

Contents

Preface	xi
1. The Naturalization of Epistemology	1
(i) The need for a new look at the traditional role of epistemology.	1
(ii) The naturalization of epistemology as a response to this need.	3
(iii) The <i>prima facie</i> confusion of the descriptive and evaluative tasks of epistemology naturalized.	5
(iv) The descriptive task of epistemology is discussed.	5
(v) The theoretician's method constitutes the method of epistemology.	6
(vi) The epistemologist is not redundant: there is a conventional division of labour between science and epistemology.	8
(vii) The theoretician's method in historical perspective: Comte's three stages seen in a new setting.	10

(viii)	Evaluative dimension of epistemology naturalized: there is no 'wholesale' evaluation of knowledge.	11
(ix)	Internal evaluation, using the open-ended, theoretician's method, is specified.	12
(x)	This type of evaluation does not confuse the descriptive and evaluative dimensions of epistemology;	13
(xi)	Neither is it viciously circular.	14
(xii)	The possibility of a pluralism of rational positions.	15
2. The Learning Feedback Model and The Mainstream of Philosophy		18
(i)	The learning feedback model's account of descriptive terms. The functioning of the latter depends on (a) the initial situation in which the term is introduced and (b) the associated stereotypes.	19
(ii)	The feedback mechanism can force us to alter either (a) or (b).	20
(iii)	The human quest for truth is pursued at the level of output.	21
(iv)	Unlike Plato, the world of knowledge is rooted in the world of change.	22
(v)	Aristotle's 'five ways in which the soul arrives at truth' are examined. This leads us to consider the role of foundational studies in the learning feedback model.	23
(vi)	The learning feedback model and traditional empiricism.	26
(vii)	The learning feedback model's attitude towards the ideal language of logical positivism.	28
(viii)	The notion of the <i>a priori</i> is not a product of pure reason. Evaluation of Quine's thesis that knowledge is a 'man-made fabric which impinges on experience only along the edges'.	31
(ix)	Ostensive procedures and the thesis that the identification of things is theory-dependent.	35

(x)	The limitations of linguistic analysis as the method of philosophy.	38
(xi)	The Wittgensteinian approach to certainty is not tenable in this model.	39
3.	The Learning Feedback Model, Relativism and Epistemological Anarchism	41
(i)	The argument that the two preceding chapters imply relativism.	42
(ii)	Kantian agnosticism, hermeneutical relativism and the learning feedback model.	43
(iii)	The content of our knowledge is historically conditioned.	45
(iv)	The parallel between the logician's distinction between form and content and the epistemologist's distinction between method and content.	46
(v)	Brief outline of the inductive and deductive characterizations of the method of science.	48
(vi)	Kuhnian criticism of scientific method and of the Popperian criterion of progress.	50
(vii)	Feyerabend's distinction between philosophical relativism, scepticism, naive anarchism and epistemological anarchism.	52
(viii)	Feyerabend's basis for Protagorean relativism is critically evaluated.	53
(ix)	The triviality of Feyerabend's thesis that 'all rules have their limits'.	55
(x)	The learning feedback model and inconsistency.	56
(xi)	The role of simplicity in this model.	57
(xii)	Feyerabend's and the learning feedback model's conceptions of pluralism.	58
(xiii)	The epistemological anarchist's defence of absurd ideas.	60
(xiv)	The distinction between the context of discovery and the context of confirmation.	62
(xv)	The learning feedback model and induction.	65

4. The Observable and Unobservable	68
(i) The delineation of the observable as a scientific task necessarily follows from the naturalization of epistemology. An independent justification of this consequence.	68
(ii) The question of the existence of unobservable entities in light of the setting of (i).	71
(iii) The aim of science is the extension of the boundaries of what is observable in order to discover the entities and processes which are actual in nature.	72
(iv) Putnam's realism is rejected: a theory of reference presupposes a theory of truth.	73
(v) The case study of the electron. Cartwright's thesis that it 'is not an entity of any particular theory' is rejected. The constructive empiricist thesis that it is not observable is also rejected. Rather the electron, though not visible, is observable.	74
(vi) the learning feedback model's paradox of observation.	80
(vii) Churchland's response to this paradox, i.e. reject the model, is shown to be untenable.	81
(viii) As a first step towards resolving the paradox, the learning feedback model's distinction between the low-level and high-level statements of a theory is introduced.	84
(ix) Theory-laden, experimental results constitute the facts as the highest court of appeal.	86
(x) The rejection of Kuhn's thesis that the measurement results of scientific texts do not function as tests of a theory.	87
(xi) The reasons why the scientist cannot leave scientific theory outside the laboratory door when he is conducting his experiments.	88

(xii)	The linguistic and other roles of theory in experimentation and the translational 'continuity' of experimental results.	89
(xiii)	The roles of theory in experiment do not undermine the thesis that extensive measurements define the facts as the highest court of appeal.	90
5.	Towards a Philosophico-Empirical Theory of Truth	92
(i)	The distinction between nature and criteria of truth.	93
(ii)	Distinction between a realist approach to and a correspondence theory of truth.	94
(iii)	Criticism of the conventional presuppositions of (Austin's) correspondence theory.	95
(iv)	Rejection of the causal theory of reference.	99
(v)	Davidson's critique of Quine's dualism of scheme and content.	104
(vi)	Contrary to Davidson, there are radically different, though translatable, conceptual schemes.	106
(vii)	Also contrary to Davidson, translatability does not imply a common ontology.	108
(viii)	Critique of Davidson's conception of error.	109
(ix)	Contrast between non-epistemic and epistemic (i.e. warranted assertability) notions of truth.	112
(x)	The non-epistemic notion is required to express Quine's under-determination and inscrutability theses.	114
(xi)	The need for a recursive approach to truth - Davidson and Tarski.	116
(xii)	The hard core of the research programme of the philosophico-empirical theory of truth in the learning feedback model.	118
6.	The Learning Feedback Model and the Epistemic Objectivity of Morality	124

(i)	Natural law and Positivist conceptions of morality.	124
(ii)	The ethics, meta-ethics distinction is critically evaluated.	127
(iii)	Moral diversity and the relativity of morality.	128
(iv)	The thesis of the epistemic objectivity of morality is introduced.	129
(v)	Criticism of consequentialist utilitarianism.	131
(vi)	Rejection of Singer's account of the rational basis of ethics.	132
(vii)	Contrary to the Mackie-Singer thesis, moral values are not 'queer' entities - they are embedded in the social structures of moral societies.	133
(viii)	The fact-value dichotomy is re-examined. Evaluative dimension can be derived from our descriptions of social interactions.	135
(ix)	Fundamental moral principles are explicated as high-level generalizations.	139
(x)	The epistemic objectivity of morality can be established independently of the correct specification of, so-called, fundamental moral principles.	140
(xi)	A society of amoral, sane human beings is possible.	141

Bibliography	144
--------------	-----

Index	147
-------	-----