

# Contents

Thanks and Acknowledgements .....	9
1. Introduction .....	11
1.1 Background and Purpose of this Study .....	11
1.2 The Theoretical and Methodological Framework.....	13
1.3 Outline of Content .....	21
2. A Corpus of Reading Comprehension Tests.....	23
2.1 The DIALANG Sub-corpus.....	24
2.2 The TOEFL Sub-corpus.....	26
2.3 The IELTS Sub-corpus .....	30
2.4 The PET Sub-corpus .....	32
2.5 The FCE Sub-corpus.....	34
2.6 The CAE Sub-corpus .....	36
3. Quantitative Investigation into the Complexity of the Texts of the Corpus.....	41
3.1 The Type/Token Ratio .....	42
3.2 Measuring Lexical Density and Lexical Variation .....	48
3.2.1 Measures of Lexical Density .....	49
3.2.1.1 Lexical Items vs. Grammatical Words .....	53
3.2.1.2 Lexical Density (Ure's method) in the Corpus.....	58
3.2.2 A Measure of Lexical Variation.....	64
3.3 Measuring Vocabulary Difficulty .....	71
3.3.1 Range 32 and the Underlying Research .....	72
3.3.2 Using Range 32 and Base Word Lists for the Analysis of the Corpus.....	75
3.4 Measuring Grammatical Intricacy and Halliday's method of Measuring Lexical Density .....	87

3.4.1 Halliday's Method of Calculating Lexical Density (LDH), Grammatical Intricacy, the Grammar of the Clause Complex, and Embedding .....	88
3.4.1.1 The Clause Complex .....	89
3.4.1.2 Embedding of Clauses .....	94
3.4.2 Further Investigation of the Lexical Density of the Texts and an Investigation of their Grammatical Intricacy .....	97
3.5 Measuring Readability .....	103
3.5.1 Readability Formulae .....	103
3.5.2 Investigating the Readability of the Corpus .....	106
3.6 On the Relationship between the Variables .....	113
4. Text Complexity, Participant Identification and Cohesion in the Corpus .....	123
4.1 Participant Identification in English: from Discourse Semantics to Lexicogrammar .....	124
4.1.1 Participant Identification in English: a Review of some Relevant Contributions .....	124
4.1.2 Phoricity and the Tracking System Network .....	129
4.1.3 The Participant Identification System Network .....	140
4.2 Semantics, Lexicogrammar and the Textual Metafunction: a Systemic Functional Perspective.....	147
4.2.1 The Semantic and the Lexicogrammatical Strata in Systemic Functional Linguistics .....	148
4.2.2 The Textual Metafunction: Cohesion and Thematic Structure in English .....	150
4.2.2.1 Cohesion in English.....	151
4.2.2.2 Thematic Structure .....	153
4.3 Participant Identification and the English Nominal Group.....	157
4.4 The Role of Participant Identification and of Textual Cohesion in the Corpus .....	164
4.4.1 Test 12 .....	165
4.4.2 Tests 2, 25 and 11.....	170
4.4.2.1 Test 2 .....	171

4.4.2.2 Test 25 .....	174
4.4.2.3 Test 11 .....	181
4.4.3 Test 14 .....	184
4.4.4 Test 22 .....	189
4.4.5 Tests 15, 3 and 13 .....	193
4.4.5.1 Test 15 .....	194
4.4.5.2 Test 3 .....	202
4.4.5.3 Test 13 .....	203
4.4.6 Tests 18, 19, 23, and 21 .....	206
4.4.6.1 Tests 18 and 19 .....	206
4.4.6.2 Tests 23 and 24 .....	207
5. Assessing Test Difficulty: Data Analysis of Tests and Feedback Questionnaires .....	211
5.1 Setting the Scene for this Part of the Study .....	211
5.2 Reliability and Validity in Language Testing .....	213
5.3 Test and Questionnaire Administration and Data Analysis .....	216
5.4 Test Battery 1 (from Test 01 to Test 10) .....	220
5.5 Test Battery 2 (from Test 18 to Test 21) .....	240
5.6 Test Battery 3 (Tests 11, 12, 22, and 23) .....	251
5.7 Test Battery 4 (from Test 12 to Test 16) .....	260
5.7.1 From Test 13 to Test 16 .....	262
5.7.2 Test 12 and the Perception of the Difficulty of the Tests in Battery 4 .....	271
5.8 Test Battery 5 (Tests 17, 24 and 25) .....	278
5.9 Relationship between Test Takers' Performance, their Perception of Text/Task Difficulty and Results of the Quantitative Investigation .....	289
6. Conclusions .....	295
References .....	309
Appendix .....	321
Index .....	351