

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Contents | i |
| List of Figures | v |
| List of Tables | ix |
| Nomenclature | xi |
| Acronyms | xvi |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Motivation | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 3 |
| 1.3 Outlines of the dissertation | 5 |
| Chapter 2 Background | 6 |
| 2.1 Dynamic equivalencing | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Coherency identification and grouping of generators | 8 |
| 2.1.2 Network aggregation | 9 |
| 2.1.3 Static network reduction | 10 |
| 2.1.4 Aggregation of control devices | 11 |
| 2.2 Existing approaches | 12 |
| 2.3 Power system simulation program | 13 |
| 2.4 Summary | 14 |
| Chapter 3 Electromechanical-based Identity Recognition in Dynamic Equivalencing | 15 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 16 |
| 3.2 Coherency-based dynamic equivalencing | 16 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 3.3 Identity recognition approach..... | 20 |
| 3.3.1 Conditions..... | 20 |
| 3.3.2 Procedure..... | 26 |
| 3.3.3 Identity recognition algorithms..... | 28 |
| 3.3.4 Comparative application..... | 29 |
| 3.4 Electromechanical-based identity recognition..... | 31 |
| 3.4.1 Inertia coefficient and electrical power..... | 31 |
| 3.4.2 Electromechanical distance..... | 37 |
| 3.5 Case studies..... | 38 |
| 3.5.1 16 Multi-machine system..... | 39 |
| 3.5.2 Simulation results and discussion..... | 40 |
| 3.5.3 Interconnected European Network UCTE/CENTREL..... | 47 |
| 3.5.4 Simulation results and discussion..... | 49 |
| 3.6 Summary..... | 56 |
| Chapter 4 Splitting Aggregation-based Dynamic Equivalencing..... | 58 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 59 |
| 4.2 Conventional aggregation in dynamic equivalencing..... | 59 |
| 4.2.1 Inertial Aggregation..... | 60 |
| 4.2.2 Slow coherency aggregation..... | 64 |
| 4.2.3 Power invariance aggregation..... | 67 |
| 4.2.4 Berg and Ghafurian's aggregation..... | 69 |
| 4.3 Splitting-based aggregation approach..... | 70 |
| 4.3.1 Conditions..... | 70 |
| 4.3.2 Aggregated electrical parameters..... | 72 |
| 4.3.3 Splitting factors of generators..... | 76 |
| 4.4 Case study..... | 80 |
| 4.5 Simulation results and discussion..... | 81 |
| 4.6 Summary..... | 87 |

Chapter 5 Dynamic Artificial Neural Network-based Dynamic Equivalencing89

| | |
|---|------------|
| 5.1 Introduction..... | 90 |
| 5.2 Conventional dynamic equivalencing..... | 91 |
| 5.3 Dynamic ANN-based dynamic equivalencing | 92 |
| 5.3.1 Artificial neural networks (ANN) for modeling..... | 93 |
| 5.3.2 Modeling of dynamic system..... | 96 |
| 5.3.3 Mathematical description | 97 |
| 5.3.4 Power system model | 100 |
| 5.3.5 Dynamic ANN model as external area | 104 |
| 5.3.6 Robustness..... | 113 |
| 5.4 Case studies..... | 118 |
| 5.4.1 16 Multi-machine system with 2 boundary nodes..... | 118 |
| 5.4.2 12 Multi-machine system with 3 to 8 boundary nodes..... | 119 |
| 5.5 Simulation results and discussion..... | 120 |
| 5.5.1 16 Multi-machine system with 2 boundary nodes..... | 120 |
| 5.5.2 12 Multi-machine system with 3 to 8 boundary nodes..... | 130 |
| 5.6 Summary..... | 137 |
| Chapter 6 Closure..... | 139 |
| 6.1 Conclusions..... | 139 |
| 6.2 Selection criteria..... | 143 |
| 6.3 Suggestions for future work..... | 145 |
| 6.4 List of publications | 146 |
| Bibliography | 147 |
| APPENDIX A Classical dynamic equivalencing approaches..... | 156 |
| A.1. Ward equivalent | 156 |
| A.2. Modal-based equivalencing | 159 |
| A.3. Coherency-based equivalencing..... | 160 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| A.4. Hybrid modal procedures..... | 162 |
| A.5. Linear model reduction | 163 |
| A.6. Model identification methods | 165 |
| A.7. Flow chart of the power system simulation tool PSD..... | 166 |
| A.8. Flow chart of the coupling of the machine model to the analysis algorithm PSD167 | |
| APPENDIX B Identity recognition algorithms..... | 168 |
| B.1. Hierarchical clustering | 168 |
| B.2. Partitioning clustering: K-means | 169 |
| B.3. Fuzzy clustering..... | 170 |
| B.4. Relationship between K-means (hard clustering) and Fuzzy clustering | 174 |
| B.5. Self organizing features maps (SOFM)..... | 175 |
| B.6. Clustering quality | 176 |
| B.7. Practical comparison of identity recognition algorithms..... | 177 |
| APPENDIX C DANN-based dynamic equivalencing | 178 |
| C.1. ANN preliminaries..... | 178 |
| C.2. Learning strategies | 179 |
| C.3. Modeling..... | 179 |
| C.4. Non-linear models | 180 |
| C.5. Power system model | 180 |
| APPENDIX D Data sets of 16 Multi-machine system..... | 183 |
| APPENDIX E Data sets of 12 Multi-machine system | 188 |
| APPENDIX F Data sets of the interconnected European power system UCTE / | |
| CENTREL | 196 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Fig. 2.1.- Classical dynamic equivalencing in power systems | 7 |
| Fig. 3.1.- Swing oscillating curve of the rotor angular speed representing the conditions for the identity recognition instead of the classical coherency..... | 21 |
| Fig. 3.2.- Classical Aggregation | 22 |
| Fig. 3.3.- Schematic representation of iterative assignment and construction of centroids or reference generators within a clustering procedure | 27 |
| Fig. 3.4.- Schematic representation of similar generators belonging to a cluster group taking into account its identical properties in phase and amplitude..... | 27 |
| Fig. 3.5.- Flow chart of applied identity recognition algorithms..... | 29 |
| Fig. 3.6.- One-machine power system for sensitivity analysis | 32 |
| Fig. 3.7.- Graphical comparison of the normalized square mean values of the sensitivities of rotor angle δ_r with reference to the synchronous machine parameters..... | 32 |
| Fig. 3.8.- Graphical comparison of the normalized square mean values of the sensitivities of rotor angle, rotor current and angle with reference to the parameters of the synchronous machines | 33 |
| Fig. 3.9.- 16 Multi-machine system | 39 |
| Fig. 3.10.- Schematic representation of the grouped 16-machine System following disturbances at the boundary nodes C1 on 380kV and C8 on 220kV at the same time. | 41 |
| Fig. 3.11.- Assignment and grouping of identical external machines according to the identity recognition algorithms in conjunction to Fig. 3.10. | 42 |
| Fig. 3.12.a, b.- Comparison of time responses of C12 internal machine calculated with 3 cluster groups according to the electromechanical Fuzzy and K-means..... | 43 |
| Fig. 3.13.- Comparison of time responses of the internal machine C14 depending on the reduction degree with 1, 3, 6 electromechanical Fuzzy algorithm-based equivalents. | 44 |
| Fig. 3.14.- Time responses of the C2 internal machine calculated with 3 equivalent machines by different identity recognition-based algorithms. | 45 |
| Fig. 3.15.- Time responses of the C2 internal machine following the disturbance (electrically and geographically closest) applied on C3 node of internal area..... | 45 |
| Fig. 3.16.- Time responses of the C2 internal machine following the disturbance (electrically and geographically far away) applied on C9 node of internal area. | 46 |
| Fig. 3.17.- Interconnected European power system UCTE/CENTREL [142]. | 48 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Fig. 3.18.a, b, c.- Comparison of time responses of the KIEL1 German machine calculated with 90 equivalent machines by different identity recognition algorithms and considering their electromechanical weighting (weighted K-means, weighted Fuzzy and Kohonen-SOFM). | 50 |
| Fig. 3.19.a, b.- Comparison of time responses of the STDE1 German machine calculated with 65 equivalent machines by different identity algorithms with electromechanical distances (weighted K-means, weighted Fuzzy)..... | 52 |
| Fig. 3.20.- Behavior of the BWKUCESS German machine using 65 equivalents considering their electromechanical-based algorithms and following a disturbance at VEHANNSA (VEW)..... | 53 |
| Fig. 3.21.- Behavior of the BWKUCESS German machine using 65 equivalents considering their electromechanical-based algorithms and following a disturbance at EVDELSSAD (EnBW) .. | 53 |
| Fig. 3.22.- Comparison of identity recognition algorithms considering electromechanical weighted distances by the mean value of J of the 67 German intern machines for a fault located at the boundary node VEGROUSB(VEW) with different number of external equivalents. | 55 |
| Fig. 4.1.- Synchronous machine models: L1-L2-L3 transformed in dq-system..... | 61 |
| Fig.4.2.- Slow coherency aggregation | 65 |
| Fig. 4.3.- Power invariance aggregation..... | 68 |
| Fig. 4.4.a.- Classical aggregation..... | 71 |
| Fig. 4.4.b.- Proposed splitting based-aggregation | 71 |
| Fig. 4.5.- Machine-splitting with reference to their nominal power according to the derived splitting factors a1 and a2..... | 72 |
| Fig. 4.6.- Splitting-based aggregation | 73 |
| Fig. 4.7.- Interconnected 16 Multi-machine System. | 80 |
| Fig. 4.8.- Comparison of time responses of an internal machine calculated with the original external system and with 3 equivalent machines using the classical aggregation. | 82 |
| Fig. 4.9.- Comparison of time responses of an internal machine calculated with the original external system and with 3 equivalent machines using the splitting based-aggregation. | 82 |
| Fig. 4.10.- Comparison of time domain behavior of an internal machine calculated with the original external system and with 3 equivalent machines using the splitting based-aggregation. | 83 |
| Fig. 4.11.- Behavior of any internal machine following a sequence of disturbances applied on different nodes electrically and geographically distinct from the equivalent disturbance. It is simulated with the original external area and with 3 splitting equivalents with Fuzzy factors.... | 84 |
| Fig. 4.12.- Comparison of aggregation algorithms considering the classical inertial aggregation and the proposed splitting-based aggregation by the mean value of J of the intern machines for a fault located at the internal node with different number of external equivalents. | 86 |
| Fig. 5.1.- Division of complex power networks in areas | 92 |
| Fig. 5.2.- Neural network structure | 93 |
| Fig. 5.3.- Basic structure of generalized neuron model..... | 94 |
| Fig. 5.4.- ANNs applied to the modeling of non-linear systems. Internal and external recurrent ANN can be used to develop the DANN-based dynamic equivalencing | 95 |
| Fig. 5.5.- Modeling structures. The system modeling used in this approach is emphasized as a hybrid procedure in black-box form with assumptions about the system | 96 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Fig. 5.6.- Generator equivalent circuit as voltage and current source | 103 |
| Fig. 5.7.- Internal and external area of a interconnected power system..... | 104 |
| Fig. 5.8.- Equivalent external area of a power system..... | 104 |
| Fig. 5.9.- External area as dynamic equivalent..... | 106 |
| Fig. 5.10.- Network structure for approximation of non-linear systems | 108 |
| Fig. 5.11.- Series-parallel configuration coupling back the observed system output..... | 109 |
| Fig. 5.12.- Parallel configuration with an internal recurrent link to the networks..... | 110 |
| Fig. 5.13.- System modeling for dynamic equivalencing..... | 111 |
| Fig. 5.14.- DANN representing the dynamic equivalent for disturbances applied in the internal area | 114 |
| Fig. 5.15.- Global dynamic ANN forming the dynamic of the external area..... | 116 |
| Fig. 5.16.- Dynamic ANN forming the external dynamic with distributed operating points according to heterogeneous power levels of the internal area..... | 117 |
| Fig.5.17.- Procedure to developing ANN models as dynamic equivalents..... | 117 |
| Fig. 5.18.- 16 Multi-machine system with 2 interfaces and three areas..... | 118 |
| Fig. 5.19.- 12 Multi-machine system with multiple number of boundary nodes..... | 119 |
| Fig. 5.20.i .- Real part of the injected current at the second boundary node following a non-trained disturbance in area A..... | 121 |
| Fig. 5.20.ii .- Imaginary part of the injected current at the second boundary node following a non- trained disturbance in area A..... | 121 |
| Fig. 5.21.i .- Real part of the injected current at the first boundary node following a disturbance (100 ms) at node 7 in area A under changed operating point | 122 |
| Fig. 5.21.ii .- Imaginary part of the injected current at the first boundary node following a disturbance (100 ms) at node 7 in area A under changed operating point | 122 |
| Fig. 5.22.i .- Real part of the injected current at the first boundary node following a disturbance (100 ms) on node 6 in area B..... | 123 |
| Fig. 5.22.ii .- Imaginary part of the injected current at the first boundary node following a disturbance (100 ms) on node 6 in area B..... | 123 |
| Fig. 5.23.i .- Active power flow interconnection between nodes 5 in B and 8 in C boundary line of area B and C according to the currents of Fig. 5.21..... | 124 |
| Fig. 5.23.ii .- Reactive power flow interconnection between nodes 5 in B and 8 in C boundary line of area B and C according to the currents of Fig. 5.21..... | 124 |
| Fig. 5.24.i .- Real part of the injected current at the second boundary node following a disturbance on node 15 in 220 kV of area C..... | 125 |
| Fig. 5.24.ii .- Imaginary part of the injected current at the second boundary node following a disturbance on node 15 in 220 kV of area C | 125 |
| Fig. 5.25.i .- Active power flow interconnection between area C and B following a fault on node 5 in 110 kV of C by changed operation point..... | 126 |
| Fig. 5.25.ii .- Reactive power flow interconnection between area C and B following a fault on node 5 in 110 kV of C by changed operation point..... | 126 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Fig. 5.26.i, ii.- Power flow interconnection between area A and C following a sequence of nontrained faults on C by changed operation point and network topology | 127 |
| Fig. 5.27.- Evaluation of the prediction capability of ANN considering different non-trained disturbances and non-trained operating conditions | 129 |
| Fig. 5.28.i .- Active power flow interconnection at the 8 th boundary node or between node 39 and 40 following a fault on the node 20 within the internal area | 131 |
| Fig. 5.28.ii .- Reactive power flow interconnection at the 8 th boundary node or between node 39 and 40 following a fault on the node 20 within the internal area..... | 131 |
| Fig. 5.29.i .- Real part of the injected current at the 8th boundary node following a disturbance on the node 20 in internal area under non-trained operating point of case 4 in table 5.2 | 132 |
| Fig. 5.29.ii .- Imaginary part of the injected current at the 8th boundary node following a disturbance on the node 20 in internal area under non-trained operating point of case 4 in table 5.2 | 132 |
| Fig. 5.30.- Evaluation of the standardized prediction error \bar{E}_s of recurrent ANN considering different disturbance duration ($t_{min}=100$ ms, $t_{max}=150$ ms) and two sequential disturbances ($t_1=100$ ms after 1s, $t_2=120$ ms after 2s) | 133 |
| Fig. 5.31.- \bar{E}_s evaluation of the robustness of the recurrent ANN depending on the cases of table 5.2 and in the 12 multi-machine system with different boundary nodes | 135 |
| Fig. A.1.- Ward static equivalent by eliminating {L} load and {G} generators nodes. | 156 |
| Fig. A.2.- Reduction of the Ward-PV equivalent with n number of equivalent generator nodes..... | 157 |
| Fig.A.4.- Recognition of coherent generators based on generators electromechanical eigenvector | 161 |
| Fig. A.3.- Frequency response for input m..... | 162 |
| Fig. A.5.- Input Signals u, Output Signals y, and Disturbances e | 165 |
| Fig. B.1.- Flow chart of the concept of K-means for a set of clusters | 169 |
| Fig. B.2.- Flow chart of the concept of fuzzy c-means clustering..... | 174 |
| Fig. B.3.- Schematic representation of SOFM | 175 |
| Fig. B.4.- Schematic representation of the clustering quality | 176 |
| Fig. C.1.- Interconnections among subsystems | 182 |
| Fig. E.1.- 12-machine system with three boundary nodes | 193 |
| Fig. E.2.- 12-machine system with four boundary nodes | 193 |
| Fig. E.3.- 12 multi-machine system with five boundary nodes | 194 |
| Fig. E.4.- 12 multi-machine system with six boundary nodes | 194 |
| Fig. E.5.- 12 multi-machine system with eight boundary nodes..... | 195 |
| Fig. F.1.- As consequence of the fusion of traditional network operators : BAG, BEWAG, EnBW, PE, RWE, VEAG and VEW the number of the current operators has been considerably reduced. | 200 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 3.1.- Disturbance specifications for the 16 multi-machine system..... | 40 |
| Table 3.2.- Subsystems in the European Interconnected Power System UCTE/CENTREL..... | 47 |
| Table 3.3.- Disturbance specifications for the European power system UCTE/CENTREL (the boundary nodes correspond to NL=Netherlands, CH=Switzerland, OEVG=Austria)..... | 49 |
| Table 5.1.- Studied cases in the 16 multi-machine system..... | 120 |
| Table 5.2.- Scenarios to power-flow changes considering Fig.5.19 | 130 |
| Table 6.1.- Comparison of the proposed approaches to applicability considering power system relevant aspects..... | 143 |
| Table B.1.- Computation of distances [101]..... | 168 |
| Table D.1.- Data sets of investigated multi-machine systems | 183 |
| Table D.2.- Generator data set of 16 multi-machine system | 183 |
| Table D.3.- Transmission line data set of 16- machine system | 184 |
| Table D.4.- Comparison of aggregation algorithms considering the classical inertial aggregation and the proposed splitting-based aggregation by the mean value of J of the internal machines for a fault located at the internal node with different number of external equivalents..... | 185 |
| Table D.5.- Evaluation of the prediction capability of ANN considering different operating conditions and points calculating $\overline{E}_s(j)$ | 185 |
| Table D.6.- Sum squared distance error and average error of the predicted boundary behavior following disturbances at all non-trained nodes of internal area A considering different operating points | 186 |
| Table D.7.- Sum squared distance error and average error of the predicted boundary behaviour following disturbances at all non-trained nodes of internal area B considering different operating points | 186 |
| Table D.8.- Sum squared distance error and average error of the predicted boundary behaviour following disturbances at all non-trained nodes of internal area C considering different operating points | 187 |
| Table E.1.- Generator data set of 12-machine system | 188 |
| Table E.2.- Transmission line data set of the 12-machine system | 188 |
| Table E.3.- Evaluation of the standardized prediction error of recurrent ANN considering different disturbance duration ($t_{min}=100$ ms, $t_{max}=150$ ms) and two sequential disturbances ($t_1=100$ ms after 1s, $t_2=120$ ms after 2s)..... | 190 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table E.4.- Evaluation of the robustness of the recurrent ANN depending on the cases explained in table 5.2 and networks based on the 12-multi-machine system with different boundary nodes | 190 |
| Table E.5.- Scenarios to power-flow changes and losses considering 12-machine system with 3 boundary nodes after Fig.B.1 | 190 |
| Table E.6.- Scenarios to power-flow changes and losses considering 12-machine system with 4 boundary nodes after Fig.B.2 | 191 |
| Table E.7.- Scenarios to power-flow changes and losses considering 12-machine system with 6 boundary nodes after Fig.E.4 | 191 |
| Table E.8.- Scenarios to power-flow changes and losses considering 12-machine system with 7 boundary nodes after Fig. E.5 | 192 |
| Table E.9.- Scenarios to power-flow changes and losses considering 12-machine system with 8 boundary nodes after Fig. 5.18 | 192 |
| Table F.1.- Part of the generator data set of the European Interconnected Power System [142] ... | 196 |
| Table F.2.- Part of the transmission line data set of the European Interconnected Power System. | 198 |
| Table F.3.- Comparison of identity recognition algorithms considering electromechanical weighted distances by the mean value of J of the 67 German intern machines for a fault located at the boundary node VEGROUSB(VEW) with different number of external equivalents. | 200 |