromise</i>	155
3.1	<i>Compromise by committee</i>	155
3.2	<i>Moral discomfort, justification and excuse</i>	158
3.3	<i>Clarifying the compromise on fatal omissions</i>	160
3.4	<i>Clarifying the double effect</i>	162
3.5	<i>Clarifying the 'shadowy area' of mercy killing</i>	165
4	<i>Conclusion: from conflict to compromise</i>	172

*Bibliography*

175

*Index*

199