

Contents

- 1. Introduction 3**
 - 1.1 Earlier Studies of Word Order 5
 - 1.1.1 The Erosion Hypothesis 5
 - 1.1.2 Erosion as the Cause of the Shift from SOV to SVO 7
 - 1.1.3 The Emergence of Fixed Word Order and the Loss of Cases 9
 - 1.2 Attempts at a Broader Approach 10
 - 1.3 The Historical Value of Latin 11
 - 1.4 The Advantages of an Analysis in Terms of Branching and the Definition of Head 13
 - 1.5 The Nature of the Change and Its Explanation 14
 - 1.6 Outline of the Present Work 16
- 2. The Definition of Head 18**
 - 2.1 Linguistic Typology 19
 - 2.1.1 Typological Dichotomy 19
 - 2.1.2 Typological Correlations 20
 - 2.1.3 The Problem of Terminology 21
 - 2.2 Typology and Diachronic Linguistics 22
 - 2.2.1 An Attempt at a Definition 23
 - 2.2.2 A New Attempt: The Notion of Branching 24

- 2.3 The Definition of Head 26
- 2.3.1 X-Bar Theory 27
- 2.3.2 The Identification of Head: Attempts Within the Framework of X-Bar Theory 29
- 2.3.2.1 *Underlying Structure* 29
- 2.3.2.2 *Category Consistency* 30
- 2.3.2.3 *The Head as Obligatory Element and the Place of Occurrence* 32
- 2.3.2.4 *The Function of Head* 33
- 2.3.2.5 *The Lacking Definition* 34
- 2.3.3 The Definition of Elements According to X-Bar Theory 35
- 2.3.3.1 *The Distinction Between Specifier Xⁿ and Complement Xⁿ* 35
- 2.3.3.2 *The Place of the Head in the Tree Structure* 40
- 2.3.3.3 *The Head of Morphological and Syntactic Structures: Analysis in Terms of Branching* 41
- 2.3.3.4 *The Subject and Its Function in the Sentence* 44
- 2.4 The Analysis in Terms of Branching 45
3. Diachronic Analysis: The Noun Phrase 47
- 3.1 The Genitive 50
- 3.1.1 The Place of the Genitive in PIE 51
- 3.1.2 The Genitive in Two Other Italic Languages: Oscan and Umbrian 51
- 3.1.3 Old Latin 53
- 3.1.4 From Old to Classical Latin 55
- 3.1.5 From Classical Latin to Vulgar Latin and Late Latin 59
- 3.1.5.1 *The Letters of Claudius Terentianus* 60
- 3.1.5.2 *Two Christian Authors: St. Jerome and St. Augustine* 60
- 3.1.5.3 *New Uses of the Genitive* 61
- 3.1.6 Old French 63
- 3.1.6.1 *The Place of the Genitive in Old and Middle French* 63
- 3.1.6.2 *The Morphological Change* 63
- 3.1.7 Overview of the Development of the Genitive 64
- 3.2 The Adjective 65
- 3.2.1 PIE, Oscan, Umbrian, and Old Latin 65
- 3.2.2 From Old Latin Onward 67

- 3.2.2.1 *Distinctive Adjectives* 68
 - 3.2.2.1.1 Antithetical Adjectives 69
 - 3.2.2.1.2 Literal and Figurative Sense 70
 - 3.2.2.1.3 Predicative Use 71
- 3.2.2.2 *Descriptive Adjectives* 71
- 3.2.2.3 *The Adjective in Classical Latin: Conclusion* 72
- 3.2.3 Vulgar Latin and Late Latin 73
 - 3.2.3.1 *The Letters of Claudius Terentianus* 74
 - 3.2.3.2 *The Peregrinatio* 74
 - 3.2.3.3 *The Writings of St. Augustine* 75
- 3.2.4 Old French 75
- 3.2.5 The End of the Middle Ages and Later 77
- 3.3 Determiners 78
 - 3.3.1 Modern French Versus Early Indo-European Dialects 78
 - 3.3.2 Latin: An Intermediary Stage? 79
 - 3.3.2.1 *The Numeral* 79
 - 3.3.2.2 *The Demonstrative* 80
 - 3.3.3 Evidence from Turkish and Japanese 82
- 3.4 The Place of the Determiner, the Adjective, and the Genitive 83

4. Diachronic Analysis: The Verb Phrase 85

- 4.1 The Unmarked Place of the Verb and its Development 86
 - 4.1.1 PIE 86
 - 4.1.2 The Verb in Oscan, Umbrian, and Old Latin 88
 - 4.1.3 From Old Latin Onward 89
- 4.2 The Marked Position of the Verb: The Initial Verb 92
 - 4.2.1 Stylistic Motivation 93
 - 4.2.1.1 *Emphasis of the Verb* 93
 - 4.2.1.2 *Pragmatic Function: Emphasis of the Utterance* 94
 - 4.2.2 Syntactic Motivation 95
 - 4.2.3 The Use of the Initial Verb: Conclusion 96
- 4.3 The Medial Position of the Verb 97
 - 4.3.1 The Elements that Follow the Medial Verb 97
 - 4.3.2 Vulgar Latin and Late Latin 98
 - 4.3.2.1 *Petronius* 99
 - 4.3.2.2 *The Letters of Claudius Terentianus* 99
 - 4.3.2.3 *The Peregrinatio* 100
 - 4.3.2.4 *St. Augustine* 101
 - 4.3.2.5 *Anonymus Valesianus* 101

- 4.3.3 The Position of the Verb in Latin: Provisional Conclusion 102
- 4.4 The Copula 103
- 4.4.1 The Position of the Copula 103
- 4.4.2 The Emergence of the Preposed Auxiliary 104
- 4.5 The Position of the Verb in Old and Middle French 107
- 4.5.1 The Position of the Subject 108
- 4.5.2 SVO Order 109
- 4.5.3 SOV Order 110
- 4.5.4 VSO and OVS Orders 111
- 4.5.4.1 *The Direct Object in Clause-Initial Position* 112
- 4.5.4.2 *The Adverbial Phrase in Initial Position* 113
- 4.5.5 Interrogative Clauses 116
- 4.5.6 The Subject Pronoun 117
- 4.6 The Adverb 118
- 4.6.1 The Morphology of the Latin Adverb 119
- 4.6.2 The Position of the Adverb 122
- 4.7 Provisional Conclusion: The Verbal and Nominal Phrase 125
- 5. Diachronic Analysis: Early Right-Branching Structures 128**
- 5.1 The Prepositional Phrase 128
- 5.1.1 The Creation of a Hierarchical Structure 129
- 5.1.2 The Shift Toward Right Branching 130
- 5.1.3 The Italic Languages: Residues of Former Postposing 130
- 5.1.3.1 *Oscan and Umbrian* 130
- 5.1.3.2 *Latin* 131
- 5.1.3.2.1 Direct Juxtaposition in the Prepositional Phrase 131
- 5.1.3.2.2 The Sequence [[Complement] Adposition] 132
- 5.1.3.2.3 Postpositions of Nominal Origin 134
- 5.1.4 Extension of the RB Prepositional Phrase 137
- 5.1.4.1 *Nominal Declension* 138
- 5.1.4.2 *Declension of Nominal Forms of the Verb* 138
- 5.1.5 Conclusion 139
- 5.2 The Comparative Construction 140
- 5.2.1 The Morphology of the Comparative 140
- 5.2.1.1 *The Latin Comparative* 141
- 5.2.1.1.1 Cracks in the LB System of Latin 141
- 5.2.1.1.2 The Spread of the Analytic Form 142

5.2.1.2	<i>Old and Middle French: The Rise of One Form</i>	143
5.2.2	The Term of Reference and Its Evolution	144
5.2.2.1	<i>The Comparative Construction in PIE</i>	145
5.2.2.2	<i>The Place of the Latin Comparative in the Ongoing Evolution</i>	149
5.2.2.3	<i>The Ablative of Comparison in Latin</i>	149
5.2.2.4	<i>The Construction Featuring Quam</i>	150
5.2.2.4.1	The Original Structure	150
5.2.2.4.2	Motivation of the Use of <i>Quam</i> Versus the Ablative of Comparison	152
5.2.2.4.3	Branching	155
5.2.2.5	<i>A Brief Revival of the Ablative of Comparison</i>	156
5.2.2.6	<i>The Prepositional Construction</i>	157
5.2.3	Conclusion	158
5.3	The Relative	159
5.3.1	The Original Structure: Correlation	160
5.3.2	The Creation of Right Branching	162
5.4	Conclusion	165
6.	The Acquisition of Branching	168
6.1	Language Acquisition	171
6.2	The Acquisition of Basic Word Order	173
6.2.1	Japanese, an LB Language	174
6.2.2	Turkish, an LB Language	175
6.2.3	The Acquisition of Basic Word Order in Russian and Polish	177
6.2.4	The Acquisition of Basic Word Order in English and German	178
6.2.5	The Acquisition of the Strict RB Basic Word Order of French	182
6.2.6	The Acquisition of Basic Word Order: Conclusion	182
6.3	The Acquisition of LB Morphological Structures	183
6.3.1	The Acquisition of Inflection in Russian, Polish, and Latvian	183
6.3.2	Prepositional Phrases	186
6.3.3	The Acquisition of Inflection: Conclusion	188
6.3.4	The Acquisition of Turkish and Hungarian Agglutination, and Japanese Case Particles	189
6.3.4.1	<i>Turkish</i>	189
6.3.4.2	<i>Japanese</i>	190
6.3.4.3	<i>Hungarian</i>	191
6.3.5	Prepositional Phrases Versus Postpositions	193

6.4	Acquisition of Complex Structures	194
6.4.1	Typological Characteristics of the Relative	195
6.4.2	The Acquisition of RB Relative Clauses in English, French, German, and Polish	197
6.4.2.1	<i>French</i>	199
6.4.2.2	<i>German</i>	199
6.4.2.3	<i>Polish</i>	200
6.4.3	LB Complex Structures: Relative Clauses in Japanese, Korean, and Turkish	202
6.4.3.1	<i>Japanese and Korean</i>	202
6.4.3.2	<i>Turkish</i>	205
6.4.4	Data on the Acquisition of Relative Clauses: Synthesis	206
6.5	Conclusion: The Advantage of Right Branching	207
7.	Conclusion	213
7.1	Diachronic Change and Language Acquisition	213
7.2	Left Branching and the Linguistic System	216
7.3	The Broader Perspective	218
	References	219
	Index	237