

# Contents

Preface .....	v
Part I. Ethnographic Fieldwork and Beneficial Reciprocity .....	1
1. Harms, Benefits, Wrongs, and Rights in Fieldwork .....	7
<i>Joan Cassell</i>	
Comparing Experimental Research with Fieldwork .....	8
Relations between Investigators and Investigated .....	10
Relations between Fieldworkers and Informants .....	14
Applying Kantian Ethics to Fieldwork .....	17
Analyzing Wrongs .....	20
Conclusions .....	27
2. Research Reciprocity Rather than Informed Consent in Fieldwork .....	33
<i>Murray L. Wax</i>	
The Context of the Moral Challenge .....	34
Openness, Deception, and Consent in Fieldwork .....	36
The "Consent Process" in the Community Study .....	41
The Relativity of "Informed" .....	43
Research Reciprocities .....	44
3. The Threat of the Stranger: Vulnerability, Reciprocity, and Fieldwork .....	49
<i>Myron Glazer</i>	
The Pain of Words and the Right of Refusal .....	50
The Fear of Retaliation and the Problem of Fidelity .....	55
The Plight of Survivors and the Promise of Advocacy .....	58
The Face of Death and the Call of Compassion .....	62
A Word of Summary and Acknowledgment .....	67

<b>4. Risks in the Publication of Fieldwork .....</b>	<b>71</b>
<i>Carole Gaar Johnson</i>	
Lessons in the Ethics of Publishing Fieldwork Exemplified by the Studies of Springdale and Plainville .....	72
Scientific Responsibility .....	84
Consent to Risk Violation of Confidentiality .....	85
Publication of Secrets .....	86
Guidelines for "Ethical Proofreading" of Fieldwork Manuscripts ...	87
Directions for Future Research on the Ethics of Publishing Fieldwork	88
<b>Part II. The Roles of Social Scientists in Research Regulation and in Giving Social Science to Society via the Mass Media .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>5. A Proposed System of Regulation for the Protection of Participants in Low-Risk Areas of Applied Social Research .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<i>Donald T. Campbell and Joe Shelby Cecil</i>	
Proposed Regulations .....	101
Critique of Campbell and Cecil's Proposal: Subjects Need More Protection .....	122
<i>Ernest R. House</i>	
Critique of Campbell and Cecil's Proposal: Don't Throw Out the Baby with the Bathwater .....	125
<i>Norman M. Bradburn</i>	
<b>6. Regulation and Education: The Role of the Institutional Review Board in Social Science Research .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<i>Elizabeth Decker Tanke and Tony J. Tanke</i>	
The IRB and the Review Process: Examining the Research Proposal .....	132
Communication between the Board and the Investigator .....	141
Conclusion .....	147
<b>7. Social Science in the Mass Media: Images and Evidence .....</b>	<b>151</b>
<i>S. Holly Stocking and Sharon L. Dunwoody</i>	
Research on the Process .....	154
Research Needs .....	158
Some Implications and Practical Suggestions .....	162
<b>Author Index .....</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Subject Index .....</b>	<b>179</b>