

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
PREFACE	xv
1. THE STRUCTURE OF EVOLUTIONARY THEORY	1
1.1. Three features of physico-chemical theories	4
1.2. Evolutionary theory and the observational/theoretical dichotomy	7
1.3. Is evolutionary theory hypothetico-deductive?	12
1.4. But is genetics really part of evolutionary theory?	19
1.5. The consilient nature of evolutionary theory	21
1.6. Conclusion	26
Notes	27
2. THE EVIDENCE FOR EVOLUTIONARY THEORY	28
2.1. Evidence for the synthetic theory's core	30
2.2. Evidence for the whole theory	38
2.3. Rivals: The first chapter of Genesis	42
2.4. Rivals: Lamarckism	46
2.5. Rivals: Saltationism	53
2.6. Rivals: Orthogenesis	60
2.7. Evolutionary logic	62
Notes	64
3. KARL POPPER AND EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY	65
3.1. Evolutionary theory as a metaphysical research programme	68
3.2. The problem of speciation	69
3.3. Is natural selection a tautology?	71
3.4. The problem of gradual change	76
3.5. Popperian saltationism	77
3.6. Evolutionary biology and evolutionary epistemology	81

4. THE LAST WORD ON TELEOLOGY, OR OPTIMALITY MODELS VINDICATED	85
4.1. The teleology of biology	87
4.2. Artifacts and adaption	90
4.3. Consequences and amplifications	94
Notes	101
5. THE MOLECULAR REVOLUTION IN GENETICS	102
5.1. Scientific advance: reduction or replacement?	106
5.2. What kind of revolution occurred in genetics?	109
5.3. But did 'strong' reduction really occur?	113
5.4. David Hull objects	118
Notes	128
6. DOES GENETIC COUNSELLING REALLY RAISE THE QUALITY OF LIFE?	130
6.1. Genetic counselling	132
6.2. The John F. Kennedy Institute Tay-Sachs programme	138
6.3. The limitations to genetic counselling	140
6.4. The problem of abortion	143
6.5. The problem of the poor	147
6.6. The problem of minorities	151
6.7. What is genetic disease?	153
6.8. Conclusion	155
Notes	156
7. THE RECOMBINANT DNA DEBATE: A TEMPEST IN A TEST TUBE?	158
7.1. The recombinant DNA debate	159
7.2. The nature of recombinant DNA research	161
7.3. The positive case for recombinant DNA research	167
7.4. The negative case against recombinant DNA research	171
7.5. Do the benefits outweigh the risks?	172
7.6. The dangers of recombinant DNA research	175
7.7. The argument from epidemiology	179
7.8. Recombinant DNA research considered as science	182
7.9. Can one really separate science and technology?	186
7.10. Epilogue	189
Notes	189

8. SOCIOBIOLOGY: SOUND SCIENCE OR MUDDLED META-PHYSICS?	190
8.1. What is sociobiology	191
8.2. Humans as seen through the lens of sociobiology	197
8.3. Other sociobiological claims	199
8.4. Is human sociobiology facist?	201
8.5. Is sociobiology prejudiced against homosexuals?	204
8.6. The testability of sociobiology	207
8.7. The falsity of sociobiology	211
8.8. Sociobiology and philosophy	214
Notes	217
9. IS SCIENCE SEXIST? THE CASE OF SOCIOBIOLOGY	219
9.1. How science can show bias	220
9.2. Freudian psychoanalytic theory	222
9.3. The sociobiology of human sexuality: Wilson	224
9.4. The sociobiology of human sexuality: Symons	229
9.5. Is sociobiology sexist? The lesser charges	232
9.6. Is sociobiology sexist? The major charge	234
9.7. Concluding reflections for the feminist	241
Notes	244
10. ARE HOMOSEXUALS SICK?	245
10.1. Two models of health and sickness	247
10.2. The empirical facts about homosexuality	249
10.3. Psychoanalytic causal explanations	256
10.4. Endocrinal causal explanations of homosexuality	261
10.5. Sociobiological causal explanations	264
10.6. Conclusion	268
Appendix 1. Matrix comparing sickness models against putative facts about homosexuality	270
Appendix 2. Freud's letter to an American Mother	271
Notes	272
BIBLIOGRAPHY	273
SUBJECT INDEX	291
NAME INDEX	295