Contents

Acknowledgments xi

I

1 | *Introduction* 3
1.1 The Mystery 3
1.2 Reformulation of the Mystery 5
1.3 Addressing the Reformulated Question 8
1.4 Why Care? 10
1.5 Preview of the Teleological Realist Answer 12

2 | **Substance Dualism and Simplicity** 15
2.1 Why People Believe Substance Dualism 16
2.2 Against Substance Dualism 17
2.3 Prospects for Dualism 22
2.4 Preview of the Simplicity Objection against Option 3 24

3 | **Entailment and the Three Options** *27*
3.1 Reduction and the Need for Bridge Laws 28
3.2 The Options Revisited 32
3.3 Hooker-Churchland Reduction 33

4 | **Functionalism and Option 2** 39
4.1 Basic Exposition of Functionalism 39
4.2 More Detailed Exposition of Functionalism 42
4.3 Biconditionals and Bridge Laws 45
4.4 Missed Generalizations and Autonomy? 48

II

5 | Strong Naturalism and Common-Sense Psychology 55
5.1 The Proto-Science View of CSP 56
5.2 Normativity 58
5.3 Sensitivity to Context 63
5.4 Mental States and Natural Kinds 64

6 | Against the Causal Theory of Action Explanation 75
6.1 The Case for (BE) 76
6.2 The Case against the Standard View 84
6.3 Conclusion 89

7 | Agency and Deviant Causal Chains 91
7.1 The Problem 91
7.2 A Solution Suggested by Mele 94
7.3 Bishop and Peacocke's Attempted Solution 99
7.4 Conclusion 108

III

8 | Supervenience without Reduction: Option 3 without the Supernatural 113
8.1 Definition of 'Supervenience' 114
8.2 Does Supervenience Matter? 117
8.3 Supervenience Does Not Entail Reduction: Three Proofs 119
8.4 Application to Mind-Body Supervenience 126
8.5 Explanations for Supervenience 129
8.6 Conclusion 134

9 | Agency and Teleological Explanation 135
9.1 The Form of Teleological Explanation 135
9.2 The Rationality Principle 138
9.3 Applying the Rationality Principle 142
9.4 The Nature of Reasons 148
9.5 Observations about the Account 151

10 | Objections to the Teleological Account 155
10.1 Davidson's Challenge 156
10.2 Obscurity and the Spectrum of Cases 160
10.3 Mele's Challenge 167
10.4 Simplicity Challenge 171

10.5 A Final Objection 172
Appendix: Dancy and Schueler 175

11 | Responsibility and the Humean Theory of Motivation 183
11.1 The Humean Theory and Moral Skepticism 183
11.2 Prima Facie Evidence against the Humean Theory 186
11.3 The Humean Theory and the Causal Theory of Action 188
11.4 The Humean Theory and Teleological Realism 189
11.5 Smith's Argument for the Humean Theory 193

12 | Option 3 with the Causal Theory of Action? 199
12.1 Explanatory Exclusion and the Causal Theory of Action 199
12.2 Program Explanations 205
12.3 Baker's Defense of the Autonomy of CSP 208
12.4 Instrumentalism 209
12.5 Conclusion 114

13 | Simplicity, Realism, and Eliminative Materialism 215
13.1 The Mystery Introduced by Teleological Realism 215
13.2 Teleological Realism's Reply 219
13.3 Objection Renewed: the Challenge of Eliminativism 222
13.4 Conclusion 214

Notes 233
References 237
Index 243