## CONTENTS

PREFACE	. VII												
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	. IX												
ABBREVIATIONS													
BIBLIOGRAPHY													
INTRODUCTION	. 1												
CHAPTER I. THE SCHOLIA: THEIR COMPOSITION, PURPOSE AN	ND												
TRANSMISSION													
A. Authorship	. 5												
B. Date	. 8												
C. Language	. 11												
1. 4n1 formation	. 11												
2. MidArm. vocabulary	. 12												
3. Loan Words	. 14												
D. Provenance	. 16												
E. Setting	. 21												
F. Transmission in Medieval Armenia	. 25												
CHAPTER II. THE MANUSCRIPTS													
A. Description	. 31												
I. Manuscripts used in the edition	. 32												
II. Manuscripts that contain copies of the scholia that we	re												
not used in this edition	. 61												
B. Manuscript Typology	. 72												
C. Relationship between the manuscripts: Stemma	. 73												
CHAPTER III. THE SCHOLIA ON THE HEAVENLY HIERARCHY													
A. Hermeneutic Approach	. 89												
1. Scholiast 1	. 90												
	. 90												
II. Recapitulation	. 97												

## **CONTENTS**

	III.	Expansion .					•			•		•	•	•		101
																105
	V.	<b>Biblical Citat</b>	ion .													106
	VI.	Example .				•										107
2.	Sch	oliast 2														108
	I.	Gloss														108
	П.	Recapitulation	n.													113
	III.	Expansion .														114
	IV.	Emendation														115
	V.	<b>Biblical</b> Citat	ion .		•											115
														•		116
3.	Cor	nparison betwo	een S	Scl	holi	iast	s I	and	l II							116
	I.	Alternative Ir	terp	ret	tatio	on										117
	П.	Clarification					•									120
	III.	Additional.							•							121
Co	once	ms external to	the	tex	ct		•	•	•	•						123
1.	The	Ecclesiastical	Hie	rai	rch	y's	As	soc	iati	ion	wi	th t	he	An	-	
	geli	c Hierarchy			•			•								124
2.	The	Defense of E	ccles	sia	stic	al S	Sple	end	lou	r	•	•				126
So	urce	s			•	•	•		•					•		130
																130
Α.	Set	1						•							•	131
	I.	Explicit refere	ence			•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	131
	II.	The Armenia	n sch	hol	lion	in	cor	рог	ate	s a	t le	ast	pa	rt o	f	
																135
	III.	The Armenia	n Sc	ho	lior	ı aş	gree	es a	at le	eas	t in	i pa	urt y	witl	h	
	a G	reek scholion				•	•	•	•	•		•				136
	IV.	The Armenia	n scł	ıol	ion	di	ffer	s fi	ron	ı it	s co	orre	spo	ond	-	
																139
	witł															141
Β.	Set															142
	I.	Explicit refere	ence			•	•	•	•			•	•	•		142
	H.															
																142
	with	n the Greek scl	nolia	L	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	142
	IV.	Instances who	ere 1	the	A	rm	enia	an	sch	oli	ast	dis	sag	ree	S	
	with	n a Greek scho	lion				•	•	•	•			•	•		143
	3. Cc 1. 2. So 1. A.	IV. V. VI. 2. Sch I. II. III. IV. V. VI. 3. Cor I. II. II. II. II. II. II. II. Concel 1. The geli 2. The Source 1. The geli 2. The Source 1. The geli 2. The Source 1. The geli 2. The Source 1. Source 1. S	<ul> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citat</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Scholiast 2</li> <li>I. Gloss</li> <li>II. Recapitulation</li> <li>III. Expansion</li> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citat</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Comparison betwo</li> <li>I. Alternative Ir</li> <li>II. Clarification</li> <li>III. Additional</li> <li>Concerns external to</li> <li>The Ecclesiastical gelic Hierarchy</li> <li>The Defense of Ecsources</li> <li>I. The Greek Scholia</li> <li>A. Set 1</li> <li>I. Explicit referentiation</li> <li>III. The Armeniaat</li> <li>the corresponding</li> <li>III. The Armeniaat</li> <li>a Greek scholion</li> <li>IV. The Armeniaat</li> <li>ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The scholiast</li> <li>with the Dionysiaa</li> <li>B. Set II</li> <li>III. Instances in with the Greek scholion</li> <li>IV. Instances when the open scholast</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Scholiast 2</li> <li>I. Gloss</li> <li>II. Recapitulation</li> <li>III. Expansion</li> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Emendation</li> <li>V. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Comparison between S</li> <li>I. Alternative Interp</li> <li>II. Clarification</li> <li>III. Additional</li> <li>Concerns external to the</li> <li>The Ecclesiastical Hie gelic Hierarchy</li> <li>The Defense of Eccles</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>The Defense of Eccles</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>I. The Greek Scholia</li> <li>A. Set 1</li> <li>I. Explicit reference</li> <li>II. The Armenian scl the corresponding Gree</li> <li>III. The Armenian scl ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The scholiast has with the Dionysian tex</li> <li>B. Set II</li> <li>I. Explicit reference</li> <li>II. The Armenian scl ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The Armenian scl ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The Armenian sch ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The Armenian sch ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The Scholiast has with the Dionysian tex</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Scholiast 2</li> <li>I. Gloss</li> <li>II. Recapitulation</li> <li>III. Expansion</li> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Comparison between Scl</li> <li>Alternative Interpret</li> <li>II. Clarification</li> <li>III. Additional</li> <li>Concerns external to the tex</li> <li>The Ecclesiastical Hierar gelic Hierarchy</li> <li>The Defense of Ecclesia</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>The Defense of Ecclesia</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>I. The Greek Scholia</li> <li>A. Set 1</li> <li>I. Explicit reference</li> <li>II. The Armenian schol the corresponding Greek</li> <li>III. The Armenian schol ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The scholiast has c with the Dionysian text</li> <li>B. Set II</li> <li>I. Explicit reference</li> <li>II. The Armenian schol ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The Armenian schol ing Greek scholion</li> <li>V. The scholiast has c with the Dionysian text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>VI. Example</li> <li>Scholiast 2</li> <li>I. Gloss</li> <li>II. Recapitulation</li> <li>III. Expansion</li> <li>IV. Emendation</li> <li>V. Biblical Citation</li> <li>V. Example</li> <li>Comparison between Scholin</li> <li>I. Alternative Interpretation</li> <li>I. Clarification</li> <li>I. Comparison between Scholin</li> <li>I. Additional</li> <li>Concerns external to the text</li> <li>I. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy</li> <li>gelic Hierarchy</li> <li>Z. The Defense of Ecclesiastic</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>I. The Greek Scholia</li> <li>A. Set 1</li> <li>I. Explicit reference</li> <li>II. The Armenian Scholion</li> <li>the Corresponding Greek sch</li> <li>III. The Armenian scholion</li> <li>the Corresponding Greek sch</li> <li>III. Instances in which the with the Greek scholia</li> <li>IV. Instances where the A</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IV. Emendation</li></ul>	IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         VI. Example         2. Scholiast 2         I. Gloss         II. Recapitulation         V. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Example         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I         I. Alternative Interpretation         II. Clarification         II. Additional         Concerns external to the text         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's As gelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Sple         Sources         3. Comperison between Scholia         4. Set 1         5. Explicit reference         II. The Armenian scholion incor         the corresponding Greek scholion         III. The Armenian scholion differ         ing Greek scholion         V. The scholiast has confused t         with the Dionysian text         II. Explicit reference	IV. Emendation	IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         VI. Example         2. Scholiast 2         I. Gloss         II. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Expansion         IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Emendation         V. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Example         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II         1. Alternative Interpretation         III. Clarification         III. Additional         Concerns external to the text         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Associatigelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour         Sources         3. Corresponding Greek Scholia         A. Set 1         I. Explicit reference         II. The Armenian Scholion incorporate the corresponding Greek scholion witho III. The Armenian scholion differs from ing Greek scholion         V. The scholiast has confused the tex with the Dionysian text         I. Explicit reference         II. The Armenian scholion incorporate the corresponding Greek scholion witho III. Instances in which the Armenian with the Greek scholia	IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         VI. Example         2. Scholiast 2         I. Gloss         II. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Example         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II.         I. Alternative Interpretation         III. Additional         Concerns external to the text         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association gelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour         Sources         3. Chefense of Ecclesiastical Splendour         Sources         1. The Greek Scholia         A. Set 1         1. Explicit reference         II. The Armenian scholion incorporates a the corresponding Greek scholion without a the promosian text         V. The scholiast has confused the text o	IV. Emendation       V.         V. Biblical Citation       VI.         VI. Example       VI.         2. Scholiast 2       VI.         I. Gloss       VI.         II. Recapitulation       VI.         III. Expansion       VI.         V. Emendation       VI.         V. Biblical Citation       VI.         V. Emendation       VI.         Scomparison between Scholiasts I and II.       VI.         I. Clarification       VI.         V. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association wi       VI.         gelic Hierarchy       VI.         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour       Sources         3. Set 1       VI.         V. The Armenian scho	IV. Emendation       V.         Biblical Citation       VI.         VI. Example       VI.         2. Scholiast 2       VI.         I. Gloss       VI.         II. Recapitulation       VI.         III. Expansion       VI.         VV. Emendation       VI.         VV. Emendation       VI.         V. Biblical Citation       VI.         VI. Example       VI.         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II.       VI.         I. Alternative Interpretation       VI.         III. Additional       VI.         Concerns external to the text       VI.         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association with the gelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour       Sources         3. Corres       VI.         3. Set 1       VI.         4. Set 1       VI.         4. Set 1       VI.         V. The Armenian scholion incorporates at least in paa a Greek scholion         VI.       The scholiast has confused the text of a so with the Dionysian text         V.       The scholiast has confused the text of a so with the Dionysian text         I.       Explicit reference         II.       The Armenian scholion incorporates at least th	IV. Emendation       V.         W. Biblical Citation       V.         VI. Example       V.         2. Scholiast 2       V.         I. Gloss       I.         Recapitulation       II.         Recapitulation       V.         II. Recapitulation       V.         II. Recapitulation       V.         IV. Emendation       V.         V. Biblical Citation       V.         V. Example       V.         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II.       V.         I. Alternative Interpretation       V.         III. Additional       V.         Concerns external to the text       V.         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association with the gelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour         Sources       V.         3. Corresponding Greek scholion incorporates at least pat the corresponding Greek scholion incorporates at least in part va a Greek scholion         II. The Armenian scholion agrees at least in part va a Greek scholion         V. The Armenian scholion differs from its corresponing Greek scholion         V. The Armenian scholion differs from its corresponing Greek scholion         V. The scholiast has confused the text of a scho with the Dionysian text         I. Explicit reference       I.<	V. Biblical Citation         VI. Example         2. Scholiast 2         I. Gloss         II. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Recapitulation         III. Expansion         IV. Emendation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Biblical Citation         V. Biblical Citation         VI. Example         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II.         I. Alternative Interpretation         III. Additional         Concerns external to the text         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association with the An gelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour         3. Set 1         1. The Greek Scholia         I. The Armenian scholion incorporates at least part o the corresponding Greek scholion without attribution         III. The Armenian scholion agrees at least in part with a Greek scholion         I. The Armenian scholion differs from its corresponding Greek scholion         V. The scholiast has confused the text of a scholion with the Dionysian text         I. Explicit reference         I. Explicit reference         III. The Armenian scholion incorporates at least part o the corresponding Greek scholion incorporates at least part o the corresponding Greek scholion incorporates at least part o the corresponding Greek scholion incorporates at least par	IV. Emendation       V.         W. Biblical Citation       VI.         VI. Example       VI.         2. Scholiast 2       VI.         I. Gloss       VI.         II. Recapitulation       VI.         III. Expansion       VI.         VV. Emendation       VI.         VV. Emendation       VI.         V. Biblical Citation       VI.         VI. Example       VI.         3. Comparison between Scholiasts I and II.       VI.         I. Alternative Interpretation       VI.         III. Additional       VI.         Concerns external to the text       VI.         1. The Ecclesiastical Hierarchy's Association with the Angelic Hierarchy         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour       VI.         2. The Defense of Ecclesiastical Splendour       VI.         3. Sources       VI.         3. Set 1       VI.         4. Set 1       VI.         VI. The Armenian scholion incorporates at least part of the corresponding Greek scholion without attribution         III. The Armenian scholion agrees at least in part with a Greek scholion       VI.         VI. The Armenian scholion differs from its corresponding Greek scholion       VI.         VI. The scholiast has confused the text of a scholio

## CONTENTS

	V. Ins	stanc	es	wh	ere	th	e so	cho	lias	st h	as	cor	nfus	sed	the	e te	xt	
	of a scl	holio	n v	vith	1 th	e L	Dioi	nys	ian	tey	κt							144
C.	Conclu	sion																144
2.	Other s	ourc	es	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	144
INDEX OF																		
INDEX OF																		
INDEX OF	SCRIPTI	URAL	. Ci	TA]	LIOI	NS	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	150
CONTENTS			•	•					•				•					151

153

.