TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface xix
Acknowledgements xxxiii

Chapter One

1. **Introductory: The Analyst and Moral Philosophy** 1

1.1. The Advent of Analytical Philosophy 1

1.2. Ayer 5

1.2.1. Philosophy and Knowledge 5

1.2.2. Analytical Philosophy and the Rejection of the Synthetic *A priori* 10

1.2.3. Analytical Philosophy and Language 17

1.2.4. Language Analysis and Moral Language 21

i. *The Road to Rejection* 21

ii. *The Rejection of Moral Philosophy* 23

1.3. Some Consequences of the Advent of Language Analysis 37

1.4. Hare's Reaction to the 'Meaninglessness' of Moral Discourse 41

Chapter Two

2. **Hare on Logic and Language** 55

2.1. Imperatives, Indicatives and Logic 55

2.1.1. What Imperatives are Not 57

2.1.2. Similarities in the Structure of Imperatives and Indicatives 59

2.1.3. The Behaviour of 'Logical Words' in the Imperative 66

2.2. Imperative Inference and Hare's Rules of Inference 67

2.2.1. The Practical Syllogism 67

2.2.2. Hare's Rules of Inference 74

2.2.3. Hare's Justification of his Rules 77

i. *The Argument from the Analytic Nature of Deductive Inference* 77

ii. *A Parenthesis: The Rejection of Self-Evident Principles* 78

iii. *Hare's Amplification of his Second Rule* 81

2.3.4. The Hypothetical Imperative 86

2.4. The Status of the Practical Inference: Some Critical Comments on Hare's Rules of Inference 88

2.5. The Nature of Value-Words in their Moral and Non-Moral Use 96

2.5.1. *Good* Not a Complex Quality 97

2.5.2. *Good* Not A Simple Quality 101

2.5.3. 'Good' Not Equal to an Instance of the Criteria for its Application 102

2.6. Description in Evaluation/Prescription 105

2.6.1. The Primacy of the Evaluative Function of Value-Words 108

2.6.2. Reasons for the Prescriptive Element in Value-Words 111

2.6.3. Similarities Between Erecting Standards and Describing 114

2.7. The Word 'Good': Moral Use of 118

2.7.1. Intrinsic and Instrumental Good 118

2.7.2. Behaviour of 'Good' Not Different in Moral and Non-Moral Use 121

2.8. Moral Good: Features of 123

2.9. 'Good', 'Right' and 'Ought' 126

2.9.1. The Use of 'Ought' 129

2.9.2. Hypothetical and Categorical Use of 'Ought' 131

2.10. Value-judgements and Imperatives 134

2.11. Moral Judgements Distinguished from Universal Imperatives 141

2.12. 'Is' and 'Ought' 143

Chapter Three

3.	**Moral Principles as a Guide to Conduct**	159
3.1.	Premiss: The Universalizability of Moral Judgements and the Descriptive Element	159
3.2.	Principles: Universalizability of	172
3.2.1.	Distinction between Universalizability as a Logical Principle and The Universalization of Moral Principles	175
3.2.2.	Universalizability: Distinct from General	177
3.3.	Universality: Not License for Imposition	180
3.4.	Principles and Decisions thereof	181
3.4.1.	Sources and Reasons	181
3.4.2.	Interdependence of Decision-Making and Principles	187
3.5.	A Parenthesis: 'Ought' 'Can' and 'Backsliding', Reasoning about Choice	192
3.5.1.	'Ought' and 'Can'	192
3.5.2.	'Can' Implies Free	194
3.5.3.	Moral Weakness	196
3.6.	Reasoning in Morals: Decision-Making	200
3.6.1.	The Elements of Decision-Making	205
	i. *Logical Framework and Neutrality*	206
	ii. *Inclinations and Interests*	213

iii. *Facts of a Situation* 215

iv. *Imagination* 216

3.7. Hare's Golden Rule and Moral Arguments in General 217

3.7.1. Moral Argument and the Question of Ideals 222

3.7.2. 'Good' and 'Ought' in Relation to Ideals 229

3.8. Interests and Ideals: Relations and Differences Between 240

3.9. Facts, Imagination, and Logical Form, in Judgements of Substance 249

3.9.1. Preliminary Application: Facts in Race and Inter-Personal Relations 251

3.9.2. A Further Application: The Requirement of Neutrality 253

Chapter Four

4. The Argument for Utilitarianism 281

4.1. Bentham: A Vision of Utilitarianism 283

4.1.2. Sanctions of Human Conduct 286

4.1.3. Criteria for the Evaluation of Pleasure 287

4.1.4. Types of Pleasure 290

4.1.5. Arbitration of Conduct 293

4.2. Hare's Levels of Moral Thinking: Intuition and Critical Thinking 296

4.2.1. The Concept of 'Overridingness' 301

4.2.2. Intuition and Critical Thinking 303

4.2.3. From Intuitionist Claims to Intuitionist Justifications: Danger of 307

i. *Convention and Intuitions* 307

ii. *Probity of Intuitions* 310

iii. *Rejection of Inherent Motivation* 313

iv. *Some Observations* 320

4.3. *Excursus:* Intuition and Critical Thinking in St. Thomas, A Counterpoint to Hare 326

4.4. Hare's Utilitarian Argument 337

4.4.1. Actions, Results, and their Effect on Preferences 339

4.5. Preferences as Motivational States, and the Argument for Imagination 343

4.6. Some Clarifications of Conceptual Difficulties 350

4.7. Preference Satisfaction: Some Further Clarifications 354

4.8. Clarifications on Knowing the Preference of Others 359

4.9. Conflict Between Intuitionism and Utilitarianism? 366

4.10. Utilitarianism, Pleasure, and Funny Pleasures 369

4.11. Hare's Utilitarian Critical Thinking At Work 375

4.11.1. Premiss 375

4.11.2. Critical Thinking and Fanaticism 379

i. *The Types of Fanatic* 379

ii. *The Road to Fanaticism* 383

iii. *The Utilitarian Solution* 385

iv. *Contextualizing Moral Problems* 389

v. *Virtue or Fear of Punishment?* 391

4.12. Universalizability and Amoralism 393

4.12.1. A Clarification 393

4.12.2. The Amoralist 397

4.12.3. Why should I be Moral? 403

Chapter Five

5. **Two Applications, Criticisms and Conclusion: A Vindication of Utilitarianism?** 431

5.1. First Application: Rights and Justice 431

5.1.1. Rights, Obligation and Duty: Hare's Undermining of 432

i. *A Preliminary Argument* 432

ii. *Hare's Emptying of the Concepts of Duty, Rights, and Obligation* 434

iii. *Some Objections to Hare* 437

iv. *Logical Justice?* 441

v. *Untenability of Hare's Moves* 444

vi. *Obligations Exist, Hare Notwithstanding* 445

5.1.2. Justice: the Concept of 448

5.1.3. A Justice of Preference? More Kantian than Kant 455

5.2. Second Application: Can Slavery Ever be Just? 460

5.2.1. Slavery: What? 460

5.2.2. A Model for Discussion 464

5.2.3. Hare's Solution 469

5.3. The Rationality of Morality 473

5.3.1. Clarifications on Rationality 473

5.3.2. The Rationality of Preference Morality 477

5.4. Hare and Kant 481

5.4.1. Is Hare Kantian? 481

i. *Kant's Vision of Morality* 482

ii. *Duty in Kant and Hare* 488

iii. *Kant and Hare, Two Diverse Approaches* 492

iv. *Absolute Morality in Kant* 495

5.4.2. Conflict in Kant 497

5.5. The Argument of Tradition 502

5.5.1. The Moralization of Humans 502

5.5.2. The Humanization of Morality 511

5.6. Hare and Critics 517

5.6.1. Hare and Scarrow 517

5.6.2. Hare and Richards 520

5.6.3. Hare and Brandt 529

5.6.4. Hare and Harsanyi 535

5.7. A Vindication of Utilitarianism? 538

5.7.1. Weaknesses in Hare 539

i. *Lack of Universal Recognition is No Philosophical Argument* 539

ii. *Unity of Systems* 539

iii. *Words Cannot be Isolated from Concepts* 540

iv. *Affirmation of the Intrinsic* 540

v. *Hare's Intuitions* 541

vi. *Hare and Virtue* 542

vii. *Hare's Paradox* 543

viii. *The Might of Preference* 544

ix. *The Onus of Proof* 545

x. *Static and Dynamic Aspects to Man Overlooked by Hare* 549

xi. *Vindication Through Re-definition* 550

5.7.2. A Hounded Hare 553

5.8. Reflecting Towards a True Morality 555

Bibliography 587