CONTENTS

					PAGE
Introduction	•	•	•	•	. 1
PART I. THE INCIDENCE OF APLASTIC ANAEMIA AFT					
METHOD OF INVESTIGATION					. 3
Selection of patients for study					. 3
Epidemiological data recorded		•			. 4
Identification of patients developing	ng one o	f the sp	ecial con	ditions .	. 4
Review of diagnoses .					. 5
					. 5
DATA	•	•	•		. 5
The patients in the study series		1:4:	•		. 3 . 7
Patients developing one of the spe	ciai conc	iiiions	•	•	. , . 7
Irradiated patients in the study			•	•	
Irradiated patients not in the s	tuay seri	es	•	•	. 10
Unirradiated patients .	•	•	•	•	. 12
FINDINGS					. 13
Observed and expected deaths: the	e differer	ice reco	rded		. 13
Leukaemia	•				. 13
Aplastic anaemia					. 14
Limits of error .					. 15
The diagnosis of aplastic anaemia	•				. 16
The incidence of leukaemia by sex	and age	•			. 17
					. 19
The significance of cell type	•	•	•	•	. 21
The significance of cen type	•	•	•	•	
Discussion			•	•	. 22
Ankylosing spondylitis and leukae	emia: a p	ossible	associat	ion	. 22
The possible influence of drugs	•		•		. 23
The influence of irradiation		•	•		. 24
PART II. X-RAYS AND LEUK RESPONSE RELATIONSH	AEMI. IP	A: TH	E DOS	E-	
METHOD OF INVESTIGATION		•	•	•	. 25
The sampling procedure .		•	•		. 25
The physical data recorded		•			. 25
Estimates of the dose received					. 26
(a) The mean dose to the spino	al marrov	v			. 26
(b) The whole body integral de	ose	•			. 27
The population at risk .					. 27
Relevant cases of leukaemia				•	. 29
					. 29
FINDINGS				•	:
The incidence of leukaemia in rela	ation to	the radi	ation do	se	. 29
The mean dose to the spinal m		•	•	•	. 29
The maximum dose in the spir		w	•	•	. 38
The whole body integral dose	•	•	•	•	. 38
Limits of error .		•	•	•	. 39
Random errors .					. 39

CONTENTS

	Systematic errors .		•				PAGE 40
	Theoretical errors						40
	The effect of fractionation of dose	:					41
Dı	scussion	•		•			41
	The estimates of the relevant radia	ation do	se				41
	The incidence of leukaemia in rela	ation to	the rad	iation do	se		44
	Implications of the postulated dos	se-respo	nse rela	tionship			47
PΑ	RT III. SUMMARY AND C	ONCL	USIO	NS	•		49
ΑF	PENDICES						
A.	CASE HISTORIES	•	•	•			51
	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE DERADIATION DOSE IN THE SET The construction of the phantom The measurement of the dose-cont fields, by D. E. A. Jones and R. E. The calculation of the dose-contract J. H. Mulvey, B. M. Wheatley and A.	PINAL , by B. I ribution Ellis ribution 1. Alberts	MARRO M. Whea s from s from	ow tley and V the main adjacent	treatme fields,	nt	99 99 100 111
C.	THE METHODS USED TO ESTIM INTEGRAL DOSE OF RADIATI					zer	113
D.	ESTIMATE OF ERROR IN THE DOSE-RESPONSE RELATION		LATIO	N OF T	н е •		124
E.	WORKERS PARTICIPATING IN 1	THE IN	VESTIG	ATION			130
F.	RADIOTHERAPY CENTRES CO-	-OPERA	TING	IN THE			
	Investigation .	•					131
A	CKNOWLEDGEMENTS						133
RI	EFERENCES .					_	134