Table of contents

Preface

1. Spa	nish Di	scourse Markers and Discourse Functional Units	
	1.1.	Introduction	1
	1.2.	The proposal	2
	1.3.	Organization of the book	4
2. Pro	blems v	with the theoretical notion of discourse markers	
	2.1.	The nature of the problem	6
	2.2.	Problems in defining DMs by a set of features	7
		2.2.1. Defining DMs by features	7
		2.2.1.1. Formal features	7
		2.2.1.2. Prosodic criteria	12
		2.2.1.3. Distributional features	14
	2.3.	Functional approach to the notion of DMs. The meaning of DMs	18
	2.4.	Conclusions	21
3. Dis	course	models. Discourse units	
	3.1.	Introduction	22
	3.2.	Models of discourse structure	22
		3.2.1. Halliday and Hasan	23
		3.2.2. A wide notion of coherence. Schiffrin's model	25
		3.2.3. Redeker's model	26
		3.2.4. The Rhetorical and Coherence Relation Theories	27
	3.3.	A discourse model based on coherence relations	29
		3.3.1. Coherence relations	29
		3.3.2. Types of coherence relations	30
		3.3.3. Discourse units	37
		3.3.3.1. Sentences	38
		3.3.3.2. Speech acts	39
		3.3.3.3. Intonation units or idea units	41
	3.4.	Conclusions	47
4. Dis	course i	functional units	
	4.1.	Introduction	48
	4.2.	Definition of Discourse Functional Units	48
		4.2.1. The connectivity function	48
		4.2.2. DFUs as linguistic expressions that	
		make explicit a coherence relation	52
		4.2.3. The expression of coherence relations	
		and the meaning of DFUs.	53
	4.3.	Discourse Functional Units and the types of	
		coherence relations they represent	59
		4.3.1. Types of DFUs or types of relations?	61
	4.4.	Summary	67

iii

Introduction 69 5.1. 69 5.2. The corpus 71 5.3. Method of analysis 71 5.3.1. The coding process 80 General results and discussion 5.4. 80 5.4.1. Forms and frequency 5.4.2. Extractability 87 97 5.5. Conclusions 6. Forms and relations. The semantic, pragmatic and textual sources of coherence 99 Introduction 6.1. 6.2 The expression of semantic relations 99 100 6.2.1. Si 'if' 104 6.2.2. Y 'and' 108 6.2.3. Porque 'because' 112 6.2.4. O sea 'that is' 119 6.3. The expression of pragmatic relations

119

128

134

144

154

154 160

162

165 172

5. Empirical study of DFUs in spoken Spanish

631 Pero 'but'

6.3.3. Pues 'so'

6.4.

6.5.

7.1.

7.2.

7. Conclusions

References

6.3.2 Entonces 'then'

6.3.4. Es que 'is that'

6.4.1. Bueno 'well'

Conclusions

Summary

The expression of textual relations

Conclusions from the empirical study and implications for a theory of DFUs.