Contents

Preface	kiii
Introduction	3
I THE THEORY OF DIRECT REFERENCE	
ONE. The Theory of Singular Direct Reference	
 A Formulation of the Theory The Orthodox Notion of Sense Descriptional Singular Terms Relationally Descriptional Singular Terms Orthodox Theories and the Theory of Direct Reference 	9
 2. The Arguments 2.1. The Modal Arguments 2.2. The Epistemological Arguments 2.3. The Semantical Arguments 2.4. Contextual Factors in Reference 	23
 3. Rigid Designators 3.1. Two Kinds of Rigid Designators: Persistence and Obstinacy 3.2. Proper Names, Nondescriptionality, and Obstinacy 3.3. A Criterion for Obstinacy 	32 y
TWO. The Theory Extended to General Terms	
 4.1. Descriptional General Terms 4.2. Common Nouns as Proper Names 4.3. A Point of Disanalogy 4.4. Designation 	42
4.5. Relationally Descriptional Designators4.6. Orthodox Theories and the Theory of Direct Reference4.7. The Traditional Theory of Cognition and Understanding	

5. The Arguments Reconsidered5.1. The General Form of the Arguments5.2. An Obvious Objection5.3. A Reply: Putnam's Twin Earth Argument	59
6. Rigid Designators Reconsidered6.1. General Term Designation and Rigid Designation6.2. A Criterion for General Term Designation	69
THREE. Reference and the Necessary A Posteriori	
 7. Some Consequences of the Theory 7.1. Traditional Assimilations 7.2. Necessary A Posteriori Identities 7.3. The General Phenomenon 	76
 8. Other Alleged Necessary A Posteriori Truths 8.1. The Examples 8.2. Trivial Essentialism 8.3. Nontrivial Essentialism Concerning Natural Kinds 8.4. Nontrivial Essentialism Concerning Individuals 	80
9. A Crucial Question	87
FOUR. Putnam's Theory of Natural Kind Terms	
10. Putnam's Theses	93
 11. Initial Exegetical Remarks 11.1. The 'is' of Instantiation 11.2. A Gross Misinterpretation 11.3. A Subtle Misinterpretation 11.4. A Difficulty in Interpretation 	99
 12. First Formulations 12.1. An Initial Formalization 12.2. Time-Slices and Possible World-Slices of Continuant Individuals 12.3. An Initial Attempt to Eliminate Possible World-Slices 	106
 13. Cross-World Relations 13.1. Cross-World Construal of Binary Relations 13.2. Intra-World Attributes, Extra-World Attributes, and Cross-World Relations 	116
viii	

13.3. A Mechanism for Generating Cross-World Relation13.4. Nondenoting Singular Terms	ıS
 14. Reformulations 14.1. A New Attempt at Formalization 14.2. Thesis (T3) 14.3. Reductionism and Analysis 14.4. Translation into Modal Operator Discourse 	136
15. Further Exegetical Remarks 15.1. Theses (T5) and (T6) 15.2. Thesis (T4) 15.3. Thesis (T7) 15.4. Thesis (T6) Again	148
THE PROGRAM TO DERIVE ESSENTIALISM A THE THEORY OF REFERENCE	FROM
FIVE. The K and I Mechanisms	
16. Putnam on (T9)	161
17. Donnellan's Elucidations of (T9)	163
18. The OK-Mechanism18.1. A Valid Modal Argument18.2. The General Case	166
19. The General K-Mechanism and the I-Mechanism19.1. The General K-Mechanism19.2. The I-Mechanism	169
20. The Program	174
SIX. Hidden Essentialism in the K and I Mechanisms	
21. The First Two Premises	176
 22. The Third Premise 22.1. Putnam and Donnellan on the Third Premise 22.2. Formalization 22.3. The K-Mechanisms 22.4. The <i>I</i>-Mechanism 	176

23. The Failure of the Program23.1. The Original Argument23.2. The K and I Mechanisms	183
SEVEN. Arguments for the Essentiality of Origin	
24. Kripke and the Putnam Program	193
 25. Kripke's "Proof" of the Essentiality of Origin 25.1. Kripke's Formulation of Argument 25.2. Some Initial Considerations 25.3. The Compossibility Premise 25.4. The Unfinished Argument 25.5. A Principle of Cross-World Identification 25.6. An Alternative Argument 	196
26. Compossibility Principles and Cross-World Identification Principles	214
CONCLUSION	217
APPENDIX I. Principles of Cross-World Identification	
 27. Cross-World Identification Principles and the Ship of Theseus 27.1. An Argument for Contingent Identity 27.2. A Fallacy 27.3. A Better Theory 	219
28. The Four Worlds Paradox 28.1. The Argument 28.2. One Solution 28.3. A Better Solution 28.4. Vagueness and the Paradox	229
APPENDIX II. The Essentialist Principles in the K and I Mechanisms	
29. Donnellan vs. Kripke	253
30. A Problem in the Epistemology of Modality	253
31. The Nonmodal Consequences	255

C	ontents
32. Connecting Statements32.1. The Need for Connecting Statements32.2. The Theoretical Status of the Connecting Statements	260
33. Conclusion	264
Selected Bibliography	265
Index of Labeled Expressions	279
Index of Subjects	284