

## LIST OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	23
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; Schnauder, I.)</i>	
1.1 Objectives and Achievements of RipFor	23
1.2 Structure of the Final Scientific Report	24
Chapters and Subchapters	24
Subchapters, Workpackages and Responsibilities	24
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS	26
2.1 Field Work at the Upper Rhine (FS1)	27
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.)</i>	
Flow Field Measurements	27
Sedimentation and Erosion	28
Sediment Traps	28
Geodetic Survey	28
2.2 Field Work at the Wien River (FS3)	29
<i>(Rauch, H.-P.; Meixner, H.; Vollsinger; Florinett F.)</i>	
Introduction	29
Construction	29
Artificial Flooding	30
Monitoring of the Riparian Vegetation	30
2.3 Field Work at the Fersina (FS4) and Enz river (FS2)	31
<i>(Defrancesco, C.; Siligardi, M.; et al.)</i>	
Objectives	31
Evaluation of Stream Ecological Aspects using Short Term Retention Measurements	31
“Leafpack” Methodology	32
Benthos Quantitative Sampling	32
Morphological and Ecological Features (FFI-Fluvial Functioning Index)	33
Monitoring and Guidelines at the Enz river (FS2)	33
Morphological and Ecological Features at the Enz River (FS2)	33
2.4 Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field	34
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Introduction	34
Interaction Phenomena	34
Floodplain Vegetation and its Properties	35
Experimental Equipment: Flume and LDV	36
Experimental Equipment: Vegetation Prototypes and Properties	37
2.5 Flume Experiments on Riparian Morphology	39
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
2.6 Flume Experiments on Floodplain Vegetation and Sediment	40
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Introduction: Resistance of Vegetation	41
Introduction: Vegetation and Sediment Transport	19
Hydrodynamic Resistance of Isolated and Group of Real Plants	42
Effect of Vegetation Presence on Sediment Transport	43

2.7 Physical Model of the Wien River (FS3).....	43
<i>(Righetti, M; Piccoli, A; Armanini, A; et al.)</i>	
Flow Resistance of Vegetated Banks at the Wienfluss Physical Model.....	43
2.8 3D Numerical Modelling of Riparian Flow Field.....	44
<i>(Ruether, N.; Schnauder, I.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
3D Numerical Model.....	44
2.9 1D Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation.....	45
<i>(Yoshida, H.; Ruether, N.; Schnauder, I.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Description of the Data and the Study Site.....	45
Description of the Model.....	46
1D Flow Simulations.....	46
2.10 Riparian Succession at the Upper Rhine (FS1).....	47
<i>(Ledesma, G.; Dister, E.)</i>	
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	48
3.1 Field Work at the Upper Rhine (FS1).....	48
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.)</i>	
Characterization of the Test Site.....	48
Description of the Hydrological Situation between 2000-2002.....	51
Flow Field Measurements.....	52
Flow Field Measurements Grass Area.....	53
Flow Field Measurements Willow Area.....	53
Local Discharge.....	57
Seasonal Effects of the Flow Field.....	58
Sedimentation and Erosion: Main Aspects.....	60
Sedimentation and Erosion: Analysis on the Data Base of Geodetic Survey.....	60
Sedimentation and Erosion: Analysis on the Data Base of Sediment Traps.....	62
Microscale Roughness – Grain Size Distribution with Regard of.....	
Temporal and Spatial Changes and the Impact of Vegetation.....	63
Spatio-temporal Changes of Flow Field under Impact of.....	
Floodplain Vegetation.....	65
Spatio-temporal Changes of Sedimentation and Erosion under.....	
Impact of Floodplain Vegetation.....	67
3.2 Field Work at the Wien River (FS3).....	68
<i>(Rauch, H.-P.; Meixner, H.; Vollsinger; Florinett F.)</i>	
Bank Protection by Means of Vegetation.....	68
Flow Velocity Distribution.....	68
Relationship between Discharge and Water Level.....	68
Damage to the Plants due to Floods.....	70
3.3 Field Work at the Fersina (FS4) and Enz river (FS2).....	71
<i>(Defrancesco, C.; Siligardi, M.; et al.)</i>	
FFI (Fluvial Functioning Index) of the Fersina.....	71
Short Term Retention Measurements.....	72
Leaf-packs.....	73
Benthos Quantitative Sampling.....	74
FFI (Fluvial Functioning Index) of the Enz River.....	75
3.4 Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field.....	76
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	

Momentum Exchange Processes .....	76
Depth-averaged and Lateral Velocity Profiles .....	77
Floodplain Vegetation .....	78
3.5 Flume Experiments on Riparian Morphology .....	80
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Influence of Emerged Rigid Vegetation on Bed load Transport in Trapezoidal Channels of Variable Width .....	80
Influence of Emerged Rigid Vegetation on Bed Forms in Trapezoidal Channels .....	82
Transportation/Sedimentation Processes at the Boundary of the Floodplain and the Main Channel (“Rehnen”-formation) .....	84
3.6 Flume Experiments on Floodplain Vegetation and Sediment .....	85
<i>(Schnauder, I.; Ruether, N.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Hydrodynamic Resistance of Isolated and Group of Real Plants .....	85
Effect of Vegetation Presence on Sediment Transport .....	87
3.7 Physical Model of the Wien River (FS3) .....	89
<i>(Righetti, M; Piccoli, A; Armanini, A; et al.)</i>	
Flow Resistance of Vegetated Banks and the Wienfluss Physical Model .....	89
3.8 3D Numerical Modelling of Riparian Flow Field .....	92
<i>(Ruether, N.; Schnauder, I.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
3.9 1D Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation .....	93
<i>(Yoshida, H.; Ruether, N.; Schnauder, I.; Dittrich, A.; et al.)</i>	
Validity of the Flow Simulation Programme .....	93
Retardation of Flood Waves .....	94
Retention Volumes in the River Course .....	94
3.10 Riparian Succession at the Upper Rhine (FS1) .....	94
<i>(Ledesma, G.; Dister, E.)</i>	
4. CONCLUSIONS .....	98
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; Schnauder, I; et al.)</i>	
4.1 Individual Workpackages .....	98
Field Work at the Upper Rhine (FS1) .....	98
Field Work at the Wien River (FS3) .....	98
Field Work at the Fersina (FS4) and the Enz River (FS2) .....	99
Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field .....	100
Flume Experiments on Riparian Morphology .....	100
Flume Experiments on Floodplain Vegetation and Sediment and Physical Model of the Wien River (FS3) .....	101
3D Numerical Modelling of Riparian Flow Field .....	101
1D Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation .....	101
Riparian Succession at the Upper Rhine (FS1) .....	102
4.2 Synthesis of Individual Workpackages .....	102
Floodplain Stability .....	102
River Bed and Bank Stability .....	104
Roughness and Flood Protection .....	104
Floodplain Ecology and Riparian Succession .....	105
4.3 Closing Words .....	106

5. EXPLOITATION AND DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS .....	107
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; et al.)</i>	
Publications, Conferences and Workshops .....	107
Teaching and Lectures .....	107
Public Presentations and Exhibitions .....	107
Guidelines .....	108
Technical Improvements .....	108
6. POLICY RELATED BENEFITS .....	109
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; et al.)</i>	
7. LITERATURE CITED .....	112
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; et al.)</i>	
8. PUBLICATIONS AND ABSTRACTS .....	117
<i>(Bölscher, J.; Ergenzinger, P.; Obenauf, P.; et al.)</i>	

#### APPENDIX: NAS

A1. INTRODUCTION .....	126
<i>(Iordache, V.; Bodescu, F.; Neagoe, A.)</i>	
A2. METHODS .....	126
<i>(Iordache, V.; Bodescu, F.; Neagoe, A.)</i>	
A3. RESULTS .....	127
<i>(Iordache, V.; Bodescu, F.; Neagoe, A.)</i>	
A3.1 The Place of Riparian Forests in the Macrolandscape .....	127
A3.2 The Role of Riparian Forests in the Macrolandscape .....	129
A3.3 Principles for Managing the Forests in a Landscape Context .....	131
A3.4 Case Study: Restoration Scenarios in the Big Island of Braila .....	135
A4. DISCUSSION .....	136
<i>(Iordache, V.; Bodescu, F.; Neagoe, A.)</i>	
A5. CONCLUSIONS .....	139
<i>(Iordache, V.; Bodescu, F.; Neagoe, A.)</i>	

## LIST OF FIGURES

### 2.2 Field Work at the Wien River (FS3)

- Fig.2** (top left): Construction phase of the test flume  
**Fig.3** (top right): schematic view of the test flume and the soil-bioengineering measures:  
 (a) fascine layer (b) branch layer and (c) brush mattress with willows  
**Fig.4** (left): Construction of the test flume: upstream view towards wicket dam and sheet piling  
**Fig.5** (right): The test flume after completion: downstream view  
**Fig.6** (top left): Measuring devices: acoustic Doppler profiler used top-down for vertical velocity profiles  
**Fig.7** (top right): Measuring devices: acoustic Doppler velocimeters used for point-measurements at different locations in the cross-section  
**Fig.8** (left): Measuring bridge with signal analyser equipment at profile 15 during a flooding campaign  
**Fig.9** (right): Cross section at profile 15 (within fascine layer) with the measuring devices

### 2.4 Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field

- Fig.10** Photograph of interaction phenomena: horizontal vortices with vertical axes for vegetated floodplain and small relative water depths (Pasche, 1985)  
**Fig.11** Measurements of secondary currents and typical main channel vortex modified after Tominaga et al. (1989)  
**Fig.12** Schematic view of the flume cross section  
**Fig.13** Schematic view of the data collection grid for the different sections  
**Fig.14** Pictures of the tested vegetation elements (from left to right): rigid cylinders (side view), leafy cylinders (seen in flow direction) and flexible cylinders (side view with cm-grid)  
**Fig.15** Waving, bending and compression of a young willow for different mean flow velocities modified after Oplatka (1998)

### 2.5 Flume Experiments on Riparian Morphology

- Fig.16** Definition sketch of the influence of flood plain vegetation on the hydraulic/sedimentologic processes

### 2.7 Physical Model of the Wien River (FS3)

- Fig.17** Plan view of Wienfluss and characteristics of Section 14.  
**Fig.18** Measurement points into the generic cross section and different cross sections

### 2.9 Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation

- Fig.19** Study site  
**Fig.20** Details of vegetation parameters

### 3.1 Field Work at the Upper Rhine (FS1)

- Fig.21** (left) Location of the Test site FS1 Hartheim / Upper Rhine  
**Fig.22** (right) The test site FS1 Hartheim / Upper Rhine during a smaller flood event (top) ( $Q=900\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ), at normal water level and as aerial photograph (bottom)  
**Fig.23** Geomorphology of the test site Hartheim / Upper Rhine; location of equipment  
**Fig.24** Test site Hartheim / Upper Rhine; types and distribution of vegetation  
**Fig.25** Gauging station Rheinweiler between 2000-2002 / Periods of inundation at the test site Hartheim  
**Fig.26** Bird-eye view of the test site Hartheim / Upper Rhine; location of Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler inside a willow grove and at a grass covered area

- Fig.27** Situation before a flood event in August 2002  
**Fig.28** Flood event in August 2002, maximum water level at the floodplain 2,75 m, ( $Q= 1600\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ )  
**Fig.29** Flow velocities during a flood event at a grass covered floodplain area – test site Hartheim Upper Rhine  
**Fig.30** Flow velocities during a flood event at a willow grove at a floodplain area – test site Hartheim Upper Rhine  
**Fig.31** The direct comparison of data at same Points in time between the Willow and Grass area  
**Fig.32** A comparison between two test sites at selected water levels before and after the peak of flood  
**Fig.33** Local discharge under standardized conditions for grass and willow area  
**Fig.34** Approximated discharge for the test site  
**Fig.35** The impact of the seasons on the vertical distribution of the flow field  
**Fig.36** Mean velocities and retention effect of willows compared with grass  
**Fig.37** The impact of the seasons on mean velocities in months August, September, November  
**Fig.38** Digital elevation model -DEM- of the test site Hartheim / Upper Rhine in spring 2001  
**Fig.39** DEM; Sedimentation and erosion rates between spring 2001 and winter 2002 at the test site Hartheim / Upper Rhine  
**Fig.40** Sedimentation rate along longitudinal profiles of different location within the test site Hartheim for the period 2001-2003  
**Fig.41** Grain size distribution for longitudinal profiles at the upper floodplain level  
**Fig.42** Grain size distribution for longitudinal profiles at the lower grass covered floodplain level  
**Fig.43** Grain size distribution for longitudinal profiles at the lower willow covered floodplain level  
**Fig.44** Hjulstroem diagram, modified after Sundborg (1965)

### 3.2 Field work at the Wien river (FS3)

- Fig.45** (top left): succession of willows after 3 years in 2001  
**Fig.46** (top right): artificial flooding July 2002: some plants still resist the drag forces exerted by the flow  
**Fig.47** (left): natural flood event August 2002: situation of total submergence  
**Fig.48** Flow velocity profiles: open channel (profiles "adp70stat" to "adp220stat") vegetated bank (profiles "adp270stat" and "adp320stat")  
**Fig.49** Relationship between water level and discharge observed during floodings in 1999 and 2001  
**Fig.50** Damage due to floodings: breaking of willow branches due to longitudinal (Fig.18, top) stress exerted by the flow  
**Fig.51** Damage due to floodings: breaking of willow branches due to transversal (Fig.19, below) stress exerted by the flow  
**Fig.52** Damage due to floodings: breaking of willow branches due to transversal (Fig.20, below) stress exerted by the flow

### 3.3 Field Work at the Fersina (FS4) and Enz River (FS2)

- Fig.53** Fluvial functioning indices of the right bank of the Fersina torrent  
**Fig.54** Fluvial functioning indices of the left bank of the Fersina torrent  
**Fig.55** Cumulative number of leaves captured in the four monitoring campaigns  
**Fig.56** Leaf degradation expressed as weight loss against day-degrees  
**Fig.57** Logarithmic regression of leaf decomposition  
**Fig.58** Results of FFI-Method for the Enz river with its tributaries Grosse Enz and Nagold

### 3.4 Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field

- Fig.59a** (top left) Horizontal profiles of primary mean velocities for setup S4q20  
**Fig.59b** (left) Horizontal profiles of primary mean velocities for setup S4q20 for the interaction zone  
**Fig.59c** (top right) Vertical profiles of primary mean velocities for setup S4q20  
**Fig.60** Horizontal profiles of depth-averaged primary mean velocities for the interaction zone (low plant densities)  
**Fig.61** Vertical profiles of lateral mean velocities at location  $y/(B+b)=0.48$  (low plant densities)

- Fig.62** Horizontal profiles of depth-averaged primary mean velocities for the interaction zone (high plant densities)
- Fig.63** Vertical profiles of lateral mean velocities at location  $y/(B+b)=0.48$  (high plant densities)
- Fig.64** Properties of leafy cylinders as function of  $Re_s$ -number: (●) S6 and (○) S5
- Fig.65** Properties of flexible cylinders as function of  $Re$  and  $Re_s$ -number (▲) S4 and (△) S2
- Fig.66** Head-loss data: Drag forces per plant as function of averaged mean velocity on the floodplain

### 3.5 Flume Experiments on Riparian Morphology

- Fig.67** Definition sketch of the parameters which influence bed load transport in a symmetrical trapezoidal channel with rigid vegetation ( $b_{so}$  = width of the river bed)
- Fig.68** Vegetation coefficient  $\sigma_B$  for a symmetrical vegetated channel based on the transport formula of MEYER-PETER/MUELLER (1949)
- Fig.69** Definition sketch of the dune formations depending on the vegetation zones on the banks
- Fig.70** Averaged dune heights separated in dune crests and vales in the series without vegetation and with vegetation on both banks (the whole bank is vegetated)
- Fig.71** Averaged dune heights separated in dune crests and vales in the series with vegetation on one bank only (the whole bank is vegetated) and with partly vegetated banks
- Fig.72** Definition sketch of the conducted experiments
- Fig.73** Cross section of the tilting flume
- Fig.74** Definition sketch of the transport processes with rigid sticks on the flood plain
- Fig.75** Distance  $b_{Boe}$  plotted as function of  $b_{m,II}$

### 3.6 Flume Experiments on Floodplain Vegetation and Sediment

- Fig.76** Variation of the parameter  $C_d A_p$  with square of velocity and flow depth
- Fig.77** Variation of the drag coefficient  $C_d$  with plant Reynolds number and flow depth  
a) (left) partially submerged conditions; b) (right) fully submerged conditions.
- Fig.78** Ratio between the plants' drag per unit bed surface and the overall bed shear stress evaluated by momentum equation ( $\gamma R_{hi_e}$ ).
- Fig.79** Estimated  $K_{seq}$  as a function of relative submergence, for the *dense* and *sparse* salix configurations.
- Fig.80** Dimensionless solid discharge for the various experiments with vegetated bed.
- Fig.81** Experimental values of correction factor of  $\xi_v$  as a function of vegetation density.

### 3.7 Physical Model of the Wien River (FS3)

- Fig.82** Discharge curves for various simulated flood in the Wienfluss (dots, as evaluated by BOKU) and for the laboratory simulation for rigid and flexible simulated plants (lines), at different densities of plants.
- Fig.83** Dimensionless streamwise velocity distribution in the cross section  $U_{(y,z)}/U_{mean}$ , (where  $U_{mean}=Q/A$ ) for intermediate density  $\lambda=d_p^2/a_x a_y=1.17*10^{-1}$ , at discharge  $Q=31\text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  (prototype scale). Measured with microADV. a) (left) rigid plants; b) (right) flexible plants.
- Fig.84** Dimensionless transversal double averaged turbulent stress,  $\tau_{adh}/\tau_0 < \overline{u'w'} > / \gamma R_{hi_e}$ , distribution in the cross section for intermediate density  $\lambda=d_p^2/a_x a_y=1.17*10^{-1}$ , at discharge  $Q=31\text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  (prototype scale). Measured with microADV.  
a) (left) rigid plants; b) (right) flexible plants
- Fig.85** Dimensionless transversal turbulent stress, averaged along the vertical  $-\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \langle \overline{u'w'} \rangle / \gamma R_{hi_e} dy$ , distribution for rigid dense config.  $\lambda=d_p^2/a_x a_y=1.67*10^{-1}$ , at discharge  $Q=31\text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  (prototype scale).
- Fig.86** Maximum dimensionless transversal turbulent stresses, averaged along the vertical, measured for different density of plants at discharge  $Q=31\text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  (prototype scale).  
a) (left) rigid plants; b) (right) flexible plants

- Fig.87** Comparison between measured  $K_{Seq}$  in section with vegetated bank, as obtained by eq.(5), and the estimation of  $K_{Seq}$  using the classical E-H approach and the Trento-UniTN method (eq.(6) with  $n=0.2$   $n=0.4$ )
- Fig.88** Shear between vegetated and not vegetated banks, calculation by Pasche and Trento-UniTN methods for (model) flexible, interm. density at discharge  $Q=31 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  (prototype scale) and the corresponding direct measurements; also the direct measurements in Wienfluss using ADV (filled symbols), for a discharge  $Q=28 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ , are reported for comparison.

### 3.8 3D Numerical Modelling of Flow Field

- Fig.89** Cross section of laboratory flume at different discharges and vegetation densities
- Fig.90** Horizontal velocities in a cross section at different discharges  
vegetation density 10 cm x 10 cm (S1)
- Fig.91** Rating curve of the physical model S1 10x10 cm, S3 10x5 cm, S8 5x5 cm
- Fig.92** Horizontal velocities in a cross section at different discharges; vegetation density 5 cm x 5 cm (S6)

### 3.9 1D Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation

- Fig.93** Comparison of hydrographs between measurement and simulation at Hartheim during the course of the flood event in May 1999
- Fig.94** Simulated hydro-graphs during the course of the designed flood at Hartheim in Cases 0, 1, 2, 11S, 12S and 13S (Tab.1)
- Fig.95** Simulated hydro-graphs around the time of peak discharge at Hartheim in Cases 0, 1, 2, 11S, 12S and 13S (Tab.1)
- Fig.96** Temporal courses of retention volumes during the course of the designed flood event in Cases 0, 1, 2, 11S, 12S and 13S
- Fig.97** Temporal courses of retention volumes around the time of peak discharge in Cases 0, 1, 2, 11S, 12S and 13S

### 4.2 Synthesis of Individual Workpackages

- Fig.98** Flow conditions for vegetation (figure 98 is also used in the summary as Fig. 1a-d)

## LIST OF FIGURES - APPENDIX: NAS

### A2. Methods

- Fig.1** Current state of the Danube floodplain in the Big Island of Braila (BIB) Sector. Grey areas are diked, deep grey areas are not diked. Thin lines within the BIB indicates farms limits

### A3.2 The Role of Riparian Forests in the Macrolandscape

- Fig.2** Inclusion relationships (black arrows) between riparian forests and their integrating systems. *Legend:* ml = microlandscape, ML = macrolandscape, TA = terrestrial aquatic, TWA = terrestrial-wetland-aquatic, W = wetland, SOB = small order river basin, MOB = medium order river basin, HOB = high order river basin, LOE = low order ecoregion, HOE = high order ecoregion

### A4. Discussion

- Fig.3** The relationships between the categories of stakeholders



## LIST OF TABLES

### 2.5 Flume Experiments on Riparian Flow Field

**Tab.1** Overview of the setups and typical characteristics

**Tab.2** Summary of the observed properties and parameters for their determination

### 2.9 Numerical Modelling of Flood Wave Propagation

**Tab.3** Details of vegetation parameters

**Tab.4** Simulation cases

### 3.1 Field Work at the Upper Rhine (FS1)

**Tab.5** Hydrological data gauging station Rheinfeldern

**Tab.6** Location and consecutive numbering of sediment traps

**Tab.7** Vertical distribution of velocities at different location and different stages of the flood event at the willow area

### 3.3. Field Work at the Fersina (FS4) and Enz River (FS2)

**Tab.8** Cumulative number of leaves at the Fersina torrent

### 3.8 3D Numerical Modelling of Flow Field

**Tab.9** The simulated combinations of discharges and vegetation densities. Numbers in bold are simulated

## LIST OF TABLES - APPENDIX: NAS

### A3.1 The Place of Riparian Forests in the Macrolandscape

**Tab.1** The natural capital directly and indirectly relevant for the management of the riparian forests.

Explanation in text. *Legend:* TA microlandscape - microlandscape composed of terrestrial and aquatic systems, TWA microlandscape = microlandscape composed of terrestrial, wetland and aquatic systems.

### A3.2 The Role of Riparian Forests in the Macrolandscape

**Tab.2** The complementarities between riparian forests and other types of ecological systems in the macrolandscape production of the natural services.

**Tab.3** Compatibility matrix between the maximizations of the production of different natural services at riparian forest level (above the diagonal) and macrolandscape level (below the diagonal). C= compatible, N = not compatible, NR = not relevant

**Tab.4** Deterioration pathways affecting the production of services by macrolandscape which should be assessed in view of macrolandscape management.

### A4. Discussion

**Tab.5** Steps for designing a plan for the management of a macrolandscape

**Tab.6** The general principles for managing macrolandscapes.