

CONTENTS

PREFACE	v
THE MAIN COORDINATORS OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES (PART II)	xviii
LIST OF TABLES (PART III)	xxi
LIST OF TABLES IN THE APPENDIX (PART III)	xxv
LIST OF FIGURES (PART II)	xxvii
LIST OF FIGURES (PART III)	xxvii

PART I

INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY

by Johannes Eisenbach	1
1 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY	3
1.1 The Extension Service as Part of the Fruit Trade System	4
1.2 System Analysis and the Concept of Marketing Efficiency	6
1.3 System Analysis with the Concept of Indicators Measuring the Competitive Potential, Position and Perspectives (Triple P-Indicators)	9
1.4 Extension Services' Role in Evaluating the Indicators Measuring Competitive Potential	11
2 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	17
3 BIBLIOGRAPHY	21

PART II

EXTENSION ASPECTS OF THE PRODUCTION AND HANDLING OF KIWIS, PEACHES, AND GRAPES IN GREECE

by Stavros Androulidakis, George Siardos, and Panagiotis Kavallaris 23

1	INTRODUCTION	25
1.1	Problem Identification	25
1.2	Relevant Bibliography	26
1.3	Research Questions	27
2	GREEK AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION SERVICE	29
2.1	History and Organisation	29
2.2	Extension Activities	33
2.2.1	Extension activities all over Greece	33
2.2.2	Extension activities in the areas studied	
2.3	Extension as an Element for Agricultural Development	37
2.4	Other Sources, besides Extension, for Agricultural Information	38
3	PRODUCTION AND QUALITY ISSUES OF KIWI, PEACHES, AND GRAPES	41
3.1	Kiwis	41
3.1.1	Macroeconomic characteristics	41
3.1.2	Standardisation - Packaging	44
3.2	Peaches	45
3.2.1	Macroeconomic characteristics	46
3.2.2	Standardisation - Packaging	49
3.3	Grapes	51
3.3.1	Macroeconomic characteristics	51
3.3.2	Standardisation - Packaging	53
4	PROJECT AREAS	57
4.1	Locale	57
4.2	Description of the Areas Studied	57
4.2.1	Administration	57
4.2.2	Population of the areas	59

4.2.3	Crops grown in the areas	59
4.2.4	Communication-transportation	59
5	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	63
5.1	Target Populations	63
5.2	Methodology Used	64
5.2.1	Sampling techniques and samples sizes	64
5.2.2	Questionnaires design	66
5.2.3	Data gathering	67
5.2.4	Data analysis	68
6	FIELD WORK RESULTS	71
6.1	Extension Personnel	71
6.1.1	Extension agents' personal characteristics	71
6.1.2	Organisation and planning of the extension work	74
6.1.3	Communication with farmers	79
6.2	Farmers Growing the Three Crops under Consideration	82
6.2.1	Personal and farm characteristics	82
6.2.2	Farmers' problems	85
6.2.3	Farmer's opinions on information and help received from Extension Service personnel	91
6.2.4	Information sources and communication methods	97
6.2.5	Observations regarding the correct utilisation of farm practices	105
6.2.6	Degree of farmers' conformity to applying some extension recommendations	117
6.3	Traders	117
6.3.1	General observations	118
6.3.2	Factors causing difficulties in exportation	119
7	CONCLUSIONS	121
7.1	Strategies for Reaching Quality Targets	121
7.1.1	Production	121
7.1.2	Post harvest handling of the produce	124
7.1.3	Organisation and trade infrastructure	130
7.1.4	Other strategies	131
7.2	Strategies for Extension Service Reorientation	134
7.3	Implications	145
7.3.1	The future role of Extension Service	145
7.3.2	Institutional and organisational strategies	154
7.3.3	Communication strategies	157
7.4	Selected Recommendations to Extension Agents for Improving the Produce Quality of the Crops under Consideration	163

7.4.1	Recommendations applying to all three crops under consideration	163
7.4.2	Recommendations applying to each particular crop under consideration	165
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	171

PART III

EXTENSION ASPECTS OF THE PRODUCTION AND HANDLING OF GRAPES, FIGS, AND TOMATOES IN TURKEY

by Erdogan Oktay and Tayfun Özkaya	177
--	-----

1	INTRODUCTION	179
1.1	Problem Identification	179
1.2	Relevant Bibliography	180
2	THE AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION SERVICE IN TURKEY	183
2.1	The History of Agricultural Extension Activities	183
2.1.1	Conventional extension approach	183
2.1.2	Training and Visit System (T & V)	183
2.1.3	Private and participatory approach of extension	187
2.2	Implementation of Extension in Turkey During the Last Decades	187
2.3	Delivery of the Extension Messages	188
3	GRAPES, FIGS, AND TOMATOES - PRODUCTION AND QUALITY ISSUES	191
3.1	Table Grapes	191
3.1.1	Table grape production	191
3.1.2	Exportation and organization of the table grape markets	191
3.1.3	Policies on table grape production	192
3.1.4	Marketing of table grapes	192
3.1.5	Standardization of table grapes	193
3.1.6	Quality problems of table grapes	193
3.2	Figs	194
3.2.1	Fig production	194
3.2.2	Exportation and organization of fig markets	194
3.2.3	Policies on fig production	195
3.2.4	Marketing of figs	195
3.2.5	The standardization of figs	196
3.2.6	Fig quality problems	196

3.3	Tomatoes	197
3.3.1	Greenhouse tomato production	197
3.3.2	Export and organization of greenhouse tomatoes	197
3.3.3	Policy and marketing of greenhouse tomatoes	198
3.3.4	Standardization of tomatoes	199
3.3.5	Quality problems of greenhouse tomatoes	199
3.4	Introduction of Quality Requirement	200
4	THE PROJECT AREAS	203
4.1	General Information	203
4.2	Total Acreage	203
4.3	Agro-Industry	204
4.4	Transportation	204
5	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	207
5.1	Gathering Data	207
5.2	Methods and the Analysis of Data	208
6	FIELDWORK RESULTS	209
6.1	Agricultural Extension	209
6.1.1	Personal information about extensionists	209
6.1.2	Job satisfaction and working conditions	212
6.1.3	Program planning of extension	213
6.1.4	Communication and contact	216
6.1.5	Extension activities towards marketing of agricultural products	218
6.1.6	Some assessments of extension activities by the farmers	219
6.2	The Results of Farmers Surveyed	219
6.2.1	General information related to farmers	220
6.2.2	Achievement criteria of extension	221
6.3	Table Grapes	224
6.3.1	Crop patterns of the farms	224
6.3.2	Production and marketing	224
6.3.3	The quality problems of grapes	226
6.3.4	Diffusion of innovations	227
6.3.4.1	Growing on T-pole system	227
6.3.4.2	Hormone implementation	228
6.3.4.3	Pruning and thinning	229
6.3.4.4	Pesticides usage	230
6.3.4.5	Soil analysis and fertilization	231
6.3.4.6	Irrigation	233

6.3.5	Main extension methods and tools for grape producers	234
6.3.6	Demands of growers for improving the quality of grapes	235
6.4	Figs	236
6.4.1	Crop patterns of the farms	236
6.4.2	Production and marketing	237
6.4.3	Quality problems of figs	238
6.4.4	Diffusion of innovations	240
6.4.4.1	Shape pruning	240
6.4.4.2	Ploughing and weed control	241
6.4.4.3	Soil analysis and fertilizing	242
6.4.4.4	Irrigation and pesticide usage	243
6.4.4.5	Mixed orchards and cleaning	245
6.4.4.6	Harvest and drying	246
6.4.5	Main extension methods and tools for fig producers	248
6.5	Table Tomatoes	250
6.5.1	The crop pattern in the greenhouse enterprises and the greenhouse characteristics	250
6.5.2	The production and marketing	251
6.5.3	Quality problems of tomatoes	252
6.5.4	Diffusion of innovations	254
6.5.4.1	Varieties	254
6.5.4.2	Hormone application	255
6.5.4.3	Pesticides usage	256
6.5.4.4	Soil analysis and fertilization	257
6.5.4.5	Irrigation	259
6.5.5	Main extension methods and tools for tomato producers	260
6.5.6	Demand of growers for the tomato improving quality	263
6.6	Opinions of the Traders and Exporters	266
6.6.1	Opinions of the table grape exporters	266
6.6.2	Opinions of the fig exporters	268
6.6.3	Opinions of the tomatoe exporters	269
7	CONCLUSIONS	271
7.1	Quality Oriented Policies	271
7.2	Macro Level Policies of the Government	273
7.3	Some Suggestions for Agricultural Extension	275
7.4	Suggestions for Table Grapes	278
7.4.1	Measures to be taken during production	278
7.4.2	Measures to be applied for grape processing and marketing	279
7.5	Suggestions for Figs	280
7.5.1	Measures to be taken during production	280
7.5.2	Measures to be taken during fig processing and marketing	281
7.6	Suggestions for Tomatoes	282
7.6.1	Measures to be taken during the tomato production	282

7.6.2	Measures to be taken during processing and marketing	282
7.7	Selected Recommendations	283
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	287
	APPENDIX	291

PART IV

COMPARISON AND CONCLUSIONS

	by Johannes Eisenbach	305
--	-----------------------------	-----

1	COMAPRISON BETWEEN THE EXTENSION SERVICES OF GREECE AND TURKEY	307
1.1	Concerning the Comparison of the Results of the Greek and Turkish Part of the Study	307
1.2	<i>The Three Stages of Agricultural Development</i>	308
2	ANSWERS TO THE RESEARCH HYPOTHESES	311
2.1	Hypothesis I	311
2.2	Hypothesis II	311
2.3	Hypothesis III	312

LIST OF TABLES (PART II)

Table 2.1:	Extension Service Information Relevant to its Numbers all over Greece	32
Table 2.2:	Extension Workers' Activities	35
Table 2.3:	Extension Service Information Relevant to its Numbers at each Directorate of Agriculture of the Research Area	36
Table 3.1:	Kiwis: Area Cultivated in Greece	42
Table 3.2:	Kiwis: Production in Greece	42
Table 3.3:	Kiwis: Greek Exports	43
Table 3.4:	Peaches: Area Cultivated in Greece	46
Table 3.5:	Peaches: Production in Greece	47
Table 3.6:	Peaches: Greek Production by Category	48
Table 3.7:	Table Peaches: Greek Exports	49
Table 3.8:	Table Grapes: Area Cultivated	52
Table 3.9:	Table Grapes: Production in Greece	53
Table 3.10:	Table Grapes: Greek Exports	54
Table 4.1:	Crops Grown in Each Prefecture Studied (stremmata)	60
Table 5.1:	Prefectures and the Corresponding Number of Villages and Number of Farm Enterprises where Samples for Each Crop Came from	64
Table 5.2:	Communities and Number of Farmers Responded to the Questionnaires, per Prefecture and Farm Enterprise	65
Table 6.1:	General Information of Extension Personnel	72
Table 6.2:	Information Regarding Seminars Offered to the Extensionists	73
Table 6.3:	Information of Extension Personnel Regarding Their Work	75
Table 6.4:	Extension Agents Distribution (%) Based on the Degree of Satisfaction with Their Work while Advising Farmers to Improve the Quality of Production	76
Table 6.5:	Distribution (%) of Agriculturists Based on the Perceived Degree Factors Affect Their Advisory Work for Quality Improvement	77

Table 6.6:	Cooperation of the Extension Agents with Other Agencies besides Extension	78
Table 6.7:	Extension Service Agents' Distribution (%) Based on the Time They Spend to Give Information to Farmers	81
Table 6.8:	Preference of the Extension Service Agents of the Degree of Appropriateness of Educational Methods for the Farmers and the Frequency of Their Use	81
Table 6.9:	Producers' Distribution (%) Based on Crop Grown and Producers' Age. One-way Analysis of Variance	82
Table 6.10:	Farmers' Distribution (%) Based on Education and Crop Grown. χ^2 test	83
Table 6.11:	Farmers' Distribution Based on Crops and Years of Their Crop Experience. One-way Analysis of Variance	83
Table 6.12:	Farmers' Distribution (%) Based on the Cultivated Land. One-way Analysis of Variance of the Average Totally Cultivated Area	84
Table 6.13:	Crops Grown by the Farmers Sampled	85
Table 6.14:	Problems Perceived by Kiwi Growers	86
Table 6.15:	Problems Perceived by Peach Growers	87
Table 6.16:	Problems Perceived by Grape Growers	87
Table 6.17:	Comparison between the Problems Farmers Face in Growing Kiwis and Their Regions. χ^2 test.....	88
Table 6.18:	Comparison between the problems Farmers Face in Peaches and Their Regions. χ^2 test	89
Table 6.19:	Comparison between the Problems Farmers Face in Growing Grapes and their Regions. χ^2 test	89
Table 6.20:	Farmers' Distribution (%) Based on Their Preference in Discussing Their Problems in Growing a Studied Crop	90
Table 6.21:	Statistical Test of χ^2 between the Farmers' Region and with Whom They Discuss Problems They Face the Crop They Grow	91
Table 6.22:	Farmers' Distribution, per Crop, and Their Perception Regarding the Help Given to Them by the Extension People	92
Table 6.23:	Association between Kiwi Farmers' Perception of Help Offered to Them by the Extension for Quality Improvement, and the Problems these Farmers Face in Growing Kiwis. Mann-Whitney U test	94

Table 6.24:	Association between Peach Farmers' Perception of Help Offered to Them by the Extension for Quality Improvement, and the Problems these Farmers Face in Growing Peaches. Mann-Whitney U-test	95
Table 6.25:	Association between Farmers' Perception of Help Offered to Them by the Extension for Quality Improvement, and the Problems these Farmers Face in Growing Grapes. Mann-Whitney U test	95
Table 6.26:	Farmers' Distribution Based on Crop and on Their Responses Regarding the Motivation the Extension People Have	97
Table 6.27:	Kiwi-growers' Distribution (%) Based on the Sources They Used for Initial Information and for Convincing Themselves to Adopt Various Practices in Kiwi Growing (n = 155)	99
Table 6.28:	Peach-growers' Distribution (%) Based on the Sources They Used for Initial Information and for Convincing Themselves to adopt Various Practices in Peach Growing (n = 163)	100
Table 6.29:	Grape-growers' Distribution (%) Based on the Sources They Used for Initial Information and for Convincing Themselves to Adopt Various Practices in Grape Growing (n = 162)	101
Table 6.30:	Farmers' Distribution per Crop, Perceiving that They Had the Initial Information from Their Own and/or They Were Convinced by Themselves to Apply Certain Farm Practices	103
Table 6.31:	Farmers' Distribution (%) Based on Crop Grown and Perceived Help Gained from Each Extension Method Used by Extension and/or Private Individuals	104
Table 6.32:	Statistical Test of χ^2 and the Corresponded Adjusted Standardised Residuals Regarding Farmers' Perceived Help and the Communication Method Used	106
Table 6.33:	Kiwi Farmers' Distribution Based on the Application of Recommended Practices in Kiwi Growing and How Correct They Justify for not Applying Certain Practice	107
Table 6.34:	Kiwi Farmers' Distribution Based on How Correct Farmers Exercise Certain Practices in Kiwi Growing	108
Table 6.35:	Statistical Tests (t-Student) between Various Farmers' Characteristics and Their Action Regarding Certain Recommended Farm Practices in Kiwi Growing	109
Table 6.36:	Statistical Test of χ^2 between Locality of Farmers and Their Response in Exercising Various Recommended Practices in Kiwi Growing. Adjusted Standardised Residuals Identifying the Groups Contributing to the χ^2 Significance (Cases with p < 0,05)	110
Table 6.37:	Peach Farmers' Distribution Based on the Application of Recommended Practices in Peach Growing and How Correct They Justify for not Applying Certain Practices	111

Table 6.38:	Peach Farmers' Distribution Based on How Correct Farmers are Exercising Certain Practices in Peach Growing	112
Table 6.39:	Statistical Test of χ^2 between Locality of Farmers and Their Response in Exercising Various Recommended Practices in Peach Growing. Adjusted Standardised Residuals Identifying the Groups Contributing to the χ^2 Significance (only cases with $p < 0,05$)	113
Table 6.40:	Statistical Tests (t-Student) between Various Farmers' Characteristics and Their Action Regarding Certain Recommended Farm Practices in Peach Growing (only cases with $p < 0,05$)	114
Table 6.41:	Statistical Tests (t-Student) between Various Farmers' Characteristics and Their Action Regarding Certain Recommended Farm Practices in Peach Growing (Cases with $p < 0,05$) ...	114
Table 6.42:	Grape Farmers' Distribution on the Application of Various Recommended Practices in Grape Growing and How Correct They Justify for not Applying Certain Practices	115
Table 6.43:	Grape Farmers' Distribution Based on How Correct Farmers Are Exercising Certain Practices in Grape Growing	116
Table 6.44:	Farmers' Distribution Based on Their Answers on How Faithfully Apply the Extension Agents' Recommendations Regarding Plant Protection, and if not Faithfully Applied who They Seek Advice from	117

LIST OF TABLES (PART III)

Table 3.1:	The Production of Table Grapes in the Research Area (Tons)	191
Table 5.1:	Frequencies of the Sampled Extensionists, Producers and Villages According to Provinces	208
Table 6.1:	Average Data Mean of Extensionists Several Characteristics	209
Table 6.2:	General Information of Extension Personnel	210
Table 6.3:	Information Regarding Seminars Offered to the Extensionists	211
Table 6.4:	To What Extent Do They Feel that the Difficulties They Encounter in Doing Their Job in Improving the Quality of the Products is Due to: (The Percentage Distribution of Answers)	212
Table 6.5:	The Distribution Time Table of Extensionists (in %)	214
Table 6.6:	Farmers' Distribution Based on Adoption of Various Practices and Successful Degree of Extensionists	215

Table 6.7:	How Should Extension Service be Organized (Answers in %)	215
Table 6.8:	Techniques in Order to Give Advice on Quality Improvement (Degree of Preference by the Extensionists in %)	216
Table 6.9:	The Reasons Why Extensionists Prefer Face to Face or Group Communication (Distribution of Extensionists in %)	216
Table 6.10:	Preference of the Extension Service Agents of the Degree of Appropriateness of Educational Methods for the Farmers and the Frequency of Their Use	217
Table 6.11:	How Much of Their Time Do They Devote to the Following Activities in Order to Give Information to the Farmers for Overcoming Problems in Quality of the Crops Studied (%)	218
Table 6.12:	Demographic and Other Information about Farmers	220
Table 6.13:	Farmers' Distribution (%), per Crop, Their Perception Regarding the Help Given to Them by Extensionists	222
Table 6.14:	The Marketing of Table Grapes and the Prices Farmers Received ...	225
Table 6.15:	The Information From Whom They Heard First About the Grading of the Table Grapes and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice (%)	226
Table 6.16:	The Main Quality Problems of Table Grapes According to the Farmers (%)	227
Table 6.17:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the T-Pole System and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice (%)	228
Table 6.18:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About Hormones and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice (%)	229
Table 6.19:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About Thinning and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice	230
Table 6.20:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Application of Pesticides and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice (%)	231
Table 6.21:	The Amount of Farmers Who Applied Foliar Fertilization, Soil Application and Trace Element Application (%)	231

Table 6.22:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About Foliar Application and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt this Practice (%)	232
Table 6.23:	The Reasons of Not Adopting the Drip Irrigation (%)	233
Table 6.24:	Farmers Distribution Based on Perceived Help Gained from Each Extension method Used by Public, Private Extensionists or Both (%)	234
Table 6.25:	Farm Size and Acreage of the Main Crops that the Producers Grow	236
Table 6.26:	The Marketing of Figs, According to Fruit Size and Number of Farmers	237
Table 6.27:	The Most Important Quality Problems of Figs (%)	238
Table 6.28:	Quality Problems by Studied provinces, t-Test	240
Table 6.29:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Shape Pruning and the Source Who Convinced Them Adopt this Practice (%)	241
Table 6.30:	The Reasons of Farmers not to Adopt Shape Pruning (%)	241
Table 6.31:	The Frequencies of Farmers Who Applied Pesticides Systematically for <i>Ceraplastes rusci</i> and <i>Tetranychus spp.</i> (%)	243
Table 6.32:	The Information from "Whom They Heard First About Spraying and Who Convinced Them to Adopt These Practices" (%)	244
Table 6.33:	The Reasons Why Farmers Do Not Adopt Pest Management by Spraying (%)	244
Table 6.34:	The Reasons for the Maintenance of Mixed Orchards According to the Farmers (%)	245
Table 6.35:	The Reasons of Farmers Not to Pick Up Fallen Breba Crop and Used Caprifig Fruits after Pollination (%)	246
Table 6.36:	The Reasons of Farmers Not to Use Pallets for Drying Figs (%)	247
Table 6.37:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Usage of Pallets for Drying and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt these Practices (%)	247
Table 6.38:	The Main Sources of Information that were Offered to Farmers to Help Them to Improve the Quality of Their Figs (%)	248
Table 6.39:	What Else Be Done to Improve the Quality of Figs in the Research Area, According to the Farmers	249

Table 6.40:	The Farm Size and the Acreage of the Main Crops of Tomato Producers	250
Table 6.41:	The Acreage of Tomato Producers, Types of Growing and Yields ...	251
Table 6.42:	The Percentage of Total Sales, Prices per kg, Exporters and Local Market	252
Table 6.43:	Important Quality Problems of Tomatoes (%)	253
Table 6.44:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the New Varieties and also Who Convinced Them to Adopt to Use These Varieties (%)	254
Table 6.45:	The Reasons of the Farmers' Choices for New Varieties (%)	255
Table 6.46:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Application of Hormones and the Source Who Convinced Them to Adopt Them (%)	256
Table 6.47:	The Reasons of Farmers Not to Adopt Soil Analysis (%)	258
Table 6.48:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Soil Analysis and Who Convinced Them to Adopt These Practices (%) ..	259
Table 6.49:	Irrigation Methods Used by the Farmers (%)	259
Table 6.50:	The Information from Whom They Heard First About Drip Irrigation and Who Convinced Them to Adopt These Practices (%) .	260
Table 6.51:	The Main Sources of Information that Were Offered to Farmers to Help Them to Improve the Quality of Their Tomatoes (%)	261
Table 6.52:	With Whom Do They Discuss the Quality Problems They Faced with Tomatoes (%)	263
Table 6.53:	What Else Can be Done to Improve the Quality of Tomatoes in the Research Area, According to the Farmers	263
Table 6.54:	Whether the Extension Officers Help the Producers Improve the Product Quality of Tomatoes in the Provinces (Kruskall-Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney Test)	264
Table 6.55:	The Analysis Between "Do Extensionists Have the Proper Information" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Tomato Producers" by Using One-Way	265
Table 6.56:	Do the Extension Officers Devote Enough Time to Discuss Quality Problems with Tomato Producers Among the Provinces (Mann-Whitney-Test)	266
Table 6.57:	The Analysis Between "Do You Keep Records" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Tomato Producers" by Using One-Way	266

LIST OF TABLES IN THE APPENDIX (PART III)

Table A 6.1:	Personal Information of Extensionist and the Relationships Between Officers and Technicians	291
Table A 6.2	Information of Extension Personnel Regarding Their Work	291
Table A 6.3	The Difficulties of Extension Officers Faced in Doing Their Job in Improving the Quality of the Products and the Degrees, Reasons of Them Among the Provinces (Mann-Whitney Test)	292
Table A 6.4	The Analysis if the Extension Officers Devote Enough Time to Discuss Quality Problems Among the Provinces	292
Table A 6.5	Concerning the Needs of the Farmers and the Background of Extensionists, t-Test Was Done, According to the Provinces	292
Table A 6.6	How Much Did the Extension Officer Help You to Improve the Product Quality of the Three Crops	293
Table A 6.7	The Average of Age, Education, Acreage, Own Land, Rented Land of Studied Crop Producers	293
Table A 6.8	Statistical Test of χ^2 Regarding Farmer' Perceived Help and the Communication Method Used	294
Table A 6.9	The Farm Size and the Acreage of the Main Crops Concerning Table Grape Farmers	294
Table A 6.10	One-Way Comparison of the Characteristics of Farmers and Their Answers to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by the Extensionists to Improve Quality of Colour Problem	295
Table A 6.11	One-Way Comparison of the Characteristics of Farmers and Their Answer to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by Extensionists to Improve Quality of Residues of Pesticide	295
Table A 6.12	One-way Comparison of the Characteristics of Farmers and Their Answers to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by Extensionists to Improve the Quality of Harvesting	296
Table A 6.13	One-way Comparison of the Characteristics of Farmers and Their Answers to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by the Extensionists to Improve Quality of Post Harvesting	296
Table A 6.14	The Extension Officers Devote Enough Time to Discuss Quality Problems with the Grape Producers Among the Provinces (Mann-Whitney)	297
Table A 6.15	T-Test Between "From Whom You Heard for the First Time About the Application of Hormones" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Grape Producers"	297

Table A 6.16	T-Test Between "From Whom You Heard for the First Time About Pesticides" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Grape Producers"	297
Table A 6.17	T-Test Between "Who Has Convinced You to Apply Pesticides" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Grape Producers"	298
Table A 6.18	The Information from Whom They Heard First About the Drip Irrigation (%)	298
Table A 6.19	The Analysis of the "Performance of Extension" Among "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Grape Producers" by Using One-way	298
Table A 6.20	The Analysis of the "Performance of Extension" Among "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Grape Producers" by Using One-way	299
Table A 6.21	One-way Comparison of the Characteristics of Farmers and Their Answer to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by Extension to Improve Quality while Selling and Storing	299
Table A 6.22	One-way Analysis of Variance, the Higher Number of Figs per Kg with some Farmers' Characteristics	299
Table A 6.23	One-way Analysis of Variance, the Hardness of Figs with some Farmers' Characteristics	300
Table A 6.24	One-way Analysis of Variance, the Bird Damages in Figs with some Farmers' Characteristics	300
Table A 6.25	One-way Analysis of Variance, the Mould Infected Figs with some Farmers' Characteristics	300
Table A 6.26	One-way Analysis of Variance, the Processing Post Harvesting with some Farmers' Characteristics	301
Table A 6.27	The Extension Officers Devote Enough Time to Discuss Quality Problems with Fig Producers	301
Table A 6.28	Kruskall-Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney Test, the Answer to the Question "How Much Do the Extensionists Help to Improve the Product Quality of Figs in Different Provinces?"	301
Table A 6.29	T-Test Between the Answer to the Question "How Much Did the Extension Officers Help You to Improve the Quality of Figs" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Producers"	302
Table A 6.30	One-way Comparison of the Characteristic of Farmers and Their Answer to the Question of Whether They Were Helped by Extension to Improve Quality of Their Products	302

Table A 6.31	The Quantities of Hormone Application and the Number of Practices	302
Table A 6.32	Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney Test About the Question Whether there are Differences Between the Provinces in the Extension Officers' Help to Improve the Product Quality of Tomatoes	303
Table A 6.33	The Analysis Between "Do Extensionists Have the Proper Information" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Tomato Producers" by Using One-way	303
Table A 6.34	Do the Extension Officers Devote Enough Time to Discuss Quality Problems with Tomato Producers Among the Provinces (Mann-Whitney Test)	303
Table A 6.35	The Analysis Between "Do You Keep Records" and "The Age, Education, Total Acreage, Experience of Tomato Producers" by Using One-way	304

LIST OF FIGURES (PART II)

Figure 2.1:	Organisational Scheme of Agricultural Extension of the Ministry of Agriculture, Greece	31
Figure 4.1:	Greece: Geographical Area of the Study	58

LIST OF FIGURES (PART III)

Figure 2.1:	The Organization of the Ministry of Agriculture	184
Figure 2.2:	Province Directory of Ministry of Agriculture	185
Figure 2.3:	The Organization of T & V	186
Figure 4.1:	The Provinces of Investigation	205