

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 What's So Good about Majority Rule?</b>	<b>1</b>
The Mayor of Stickeyville . . . . .	1
Anonymity, Neutrality, and Monotonicity . . . . .	3
Majority Rule and May's Theorem . . . . .	5
Quota Systems . . . . .	6
Back to May's Theorem . . . . .	10
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	11
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	13
<b>2 Perot, Nader, and Other Inconveniences</b>	<b>17</b>
The Plurality Method . . . . .	18
The Borda Count . . . . .	20
Preference Orders . . . . .	22
Back to Borda . . . . .	24
May's Theorem Revisited . . . . .	26
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	28
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	33
<b>3 Back into the Ring</b>	<b>37</b>
Condorcet Winners and Losers . . . . .	39
Sequential Pairwise Voting . . . . .	43

Instant Runoff . . . . .	48
Putting It All Together . . . . .	51
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	52
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	55
<b>4 Trouble in Democracy</b>	<b>59</b>
Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives . . . . .	60
Arrow's Theorem . . . . .	65
What is a Voting System? . . . . .	66
Arrow's Conditions . . . . .	68
The Punchline . . . . .	70
Pareto's Unanimity Condition . . . . .	71
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	73
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	76
<b>5 Explaining the Impossible</b>	<b>79</b>
Proving Arrow's Theorem . . . . .	80
Potential Solutions . . . . .	89
Weakening the Pareto Condition . . . . .	89
Approval Voting . . . . .	90
Intensity of Binary Independence . . . . .	94
Concluding Remarks . . . . .	96
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	97
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	99
<b>6 One Person, One Vote?</b>	<b>103</b>
Weighted Voting Systems . . . . .	105
Dictators, Dummies, and Veto Power . . . . .	108
Swap Robustness . . . . .	109
Trade Robustness . . . . .	113
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	116
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	118
<b>7 Calculating Corruption</b>	<b>121</b>

The Banzhaf Power Index . . . . .	123
The Shapley-Shubik Index . . . . .	126
Banzhaf Power in Psykozia . . . . .	130
A Splash of Combinatorics . . . . .	132
Shapley-Shubik Power in Psykozia . . . . .	135
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	137
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	140
<b>8 The Ultimate College Experience</b>	<b>147</b>
The Electoral College . . . . .	149
The Winner-Take-All Rule . . . . .	150
Some History . . . . .	152
Power in the Electoral College . . . . .	154
Swing Votes and Perverse Outcomes . . . . .	157
Alternatives to the Electoral College . . . . .	162
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	163
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	166
<b>9 Trouble in Direct Democracy</b>	<b>169</b>
Even More Trouble . . . . .	171
The Separability Problem . . . . .	173
Binary Preference Matrices . . . . .	176
Testing for Separability . . . . .	177
Tool #1: Symmetry . . . . .	177
Tool #2: Unions and Intersections . . . . .	178
Some Potential Solutions . . . . .	180
Solution #1: Avoid Nonseparable Preferences . . . . .	181
Solution #2: Set-wise Voting . . . . .	182
Solution #3: Sequential Voting . . . . .	183
Solution #4: Contingent Ballots . . . . .	186
Solution #5: To Be Determined . . . . .	186
Questions for Further Study . . . . .	186
Answers to Starred Questions . . . . .	189

**10 Proportional (Mis)representation** **191**

    The U.S. House of Representatives . . . . . 192

    Hamilton’s Apportionment Method . . . . . 194

    Jefferson’s Apportionment Method . . . . . 197

    Webster’s Apportionment Method . . . . . 202

    Three Apportionment Paradoxes . . . . . 204

    Hill’s Apportionment Method . . . . . 207

    Another Impossibility Theorem . . . . . 209

    Concluding Remarks . . . . . 210

    Questions for Further Study . . . . . 211

    Answers to Starred Questions . . . . . 214

**Bibliography** **217**

**Index** **221**