

Table of Content

| | |
|---|----|
| Acknowledgements | IX |
| Abbreviations | XI |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 The Problem | 1 |
| 1.2 Grammarians | 4 |
| 1.3 <i>Tun</i> in Standard German | 6 |
| 1.4 Thesis | 8 |
| 1.5 Method | 9 |
| 2. Part I: The Distribution of Auxiliary <i>Tun</i> | 12 |
| 2.0 Auxiliary <i>Tun</i> in Other Languages | 12 |
| 2.1 The Origin of <i>Tun</i> | 12 |
| 2.2 <i>Tun</i> in Frisian | 14 |
| 2.3 <i>Tun</i> in Dutch | 14 |
| 2.3.1 <i>Tun</i> in Earlier Stages of Dutch | 14 |
| 2.3.2 <i>Tun</i> in Modern Dutch | 18 |
| 2.4 <i>Tun</i> in English | 22 |
| 2.4.1 <i>Tun</i> in Earlier Stages of English | 23 |
| 2.4.2 <i>Tun</i> in Contemporary English | 28 |
| 2.5 <i>Tun</i> in Low German | 30 |
| 2.5.1 <i>Tun</i> in Earlier Stages of Low German | 31 |
| 2.5.2 <i>Tun</i> in Modern Low German | 34 |
| 2.6 <i>Tun</i> in Older Stages of German | 37 |
| 2.6.1 Lexical <i>Tun</i> | 37 |
| 2.6.2 Causative <i>Tun</i> | 38 |
| 2.6.3 Periphrastic <i>Tun</i> | 41 |
| 2.7 <i>Tun</i> in Early New High German | 44 |
| 2.7.1 Causative <i>Tun</i> | 45 |
| 2.7.2 Regional Variation | 46 |
| 2.7.3 Text Type Specific Variation | 46 |
| 2.7.4 Functional Variation | 47 |
| 2.8 <i>Tun</i> in Modern German Dialects | 50 |
| 2.8.1 Regional Variation | 50 |
| 2.8.2 Problems of Analysis | 51 |
| 2.8.3 Optionality and Polyfunctionality | 52 |
| 2.8.4 Major Functions of <i>Tun</i> | 54 |
| 2.9 <i>Tun</i> in ENHG – a New Analysis | 63 |
| 2.9.1 The Distribution of <i>Tun</i> | 63 |
| 2.9.2 On the Functions of <i>Tun</i> | 71 |
| 2.10 Conclusion of Part I | 97 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 3. Part II: The Stigmatization of Auxiliary <i>Tun</i> | 99 |
| 3.1 The Emergence of Standard German – Early Approaches and Current Thinking | 99 |
| 3.1.1 Early Approaches | 99 |
| 3.1.2 The Standard Language up to 1600 | 102 |
| 3.1.3 The Standard Language up to 1800 | 105 |
| 3.2 The Emergence of Standard German and the Influence of Grammarians | 107 |
| 3.2.1 The Sixteenth Century | 111 |
| 3.2.2 The Seventeenth Century | 114 |
| 3.2.3 The Eighteenth Century | 119 |
| 3.3 Polynegation and Double Perfect | 123 |
| 3.2.1 Polynegation | 124 |
| 3.2.2 Double Perfect | 131 |
| 3.4 Syntactic Stigmatization by Prescriptive Grammarians | 134 |
| 3.5 The Stigmatization of Polynegation and Double Perfect | 150 |
| 3.5.1 Introduction and Overview | 150 |
| 3.5.2 Polynegation | 160 |
| 3.5.3 Double Perfect | 172 |
| 3.6 Prescriptive Grammarians and the Stigmatization of Auxiliary <i>Tun</i> | 176 |
| 3.6.1 Stage 1 (until 1640) | 177 |
| 3.6.2 Stage 2 (until 1680) | 188 |
| 3.6.3 Stage 3 (1680-1740) | 195 |
| 3.6.4 Stage 4 (after 1740) | 203 |
| 3.7 Conclusion of Part II | 214 |
| 4. Conclusion | 219 |
| 5. Appendix: Data and Bibliographies | 224 |
| 5.1 Primary Data | 225 |
| 5.1.1 Corpus 1: Instances of <i>Tun</i> | 225 |
| 5.1.2 Corpus 2: Evidence from Modern Dialect Dictionaries | 263 |
| 5.2 Bibliographies | 276 |
| 5.2.1 Corpus 1: The Primary Sources of <i>Tun</i> in ENHG | 276 |
| 5.2.2 Corpus 2: The Primary Metalinguistic Sources | 289 |
| 5.2.3 Corpus 3: The Dialect Dictionaries | 299 |
| 5.2.4 Secondary Literature | 301 |