Table of contents

Preface

CHAI	PTER 1				
Key 6	concept	ts, framework, and clarifications	3		
1.	Definition of key concepts 1				
	1.1	Definitions of "implicit" 3			
	1.2	Automaticity 5			
	1.3	Proficiency, accuracy, fluency, and other measures 6			
2.	Clarifications about the framework 9				
	2.1	Serendipity or the birth of the application of the			
		declarative/procedural distinction to language			
		representation and processing 9			
	2.2	Declarative/procedural models 12			
	2.3	Why vocabulary and lexicon differ 16			
	2.4	Degree of availability of procedural memory 22			
	2.5	There is no continuum from automatic to controlled processing	26		
	2.6	The content of metalinguistic knowledge and implicit competence	28		
	2.7	Interference, variability, and other indicators of explicitness 30			
	2.8	Macro-anatomical and micro-anatomical levels			
		of representation 32			
3.	Conclu	usion 34			
СНАЕ	TER 2				
Cons	ciousn	ess in L2 appropriation	37		
1.	Only s	pecific types of representations can become			
	conscious – others cannot 41				
	1.1	Only a subset of explicit representations is active			
		at any given time 45			
	1.2	The threshold of consciousness 45			
	1.3	Consciousness of input and output but not of implicit			
		processes in between 47			
	1.4	Consciousness and working memory 49			

IX

2.	Perception, attention and noticing 50				
	2.1 Attention in second language acquisition and learning 51				
3.	Explicit input is not implicit intake 53				
	3.1 The double implicitness of intake 56				
4.	Neurobiological and neurochemical bases of consciousness 58				
5.	Conclusion 59				
CHAE	PTER 3				
	disintegration of the explicit/implicit interface debate				
	nterface newspeak?)	61			
1.	The meaning of interface 61	-			
	1.1 The premises: Learning and acquisition are distinct;				
	explicit knowledge is not transformed into				
	implicit competence 64				
2.	The so-called "dynamic interface" is no interface 65				
	2.1 No interface but switching from one to the other 68				
3.	Consciousness cannot possibly be the interface 71				
4.	An indirect influence is not an interface 76				
5.	None of the proposed characterizations are				
	compatible with an interface 79				
6.	Illusory and untenable would-be evidence 82				
	6.1 From seeds to trees 83				
	6.2 Tuning 84				
	6.3 Proceduralization 85				
	6.4 Ambiguities 87				
	6.5 Inapplicable analogies and metaphors 88				
7.	Description of explicit phenomena contributing				
	to metalinguistic knowledge 93				
8.	Why adults should need explicit metalinguistic knowledge 96				
9.	Indirect influence of metalinguistic knowledge				
	on acquisition not denied 97				
10.	How explicit knowledge benefits implicit acquisition – indirectly 99				
11.	The contexts of learning and acquisition 101				
12.	Conclusion 103				
СНАР	PTER 4				
Ultin	nate attainment in L2 proficiency	109			

Ultimate attainment in L1 and L2 110

The optimal period 113

1.

2.

3.	Optin	nal window of opportunity 114			
4.	The optimal period is restricted to implicit linguistic competence 117				
	4.1	Inter-individual variability in attainment 118			
	4.2	The impact of working memory and level of education 120			
	4.3	The success in semantics relative to syntax and phonology 121			
	4.4	The decline in L2 performance with increasing age 122			
	4.5	The ease of appropriation and use of L1 vs. L2 123			
	4.6	You don't learn L2 the way you acquired L1, do you? How come?	124		
5.	Optin	Optimal period and the right hemisphere 126			
6.	Evide	Evidence adduced against a critical period 128			
7.	Factors invoked in lieu of a neurobiological critical period to account				
	for po	or performance in L2 are actually the consequences of an			
	optimal period 129				
	7.1	Effects due to age are a consequence of brain processes 130			
	7.2	Native language entrenchment 133			
8.	Concl	usion 134			
	PTER 5				
		ve relevance of the distinction between implicit			
com		and explicit knowledge	137		
1.	Implications of the declarative/procedural distinction				
	for laterality studies 137				
2.	-	cations of the declarative/procedural distinction			
	for im	aging studies 140			
	2.1	Words of caution about the interpretation of			
		neuroimaging studies 141			
	2.2	Consequences of not distinguishing word			
		studies from sentence studies 143			
	2.3	The nature of the additional cortical resources			
		reported to be recruited for L2 151			
3⋅	Proce	dural and declarative language switching and mixing 155			
	3.1	Types of switches and consequences 155			
	3.2	Switching data from neuroimaging studies 157			
	3.3	Switching data from clinical studies 160			
	3.4	Conscious and automatic control mechanisms			
		in language switching 163			
4.	Data f	from clinical studies 169			
	4.1	Data from bilingual neuropsychiatric disorders 169			
	4.2	Data from bilingual aphasia 171			
	4.3	Data from other cerebral accidents/conditions 174			

	7.2 L2 accent changes faster than L1 accent when speaker relocate to an area where a different variety is spoken			S		
	7.3	Additional evidence for L1 implicit procedural				
		memory and L2 explicit declarative memory 184				
8.	8. Conclusion 184					
Sumi		187				
References						
Subject index						

The declarative/procedural distinction and the subsystems hypothesis 177

Variability in appropriation in L2 vs. systematicity in L1

Declarative and procedural translation strategies 180 Further indications of declarative/procedural relevance 182

5. 6.

7.

7.1