

CONTENTS

<i>Preface and acknowledgements</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Typographical conventions</i>	xv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvii
1 The scope of this book	1
2 Sources and materials	28
3 Morality talks: the origins and limits of Stoic interest in ambiguity	39
3.1 Philosophical ideals	39
3.2 Exposition and argument	69
3.3 Technical vocabulary and everyday language	81
3.4 Stylistics	87
3.5 Stoic philosophical method and the Stoic concept of language	92
3.6 Conclusions	128
4 The Stoic definition of ambiguity	131
4.1 Sources	131
4.2 The use of definitions	133
4.3 Diogenes Laertius 7.62: the text	135
4.4 ‘λέξις’, ‘utterance’	136
4.5 ‘σημαίνουσα’, ‘signifying ...’	139
4.6 ‘λεκτικῶς’, ‘linguistically’	152
4.7 ‘κυρίως’, ‘strictly’	162
4.8 ‘κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ ἔθος’, ‘in the same usage’	167
4.9 ‘ὥσθ’ ἄμα ...’, ‘so that several at the same time ...’	169
	ix

4.10	Example	172
4.11	Conclusions	172
5	The Stoic classifications 1: the sources	175
5.1	Galen <i>On Linguistic Sophisms</i>	175
5.1.1	The contents of the work	175
5.1.2	Editions of the text	177
5.1.3	The text, ch. 4, 12.10–14.5G, 21–3E	180
5.2	Theon <i>progymnasmata</i>	184
5.2.1	The contents of the work	184
5.2.2	Editions of the text	186
5.2.3	The text, p. 80.30–p. 81.13 Spengel	188
5.3	Comparisons	192
5.4	Galen's use of the Stoic classification	199
5.5	Authorship of the Stoic classifications	212
6	The Stoic classifications 2: Stoic types of ambiguity	215
6.1	Taxonomic principles	215
6.2	The species 1: Common	220
6.2.1	Texts and translations	220
6.2.2	Title and examples	221
6.2.3	Species	223
6.2.4	Common, Combination, and Division	267
6.2.5	The later history of Common	270
6.2.6	Conclusions	272
6.3	The species 2: the Homonymies	273
6.3.1	Text and translation	273
6.3.2	Species	273
6.3.3	Titles	276
6.3.4	Examples	277
6.3.5	Autonymy	289
	6.3.5.1 Augustine's evidence	289
	6.3.5.2 'Every word is by nature ambiguous'	298
6.3.6	Disambiguation and the principles of classification	310
6.3.7	Conclusions	323

6.4	The species 3: Elleipsis and Pleonasm	328
6.4.1	Texts and translations	328
6.4.2	The problem of taxonomy	329
6.4.3	Elleipsis: Galen's illustration	330
6.4.4	Elleipsis: the species	336
6.4.5	Pleonasm	344
6.4.6	Theon on Elleipsis and Pleonasm	347
6.4.7	Aristotle on Elleipsis and Pleonasm	351
6.4.8	Conclusions	352
6.5	The species 4: Significant Part, Non-significant Part, Part	352
6.5.1	Texts and translations	352
6.5.2	Significant Part	354
6.5.3	Non-significant Part, Part	366
6.5.4	Galen's criticisms	373
6.5.5	Conclusions	375
6.6	The species 5: Hyperbaton and Interpolation	376
6.6.1	Texts and translations	376
6.6.2	The place of Hyperbaton and Interpolation in the <i>prog.</i> classification	376
6.6.3	Conclusions	381
6.7	The species 6: Reference	381
6.7.1	Texts and translations	381
6.7.2	Galen's version	383
6.7.3	Theon's versions	390
6.7.4	Conclusions	397
6.8	General conclusions	398
7	Ambiguity and the fallacy	407
7.1	Stoic interest in fallacies	407
7.2	The principles of classification of fallacies	411
7.3	The dialectical context	414
7.4	Incoherence 1: Simplicius	419
7.5	Incoherence 2: Sextus	424
7.6	Sextus, the Stoa, and sophisms	431
7.7	Incoherence 3: Galen	450
7.8	Incoherence 4: Augustine	456
7.9	Conclusions	457

8	The influence of Stoic teaching	471
8.1	Introduction	471
8.2	Rhetoric	473
8.3	Stylistics	483
8.4	Technical grammar	486
8.5	Conclusions	495
9	A survey of conclusions	502
Appendix	The classification of linguistic fallacies in Aristotle's <i>Sophistical Refutations</i>	505
	<i>Bibliography</i>	507
	<i>Index locorum</i>	528
	<i>General index</i>	546