Contents

| Users' Guide | xi |
|---|-------------|
| 1 | |
| Introduction to Evaluation | 1 |
| Objectives | 2 |
| What Is Evaluation? | 2 3 8 |
| Methods | |
| Standards | 9 |
| History | 15 |
| The Pre-Tylerian Period | 16 |
| The Tylerian Age | 17 |
| The Age of Innocence | 18 |
| The Age of Professionalism | 19 22 |
| The Age of Professionalism Roles in Evaluation Work | 25 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 1 | 35 |
| Application Exercise | 40 |
| Questions Without Answers | 41 |
| References | 42 |
| | |
| 2 An Analysis of Alternative Approaches to Evaluation | 45 |
| Objectives | 46 |
| Alternative Conceptualizations of Evaluation | 46 |
| Pseudoevaluation | 47 |
| Covert Investigations | 48 |
| Public-relations-Inspired Studies | 49 |
| Quasievaluations | 49 |
| Objectives-based Studies | 50 |
| Experimentally Oriented Studies | 51 |
| True Evaluations | 52 |
| Decision-oriented Studies | 53 |
| Client-centered Studies | 53 |
| Policy Studies | 54 |
| Consumer-oriented Studies | 55 |
| Conclusion | 55 |

vi CONTENTS

| Knowledge Test for Unit 2 Application Exercises Questions Without Answers References | 57 63 66 66 |
|---|---|
| Objectives-oriented Evaluation: The Tylerian Tradition Objectives The Intention of the Tylerian Approach Procedure for Evaluation Design Advantages of the Tylerian Approach Uses of the Tylerian Model Some Limitations of the Tylerian Approach Evaluation as a Terminal Process Technical Constraints Behaviors as the Ultimate Criterion Bias and Narrow Focus of Evaluation Components Metfessel and Michael: An Extension of the Tylerian Approach The Eight-Step Procedure for Evaluation The Appendix of Multiple Criterion Measures Knowledge Test for Unit 2 Application Exercises Questions Without Answers References | 69 70 71 71 72 73 74 75 75 76 76 76 80 84 86 |
| Edward A. Suchman and the Scientific Approach to Evaluation Objectives Conceptual Aspects of Evaluation Purposes and Principles of Evaluation Values and the Evaluation Process Assumptions for Evaluation Studies Types of Evaluation Categories of Evaluation Methodological Aspects of Evaluation Evaluation versus Nonevaluation Research Methodological Approaches to Evaluation Variations in Evaluation Research Design Principles of Evaluation Research Design Principles of Evaluation Research Design The Measurement of Effects Evaluation and Program Administration Evaluation and Administrative Science Administration of Evaluation Studies: Some Problem Areas Compromises to Meet Administrative | 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 97 98 99 100 102 102 |
| Constraints Knowledge Test for Unit 4 Questions Without Answers References | 105 105 112 113 |

CONTENTS vii

| 5 | |
|--|-------------------|
| Cronbach's Designing Evaluations: A Synopsis Objectives Introduction to the Issues | 115 116 117 |
| | |
| Thoughtful Planning for Flexibility | 117 |
| The Profitable Evaluation | 118 |
| Conflicting Approaches to Evaluation | 120 |
| Consensus in Design Elements | 121 |
| Balance in Evaluation Design: Summary | 122 |
| Cronbach's Concepts of the Elements in an | 400 |
| Evaluation Design: Uto | 123 |
| Units, Treatments, and Observing Operations | 123 |
| UTOS: The Domain of Investigation | 124 |
| Definition of the Elements of uto and UTO | 126 |
| *UTOS: The Domain of Application | 127 |
| Identifying the Research Questions | 129 |
| The Divergent Phase | 130 |
| The Convergent Phase | 132 134 |
| Planning for Communication | |
| The Utility of Findings | 134 135 |
| Direct Communication | 136 |
| Extrapolating from Findings The Promise of Evaluation | 130 |
| Flexibility of Design | 137 |
| Evaluations and Politics: Limitations | 137 |
| Other Considerations | 138 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 5 | 139 |
| Application Exercises | 145 |
| Questions Without Answers | 148 |
| References | 149 |
| 1010101000 | 140 |
| 6 | |
| Stufflebeam's Improvement-oriented Evaluation | 151 |
| Objectives | 152 |
| Some Personal History | 153 |
| Development of the CIPP Model | 153 |
| The PDK Study Committee's Elaboration of | |
| CIPP Evaluation | 157 |
| CIPP Compared to Other Recent Evaluation Proposals | 161 |
| CIPP as a Strategy for Improving Systems | 165 |
| An Overview of CIPP Categories | 169 |
| Context Evaluation | 169 |
| Input Evaluation | 173 |
| Process Evaluation | 174 |
| Product Evaluation | 176 |
| Designing Evaluations Metagoliustics and Standards | 179 183 |
| Metaevaluation and Standards | 183 |
| Conclusion Knowledge Test for Unit 6 | 184 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 6 Application Exercises | 196 |
| Questions Without Answers | 205 |
| References | 205 |
| 1010101000 | 200 |

viii CONTENTS

| 7 | |
|---|-------------|
| Stake's Client-centered Approach to Evaluation | 209 |
| Objectives | 214 |
| The Countenance Statement of Evaluation | 215 |
| Description | 215 |
| Judgment | 216 |
| Format for Data Collection | 216 |
| Responsive Evaluation | 225 |
| Responsive versus Preordinate Evaluation Substantive Structure of Responsive Evaluation | 227 234 |
| General Observations | 234 239 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 7 | 243 |
| Application Exercises | 257 |
| Questions Without Answers | 262 |
| References | 263 |
| 8 | |
| T.R. Owens, R.L. Wolf: An Adversary Approach | |
| to Evaluation | 26 5 |
| Objectives | 266 |
| Intention of the Adversary Model | 267 |
| Adversary Proceedings in Their Legal Context Applicability to Educational Evaluation | 268 |
| Practical Implementations | 268 269 |
| Dimensions of the Adversary Proceedings | 270 |
| One Form of the Adversary Approach: Wolf's | 210 |
| Judicial Model | 271 |
| Rationale | 271 |
| The Four Stages of the Judicial Model | 272 |
| Pros and Cons of Adversary Evaluation | 273 |
| The Argument For | 273 |
| The Argument Against | 274 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 8 | 276 |
| Application Exercises | 279 |
| Questions Without Answers References | 282 |
| neterences | 282 |
| 9 | |
| Illuminative Evaluation: The Holistic Approach | 285 |
| Objectives | 287 |
| Traditional Evaluation: Seeds of Doubt | 288 |
| Emphasis on Learning Processes for Measurement | 288 |
| The Agricultural-Botany Paradigm MacDonald and the Holistic Approach | 288 |
| Stake's Concept of Evaluation as Portrayal | 290 290 |
| Illuminative Evaluation: A Social-Anthropological | 290 |
| Paradigm | 291 |
| The Context of Educational Programs | 292 |
| Organization and Methods of Illuminative Evaluation | 294 |
| Salient Features of Illuminative Evaluation | 294 |
| Reporting and Decision Making | 297 |

CONTENTS

| 1 | X |
|---|---|

| Who Receives a Report? | 297 |
|---|-----|
| Communication of the Spirit of the Program | 297 |
| Diversity Among Reports | 298 |
| Problems Associated with Illuminative Evaluation | 298 |
| Stenhouse's Reservations | 298 |
| Experimental Bias | 299 |
| Large-scale Studies | 300 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 9 | 300 |
| Application Exercises | 305 |
| Questions Without Answers | 309 |
| References | 309 |
| 10 | |
| Michael Scriven's Consumer-Oriented Approach | |
| to Evaluation | 311 |
| Objectives | 313 |
| Evaluation Defined | 313 |
| Critique of Other Persuasions | 314 |
| Formative and Summative Evaluation | 315 |
| Amateur versus Professional Evaluation | 316 |
| Intrinsic and Payoff Evaluation Goal-free Evaluation | 316 |
| Needs Assessment | 317 |
| | 317 |
| The Key Evaluation Checklist Metaevaluation | 318 |
| | 319 |
| Evaluation Ideologies The Separation Ideology | 322 |
| The Separatist Ideology | 322 |
| The Positivist Ideology | 323 |
| The Managerial Ideology | 324 |
| The Relativist Ideology Professionalization of Evaluation | 325 |
| Knowledge Test for Unit 10 | 326 |
| Application Exercises | 326 |
| Questions Without Answers | 339 |
| References | 341 |
| 1010101000 | 342 |
| Indexes | 343 |