

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Preface | ix |
| Abbreviations and list of text samples | xi |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. A survey of previous research | 5 |
| 2.1. The role of French in Medieval England | 5 |
| 2.2. Studies of the Middle English lexicon | 7 |
| 2.3. Middle English morphology | 13 |
| 3. The data-material and method | 19 |
| 3.1. Material: the Helsinki Corpus of English Texts | 19 |
| 3.2. Method: data collection | 22 |
| 4. Aspects of a taxonomy of Middle English derivational suffixes | 29 |
| 4.1. A definition of some basic terms | 29 |
| 4.2. Drawing the line between inflection and derivation | 31 |
| 4.2.1. General considerations | 31 |
| 4.2.2. Data-related decisions | 34 |
| 4.3. On lexical entries for derivational suffixes | 39 |
| 5. Systematic aspects of Middle English derivation: in search of a descriptive-explanatory framework | 45 |
| 5.1. Rationale | 45 |
| 5.2. Considering the possibilities of a creolist approach | 46 |
| 5.3. Natural Morphology | 53 |
| 5.3.1. Introducing some basic concepts | 53 |
| 5.3.2. Descriptive categories in Natural Morphology | 55 |
| 5.3.3. A first application of the descriptive categories | 60 |
| 5.3.4. Methodological problems | 62 |
| 5.4. Derivational semantics | 66 |
| 6. Abstract noun suffixes | 73 |
| 6.1. Abstract noun suffixes and their frequencies in the Corpus | 73 |
| 6.2. The abstract noun suffixes of Middle English | 75 |
| 6.2.1. DOM | 75 |
| 6.2.2. HEDE | 77 |
| 6.2.3. LAC | 80 |
| 6.2.4. NESS | 81 |
| 6.2.5. REDEN | 85 |
| 6.2.6. SHIP | 85 |
| 6.2.7. TH | 87 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 6.2.8. | UNG | 90 |
| 6.2.9. | ACIOUN | 93 |
| 6.2.10. | ACY | 97 |
| 6.2.11. | AGE | 98 |
| 6.2.12. | AL | 101 |
| 6.2.13. | AUNCE | 102 |
| 6.2.14. | ERIE | 104 |
| 6.2.15. | ITE | 106 |
| 6.2.16. | MENT | 108 |
| 6.3. | Naturalness ratings of abstract noun suffixes in Middle English | 110 |
| 6.3.1. | Morphotactic transparency scales (MTT) | 110 |
| 6.3.2. | Evaluation of MTT-scales | 118 |
| 6.4. | Semantic aspects of abstract noun suffixes | 120 |
| 6.5. | Parallel derivatives with different suffixes | 126 |
| 7. | Concrete (agent) noun suffixes | 131 |
| 7.1. | Concrete noun suffixes and their frequencies in the corpus | 131 |
| 7.2. | The concrete noun suffixes of Middle English | 132 |
| 7.2.1. | EL | 132 |
| 7.2.2. | END | 135 |
| 7.2.3. | ERE | 136 |
| 7.2.4. | ESTRE | 139 |
| 7.2.5. | ILD | 140 |
| 7.2.6. | LING | 141 |
| 7.2.7. | ANT | 143 |
| 7.2.8. | ARD | 144 |
| 7.2.9. | ARY | 145 |
| 7.2.10. | EREL | 146 |
| 7.2.11. | ESSE | 147 |
| 7.2.12. | OUR | 148 |
| 7.3. | Naturalness ratings of concrete (agent) noun suffixes | 149 |
| 7.3.1. | Morphotactic transparency scales (MTT) | 150 |
| 7.3.2. | Evaluation of MTT-scales | 153 |
| 7.4. | Semantic aspects of concrete (agent) nouns | 154 |
| 8. | Adjectival suffixes | 163 |
| 8.1. | Adjectival suffixes and their frequencies in the corpus | 163 |
| 8.2. | The adjectival suffixes of Middle English | 165 |
| 8.2.1. | ED | 165 |
| 8.2.2. | EN | 166 |
| 8.2.3. | FOLD | 168 |
| 8.2.4. | FUL | 168 |
| 8.2.5. | IG | 170 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 8.2.6. | ISH | 172 |
| 8.2.7. | LESS | 173 |
| 8.2.8. | LY | 174 |
| 8.2.9. | SOM | 180 |
| 8.2.10. | WARD | 181 |
| 8.2.11. | ABLE | 183 |
| 8.2.12. | AL | 185 |
| 8.2.13. | IVE | 186 |
| 8.2.14. | OUS | 187 |
| 8.3. | Semantic aspects of adjectival suffixes | 189 |
| 8.3.1. | Developing a descriptive model | 189 |
| 8.3.2. | Applying the semantic framework | 193 |
| 9. | Verbal suffixes | 201 |
| 9.1. | Verbal suffixes and their frequencies in the corpus | 201 |
| 9.2. | The verbal suffixes of Middle English | 202 |
| 9.2.1. | ATE | 202 |
| 9.2.2. | IFY | 202 |
| 9.2.3. | IZE | 203 |
| 9.2.4. | NEN | 204 |
| 9.2.5. | SIAN | 206 |
| 9.3. | Semantic aspects of verbal suffixes | 206 |
| 10. | Evaluating the influence of French on the morphology of Middle English | 209 |
| 10.1. | When do loans count as complex? - A chronology | 209 |
| 10.2. | Hybrid formations in the corpus | 211 |
| 10.3. | Productive or not productive? The status of Romance suffixes | 215 |
| 10.3.1. | Defining productivity | 215 |
| 10.3.2. | Productivity factors and the Middle English data | 217 |
| 10.4. | If Romance suffixes were unproductive, how do we account for the hybrid formations that do exist? | 221 |
| 10.5. | On explanations | 222 |
| 11. | Conclusion | 227 |
| | Notes | 229 |
| Appendix 1 | Lexical entries for the derivational suffixes of Middle English | 239 |
| Appendix 2 | Semantic functions mappings | 257 |
| | References | 263 |
| | Index | 281 |