Contents

Ackno	owled	gements	ix
Prefa	ce		xi
1	The	purpose and scope of the study	
		Introduction	1
	1.2	Why a usage-based study?	2
	1.3	The form of a usage-based study	7
	1.4	Schemas and Constructions	10
	1.5	The scope of the study	12
		1.5.1 The constructions investigated	12
		1.5.2 The Corpus	15
	1.6	The content and organisation of the chapters	17
2	Class	sification of the constructions	
	2.1	Introduction	19
	2.2	Classification according to the semantics of the matrix verbs	19
		2.2.1 Matrix verbs in different-subject constructions	20
		2.2.2 Matrix verbs in same-subject constructions	26
	• •	2.2.3 Different-subject and same-subject constructions compared	30
	2.3	Classification in terms of TAM relations between matrix verb and complement situation	32
3	Earli	ier Studies	
	3.1	Introduction	45
	3.2	Specific versus general	45
	3.3	Factive versus non-factive	48
	3.4	Non-fulfilment versus fulfilment	51
	3.5	Referring versus non-referring	55
	3.6	Future versus actual	57
	3.7	Future versus interior	61
	3.8	Less immediate versus more immediate	70
		Holistic construal versus immediate scope	75
	3.10	Conceptual distance versus conceptual overlap	78
	3.11	Summary	85

4	Complement	Types and	Complementisers
---	------------	-----------	-----------------

4.1	Introduction	89
4.2		91
4.3		93
	4.3.1 The to infinitive in complement constructions	94
	4.3.2 The to infinitive as subject and adverbial	99
	4.3.3 Weaknesses of the targeted alternative approach	103
4.4		107
	4.4.1 The mg form in comprehenses	109
	4.4.1.1 Comparison with the progressive	109
	4.4.1.2 -ing complements encoding activities	111
	4.4.1.3 -ing complements encoding accomplishments	114
	4.4.1.4 -ing complements encoding states	116
	4.4.1.5 -ing complements encoding achievements	118
	4.4.1.6 Prototypical characteristics of the -ing complement	121 128
	4.4.1.7 The schematic meaning of the -ing form	132
	4.4.2 The -ing form as subject and adverbial	134
4.	4.4.3 The -ing complement form: a summary	134
	The to -ing form	141
4.0	The four complement forms compared	171
Co	nstructions in contrast: Same-time constructions	
5.1	Introduction	145
5.2		145
5.3	Same-time bare infinitive and -ing versus Judgement to infinitive	151
5.4		156
5.5		161
	5.5.1 Positive Attitude constructions	162
	5.5.2 Negative Attitude constructions	171
	5.5.3 Comparative Attitude constructions	177
	5.5.4 Summary of Attitude constructions	183
	5.5.5 Aspect constructions	184
5.6	Same-time constructions: a summary	196
Co	nstructions in contrast: Forward-looking constructions	
	L. F. a. J. allon	199
6.1		200
6.2	2 To infinitives versus bare infinitives 6.2.1 Communication constructions	200
	6.2.2 Constructions containing <i>help</i>	204
	6.2.2 Constructions containing <i>netp</i> 6.2.3 Constructions containing <i>let</i> , <i>allow</i> and <i>permit</i>	214
	6.2.3.1 Types of subject and TAM relations	215
	6.2.3.1 Types of subject and TAM relations 6.2.3.2 Force dynamics	217

		V11
	6.2.3.2.1 Tokens encoding permission	220
	6.2.3.2.2 Tokens encoding prohibition	228
	6.2.3.2.3 Why 'allow S2 to infinitive' but 'let S2 bare	
	infinitive'?	232
	6.2.3.3 Let and allow: a summary	236
	6.2.4 Constructions containing dare and need	237
	6.2.5 To infinitives versus bare infinitives: a summary	243
	6.3 To infinitive versus -ing complements	243
	6.3.1 Mental Process constructions	243
	6.3.2 Attitude constructions	249
	6.3.3 Aspect constructions	254
	6.3.4 To infinitive versus -ing clauses: a summary	267
	6.4 Causation constructions	267
	6.5 Forward-looking constructions: a summary	278
7	Constructions in contrast: Backward-looking constructions	
	7.1 Introduction	281
	7.2 Mental Process constructions	281
	7.3 Attitude constructions	286
	7.4 Communication constructions	288
	7.5 Aspect constructions	291
	7.6 Backward-looking constructions: a summary	303
8	Summary and Conclusions	305
Refe	rences	311
Appe	endices	
	Appendix 1: The Matrix verbs	318
	Appendix 2: Tables of Matrix verbs	405
	Appendix 3: List of technical terms	425
Inde	X	429