

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	ix
<b>1. Introduction</b>	1
1.1 The data	1
1.2 An exercise in corpus linguistics	5
1.3 Previous corpus-based and corpus-informed studies	6
1.4 Diachronic studies of the modals and quasi-modals	7
1.5 Regional and stylistic variation	8
<b>2 Theoretical preliminaries</b>	11
2.1 Modality and mood	11
2.2 The modal auxiliaries	12
2.3 Quasi-modals	15
2.3.1 Properties of the semi-modals	16
2.3.2 Properties of the lexico-modals	17
2.3.3 Grammaticalization and idiomaticity	18
2.3.3.1 Grammaticalization	18
2.3.3.2 Idiomaticity	20
2.3.3.3 Selection of quasi-modals for analysis	21
2.4 Modal meanings: approaches and issues	21
2.4.1 Classifying modal meanings	21
2.4.2 Polysemy vs monosemy	23
2.4.3 Negation and 'temporality'	24
2.5 Further dimensions of modality	25
2.5.1 Modal strength	25
2.5.2 Degree of modality	27
2.5.3 Subjectivity/objectivity	28
2.6 General aspects of the relationship between modals and quasi-modals	29
<b>3 Necessity and obligation</b>	33
3.1 <i>Must</i>	34
3.1.1 Deontic <i>must</i>	35
3.1.2 Epistemic <i>must</i>	38
3.1.3 Dynamic <i>must</i>	40
3.1.4 Time reference of situation	41

3.1.5	<i>Must</i> and negation	43
3.1.6	<i>Must</i> : regional and stylistic variation	43
3.2	<i>Should</i>	44
3.2.1	Deontic <i>should</i>	45
3.2.2	Epistemic <i>should</i>	46
3.2.3	<i>Should</i> and temporality	47
3.2.4	<i>Should</i> and negation	48
3.2.5	Special 'low-degree' uses of <i>should</i>	48
3.2.6	'Preterite' <i>should</i>	51
3.2.7	<i>Should</i> : regional and stylistic variation	52
3.3	<i>Ought to</i>	52
3.3.1	Deontic <i>ought to</i>	53
3.3.2	Epistemic <i>ought to</i>	55
3.3.3	<i>Ought to</i> and temporality	56
3.3.4	<i>Ought to</i> and negation	56
3.3.5	<i>Ought to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	56
3.4	<i>Need</i>	57
3.4.1	Meanings of <i>need</i>	57
3.4.2	<i>Need</i> : regional and stylistic variation	59
3.5	<i>Have to</i>	59
3.5.1	Deontic <i>have to</i>	60
3.5.2	Dynamic <i>have to</i>	62
3.5.3	Epistemic <i>have to</i>	63
3.5.4	Time reference of situation	64
3.5.5	<i>Have to</i> and negation	66
3.5.6	<i>Have to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	67
3.6	<i>Have got to</i>	68
3.6.1	Deontic <i>have got to</i>	69
3.6.2	Dynamic <i>have got to</i>	70
3.6.3	Epistemic <i>have got to</i>	70
3.6.4	<i>Have got to</i> and temporality	71
3.6.5	<i>Have got to</i> and negation	72
3.6.6	<i>Have got to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	72
3.7	<i>Need to</i>	73
3.7.1	Deontic <i>need to</i>	73
3.7.2	Epistemic <i>need to</i>	75
3.7.3	Dynamic <i>need to</i>	75
3.7.4	<i>Need to</i> and temporality	75
3.7.5	<i>Need to</i> and negation	76
3.7.6	<i>Need to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	76
3.8	<i>Had better</i>	77
3.8.1	Meanings of <i>had better</i>	77
3.8.2	<i>Had better</i> : regional and stylistic variation	79
3.9	<i>Be supposed to</i>	80
3.9.1	Meanings of <i>be supposed to</i>	80

3.9.2	<i>Be supposed to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	82
3.10	<i>Be to</i>	83
3.10.1	Meanings of <i>be to</i>	83
3.10.2	<i>Be to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	86
3.11	<i>Be bound to</i>	86
3.11.1	Meanings of <i>be bound to</i>	87
3.11.2	<i>Be bound to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	88
<b>4</b>	<b>Possibility, permission and ability</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	<i>May</i>	92
4.1.1	Epistemic <i>may</i>	92
4.1.2	Deontic <i>may</i>	95
4.1.3	Dynamic <i>may</i>	95
4.1.4	<i>May</i> : regional and stylistic variation	96
4.2	<i>Can</i>	97
4.2.1	Epistemic <i>can</i>	98
4.2.2	Deontic <i>can</i>	100
4.2.3	Dynamic <i>can</i>	101
	4.2.3.1 Theoretical possibility	101
	4.2.3.2 Ability	103
	4.2.3.3 Dynamic implication	104
4.2.4	<i>Can</i> : regional and stylistic variation	104
4.3	<i>Might</i> and <i>could</i>	105
4.3.1	Uses of <i>might</i> and <i>could</i> as preterite forms	105
	4.3.1.1 Temporal uses	105
	4.3.1.2 Hypothetical uses	106
4.3.2	Meanings of <i>might</i> and <i>could</i>	108
	4.3.2.1 Epistemic possibility	109
	4.3.2.2 Dynamic possibility	113
	4.3.2.3 Deontic possibility	117
4.3.3	<i>May</i> and <i>might</i> : one lexeme or two?	117
4.3.4	<i>Might</i> and <i>could</i> : regional and stylistic variation	118
4.4	<i>Be able to</i>	119
4.4.1	Meanings of <i>be able to</i>	119
4.4.2	<i>Be able to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	122
<b>5</b>	<b>Prediction and volition</b>	<b>125</b>
5.1	<i>Will</i>	126
5.1.1	Epistemic <i>will</i>	126
5.1.2	Dynamic <i>will</i>	131
5.1.3	Deontic <i>will</i>	134
5.1.4	<i>Will</i> : regional and stylistic variation	135

5.2	<i>Shall</i>	135
5.2.1	Epistemic <i>shall</i>	136
5.2.2	Dynamic <i>shall</i>	137
5.2.3	Deontic <i>shall</i>	137
5.2.4	<i>Shall</i> : regional and stylistic variation	138
5.3	<i>Would</i>	139
5.3.1	Temporal <i>would</i>	140
5.3.2	Hypothetical <i>would</i>	141
5.3.3	<i>Would</i> : regional and stylistic variation	143
5.4	<i>Be going to</i>	143
5.4.1	Epistemic <i>be going to</i>	144
5.4.2	Dynamic <i>be going to</i>	147
5.4.3	Deontic <i>be going to</i>	148
5.4.4	<i>Be going to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	149
5.5	<i>Want to</i>	150
5.5.1	Dynamic <i>want to</i>	152
5.5.2	Deontic <i>want to</i>	152
5.5.3	Epistemic <i>want to</i>	153
5.5.4	<i>Want to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	153
5.6	<i>Be about to</i>	155
5.6.1	Meanings of <i>be about to</i>	155
5.6.2	<i>Be about to</i> : regional and stylistic variation	156

<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	159
----------	-------------------	-----

<b>Appendix</b>	163
-----------------	-----

<b>References</b>	185
-------------------	-----

<b>Index</b>	191
--------------	-----