## Table of contents

Pretace		IX
List	of tables and figures	ХI
Сна	PTER 1	
Investigating the English historical courtroom		1
1.1	A brief outline of the book's focus and the approach taken	1
1.2	Pragmatics, historical pragmatics and sociopragmatics:	
	Some definitions 4	
1.3	The data and methodological problems facing historical	
	pragmaticians 10	
1.4	Availability of historical courtroom data 12	
1.5	Primary objectives of this study 16	
1.6	How I intend to proceed 19	
Сна	PTER 2	
The characteristics of questions and answers		23
2.1	Defining questions and answers 23	J
2.2	What constitutes a 'question'? 23	
2.3	What constitutes an 'answer'? 53	
2.4	Questions and answers in an historical context 60	
2.5	Insights gained 70	
CTA	PTER 3	
		=-
	stioning procedures in courtrooms	73
3.1	Courtroom talk as 'activity type' 73	
3.2	The courtroom today 74	
3.3	Questioning strategies in the courtroom 76	
3.4	The Early Modern English courtroom 85	
3.5	Review of the linguistic literature relating to historical	
	courtroom discourse 95	

3.6	Insights gained 103	
3.7	Final comment 104	
Сна	PTER 4	
A sy	stematic approach to context identification and analysis	105
4.1	Rationale behind methodology 105	
4.2	Description of the sociopragmatic corpus (SPC) 107	
4.3	The sociopragmatic annotation scheme 107	
4.4	Annotation scheme for questions and answers 120	
4.5	Some final comments 131	
Сна	PTER 5	
	stions in the historical courtroom (1640–1760)	135
5.1	A sociopragmatic account of courtroom questions 135	133
5.2	The form of questions in the SPC 136	
5.3	Indirect interrogatives 146	
5.4	Questions as a means of control 150	
5.5	Questions as part of a questioning sequence 156	
5.6	Examinations-in-chief and cross-examinations 158	
5.7	A sociopragmatic approach to questions: Investigating user	
	as well as use 162	
C :		
	PTER 6	
	ractional intent of participants' utterances	165
6.1	Investigating questions from the perspective of the user 165	
6.2	Participant roles evidenced in the SPC 166	
6.3	The five main questioners in the EmodE courtroom	
	(1640–1760): The courts, the prosecution counsels, the judges,	
6.4	the defence counsels and the defendants 172	
6.4	Insights gained 173	
Сна	PTER 7	
Judio	cial examiners' questioning strategies	175
7.1	Examiners' questions – a manifestation of power? 175	
7.2	The judge 176	
7.3	The Court and the recorder 178	
<i>7</i> .4	The judges' interaction with witnesses (1640–1760) 180	
7.5	Jeffreys' interaction with witnesses 185	
7.6	The Courts' interaction with witnesses (1720–1760) 180	

7.7	The judges' interaction with defendants (1640–1760) 192			
7.8	Insights gained 197			
Снав	PTER 8			
Lawy	ers' questioning strategies (1640–1760)	199		
8.1	The emergence of counsel as major players? 199			
8.2	Strategies of the prosecution counsels 200			
8.3	Strategies of the defence counsels (1680–1760) 203			
8.4	The prosecution counsels' interaction with witnesses			
	(1640–1760) <b>205</b>			
8.5	The defence counsels' interaction with the witnesses 213			
8.6	Questioning the questioners 218			
8.7	Insights gained 220			
Снав	PTER 9			
	ndants' strategies (1640–1760)	223		
9.1	The multiple discourse goals of defendants 223			
9.2	The defendants' strategies 224			
9.3	Eliciting devices utilised by defendants when interacting			
, ,	with judges and witnesses 229			
9.4	The defendants' use of questions with witnesses and judges 230			
9.5	Defendants' 'answering' strategies 240			
9.6	Insights gained 243			
C	10			
	esses' 'answering' strategies (1640–1760)	245		
	The witnesses' role as 'answerer' 245	24)		
10.1	The strategies of the witnesses 245			
10.2	Witnesses' interaction with the judges (1640–1719) 247			
10.3	Witnesses' interaction with the lawyers (1680–1719) 253			
	The witnesses' interaction with the Court and defendants			
10.5	(1720–1760) <b>262</b>			
10.6	Insights gained 266			
10.0	moignto gamed 200			
Chapter 11				
Courtroom interaction in the historical period: More than 'austions' and 'answers' 267				
'questions' and 'answers'				
11.1	Participants' use of 'requests', 'requires' and 'counsels' 267			
11.2	The judges' interaction with defendants (1640–1679) 268			

11.3	The judges' use of 'requires' 270		
11.4	The defendants' use of 'requests' 273		
11.5	The prosecution counsels' use of 'requests' 276		
11.6	The defendants' use of 'requires' and 'counsels' 277		
11.7	Insights gained 279		
Сна	PTER 12		
Conc	Concluding comments 281		
12.1	Approach adopted in this work 281		
12.2	1640–1760: A period of emerging and changing roles 282		
12.3	The efficacy of using contemporary approaches to examine		
	historical data 285		
12.4	Questions: Not just the preserve of the primary examiners 286		
12.5	The need to go beyond a study of questions and answers 287		
12.6	Implications for questions generally 288		
12.7	Implications for answers 289		
12.8	A corpus-based approach to pragmatic phenomena:		
	How successful? 290		
12.9	Plans to expand the trial texts in the Sociopragmatic Corpus 291		
Notes		295	
	NDIX 1		
Detai	Details of the SPC trials		
Biogr	Biographical details for participants		
Appei	NDIX 2		
Sub-c	ategories for 'force' field	339	
		339	
Biblic	ography	347	
	or index	365	
Subject index		367	