

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|----------|---|
| 5 | | Foreword |
| 9 | | Preface |
| 11 | | Preface to the first, German edition |
| 20 | 1 | The tasks and aims of a historical study of theory of structures |
| 21 | 1.1 | Internal scientific tasks |
| 25 | 1.2 | Practical engineering tasks |
| 26 | 1.3 | Didactic tasks |
| 27 | 1.4 | Cultural tasks |
| 28 | 1.5 | Aims |
| 28 | 1.6 | An invitation to a journey through the history of theory of structures |
| 30 | 2 | Learning from the history of structural analysis: 11 introductory essays |
| 31 | 2.1 | What is structural analysis? |
| 31 | 2.1.1 | Preparatory period (1575–1825) |
| 34 | 2.1.2 | Discipline-formation period (1825–1900) |
| 37 | 2.1.3 | Consolidation period (1900–1950) |
| 39 | 2.1.4 | Integration period (1950 to date) |
| 41 | 2.2 | From the lever to the truss |
| 42 | 2.2.1 | Lever principle according to Archimedes |
| 43 | 2.2.2 | The principle of virtual displacements |
| 43 | 2.2.3 | The general law of work |
| 44 | 2.2.4 | The principle of virtual forces |
| 44 | 2.2.5 | The parallelogram of forces |
| 45 | 2.2.6 | From Newton to Lagrange |
| 46 | 2.2.7 | Kinematic or geometric view of statics? |
| 46 | 2.2.8 | Stable or unstable, determinate or indeterminate? |
| 47 | 2.2.9 | Syntheses in statics |
| 50 | 2.3 | The development of higher engineering education |
| 51 | 2.3.1 | The specialist and military schools of the <i>ancien régime</i> |

| | | |
|-----|--------|---|
| 52 | 2.3.2 | Science and enlightenment |
| 52 | 2.3.3 | Science and education during the French Revolution (1789–1794) |
| 53 | 2.3.4 | Monge's teaching plan for the École Polytechnique |
| 55 | 2.3.5 | Austria, Germany and Russia in the wake of the École Polytechnique |
| 58 | 2.3.6 | The education of engineers in the United States |
| 63 | 2.4 | Insights into bridge-building and theory of structures in the 19th century |
| 64 | 2.4.1 | Suspension bridges |
| 70 | 2.4.2 | Timber bridges |
| 72 | 2.4.3 | Composite systems |
| 73 | 2.4.4 | The Göltzsch and Elster viaducts (1845–1851) |
| 76 | 2.4.5 | The Britannia Bridge (1846–1850) |
| 79 | 2.4.6 | The first Dirschau Bridge over the River Weichsel (1850–1857) |
| 80 | 2.4.7 | The Garabit Viaduct (1880–1884) |
| 84 | 2.4.8 | Bridge engineering theories |
| 92 | 2.5 | The industrialisation of steel bridge-building between 1850 and 1900 |
| 92 | 2.5.1 | Germany and Great Britain |
| 94 | 2.5.2 | France |
| 95 | 2.5.3 | United States of America |
| 99 | 2.6 | Influence lines |
| 101 | 2.6.1 | Railway trains and bridge-building |
| 102 | 2.6.2 | Evolution of the influence line concept |
| 103 | 2.7 | The beam on elastic supports |
| 104 | 2.7.1 | The Winkler bedding |
| 105 | 2.7.2 | The theory of the permanent way |
| 107 | 2.7.3 | From permanent way theory to the theory of the beam on elastic supports |
| 108 | 2.8 | Displacement method |
| 109 | 2.8.1 | Analysis of a triangular frame |
| 112 | 2.8.2 | Comparing the displacement method and trussed framework theory for frame-type systems |
| 113 | 2.9 | Second-order theory |
| 113 | 2.9.1 | Josef Melan's contribution |
| 114 | 2.9.2 | Suspension bridges become stiffer |
| 115 | 2.9.3 | Arch bridges become more flexible |
| 116 | 2.9.4 | The differential equation for laterally loaded struts and ties |
| 116 | 2.9.5 | The integration of second-order theory into the displacement method |
| 117 | 2.9.6 | Why do we need fictitious forces? |
| 121 | 2.10 | Ultimate load method |
| 121 | 2.10.1 | First approaches |
| 123 | 2.10.2 | Foundation of the ultimate load method |
| 127 | 2.10.3 | The paradox of the plastic hinge method |
| 130 | 2.10.4 | The acceptance of the ultimate load method |
| 136 | 2.11 | Structural law – Static law – Formation law |
| 136 | 2.11.1 | The five Platonic bodies |
| 137 | 2.11.2 | Beauty and law |

| | | |
|-----|----------|---|
| 142 | 3 | The first fundamental engineering science disciplines: theory of structures and applied mechanics |
| 143 | 3.1 | What is engineering science? |
| 144 | 3.1.1 | First approximation |
| 146 | 3.1.2 | Raising the status of engineering sciences through philosophical discourse |
| 153 | 3.1.3 | Engineering and engineering sciences |
| 157 | 3.2 | Revoking the encyclopaedic in the system of classical engineering sciences: five case studies from applied mechanics and theory of structures |
| 158 | 3.2.1 | On the topicality of the encyclopaedic |
| 161 | 3.2.2 | Franz Joseph Ritter von Gerstner's contribution to the mathematisation of construction theories |
| 166 | 3.2.3 | Weisbach's encyclopaedia of applied mechanics |
| 173 | 3.2.4 | Rankine's <i>Manuals</i> , or the harmony between theory and practice |
| 177 | 3.2.5 | Föppl's <i>Vorlesungen über technische Mechanik</i> |
| 180 | 3.2.6 | The <i>Handbuch der Ingenieurwissenschaften</i> as an encyclopaedia of classical civil engineering theory |
| 186 | 4 | From masonry arch to elastic arch |
| 189 | 4.1 | The geometrical thinking behind the theory of masonry arch bridges |
| 189 | 4.1.1 | The Ponte S. Trinità in Florence |
| 195 | 4.1.2 | Establishing the new thinking in bridge-building practice using the example of Nuremberg's Fleisch Bridge |
| 199 | 4.2 | From the wedge to the masonry arch – or: the addition theorem of wedge theory |
| 201 | 4.2.1 | Between mechanics and architecture: masonry arch theory at the Académie Royale d'Architecture de Paris (1687–1718) |
| 201 | 4.2.2 | La Hire and Bélidor |
| 203 | 4.2.3 | Epigones |
| 204 | 4.3 | From the analysis of masonry arch collapse mechanisms to voussoir rotation theory |
| 204 | 4.3.1 | Baldi |
| 206 | 4.3.2 | Fabri |
| 207 | 4.3.3 | La Hire |
| 208 | 4.3.4 | Couplet |
| 210 | 4.3.5 | Bridge-building – empiricism still reigns |
| 211 | 4.3.6 | Coulomb's voussoir rotation theory |
| 212 | 4.3.7 | Monasterio's <i>Nueva Teórica</i> |
| 213 | 4.4 | The line of thrust theory |
| 216 | 4.4.1 | Gerstner |
| 218 | 4.4.2 | The search for the true line of thrust |
| 219 | 4.5 | The breakthrough for elastic theory |
| 220 | 4.5.1 | The dualism of masonry arch and elastic arch theory under Navier |
| 221 | 4.5.2 | Two steps forwards, one back |
| 223 | 4.5.3 | From Poncelet to Winkler |
| 227 | 4.5.4 | A step back |

| | | |
|-----|----------|---|
| 227 | 4.5.5 | The masonry arch is nothing, the elastic arch is everything – the triumph of elastic arch theory over masonry arch theory |
| 232 | 4.6 | Ultimate load theory for masonry arches |
| 234 | 4.6.1 | Of cracks and the true line of thrust in the masonry arch |
| 235 | 4.6.2 | Masonry arch failures |
| 236 | 4.6.3 | The maximum load principles of the ultimate load theory for masonry arches |
| 236 | 4.6.4 | The safety of masonry arches |
| 238 | 4.6.5 | Analysis of a masonry arch railway bridge |
| 241 | 4.7 | The finite element method |
| 243 | 4.8 | On the epistemological status of masonry arch theories |
| 245 | 4.8.1 | Wedge theory |
| 245 | 4.8.2 | Collapse mechanism analysis and voussoir rotation theory |
| 246 | 4.8.3 | Line of thrust theory and elastic theory for masonry arches |
| 248 | 4.8.4 | Ultimate load theory for masonry arches as an object in the historical theory of structures |
| 248 | 4.8.5 | The finite element analysis of masonry arches |
| 250 | 5 | The beginnings of a theory of structures |
| 252 | 5.1 | What is the theory of strength of materials? |
| 255 | 5.2 | On the state of development of structural design and strength of materials in the Renaissance |
| 260 | 5.3 | Galileo's <i>Dialogue</i> |
| 261 | 5.3.1 | First day |
| 264 | 5.3.2 | Second day |
| 270 | 5.4 | Developments in the strength of materials up to 1750 |
| 277 | 5.5 | Civil engineering at the close of the 18th century |
| 279 | 5.5.1 | Franz Joseph Ritter von Gerstner |
| 283 | 5.5.2 | Introduction to structural engineering |
| 289 | 5.5.3 | Four comments on the significance of Gerstner's <i>Einleitung in die statische Baukunst</i> for theory of structures |
| 290 | 5.6 | The formation of a theory of structures: Eytelwein and Navier |
| 291 | 5.6.1 | Navier |
| 294 | 5.6.2 | Eytelwein |
| 296 | 5.6.3 | The analysis of the continuous beam according to Eytelwein and Navier |
| 306 | 6 | The discipline-formation period of theory of structures |
| 308 | 6.1 | Clapeyron's contribution to the formation of classical engineering sciences |
| 308 | 6.1.1 | <i>Les Polytechniciens</i> : the fascinating revolutionary élan in post-revolution France |
| 310 | 6.1.2 | Clapeyron and Lamé in St. Petersburg (1820–1831) |
| 313 | 6.1.3 | Clapeyron's formulation of the energy doctrine of classical engineering sciences |
| 314 | 6.1.4 | Bridge-building and the theorem of three moments |
| 317 | 6.2 | From graphical statics to graphical analysis |
| 318 | 6.2.1 | The founding of graphical statics by Culmann |

| | | |
|-----|----------|---|
| 320 | 6.2.2 | Rankine, Maxwell, Cremona and Bow |
| 322 | 6.2.3 | Differences between graphical statics and graphical analysis |
| 324 | 6.2.4 | The breakthrough for graphical analysis |
| 330 | 6.3 | The classical phase of theory of structures |
| 331 | 6.3.1 | Winkler's contribution |
| 340 | 6.3.2 | The beginnings of the force method |
| 350 | 6.3.3 | Loadbearing structure as kinematic machine |
| 358 | 6.4 | Theory of structures at the transition from the discipline-formation to the consolidation period |
| 358 | 6.4.1 | Castigliano |
| 362 | 6.4.2 | The foundation of classical theory of structures |
| 365 | 6.4.3 | The dispute about the fundamentals of classical theory of structures is resumed |
| 373 | 6.4.4 | The validity of Castigliano's theorems |
| 374 | 6.5 | Lord Rayleigh's <i>The Theory of Sound</i> and Kirpichev's foundation of classical theory of structures |
| 375 | 6.5.1 | Rayleigh coefficient and Ritz coefficient |
| 377 | 6.5.2 | Kirpichev's congenial adaptation |
| 379 | 6.6 | The Berlin school of structural theory |
| 380 | 6.6.1 | The notion of the scientific school |
| 381 | 6.6.2 | The completion of classical theory of structures by Heinrich Müller-Breslau |
| 383 | 6.6.3 | Classical theory of structures takes hold of engineering design |
| 387 | 6.6.4 | Müller-Breslau's students |
| 396 | 7 | From construction with iron to modern structural steelwork |
| 398 | 7.1 | Torsion theory in iron construction and theory of structures from 1850 to 1900 |
| 398 | 7.1.1 | Saint-Venant's torsion theory |
| 402 | 7.1.2 | The torsion problem in Weisbach's <i>Principles</i> |
| 405 | 7.1.3 | Bach's torsion tests |
| 408 | 7.1.4 | The adoption of torsion theory in classical theory of structures |
| 411 | 7.2 | Crane-building at the focus of mechanical and electrical engineering, structural steelwork and theory of structures |
| 412 | 7.2.1 | Rudolph Bredt – the familiar stranger |
| 412 | 7.2.2 | The Ludwig Stuckenholz company in Wetter a. d. Ruhr |
| 423 | 7.2.3 | Bredt's scientific-technical publications |
| 429 | 7.2.4 | The engineering industry adopts classical theory of structures |
| 433 | 7.3 | Torsion theory in the consolidation period of structural theory (1900–1950) |
| 433 | 7.3.1 | The introduction of an engineering science concept: the torsion constant |
| 435 | 7.3.2 | The discovery of the shear centre |
| 440 | 7.3.3 | Torsion theory in structural steelwork from 1925 to 1950 |
| 443 | 7.3.4 | Summary |
| 443 | 7.4 | Searching for the true buckling theory in steel construction |
| 443 | 7.4.1 | <i>The buckling tests of the DStV</i> |

| | | |
|-----|----------|--|
| 448 | 7.4.2 | German State Railways and the joint technical-scientific work in structural steelwork |
| 449 | 7.4.3 | Excursion: the Olympic Games for structural engineering |
| 451 | 7.4.4 | A paradigm change in buckling theory |
| 452 | 7.4.5 | The standardisation of the new buckling theory in the German stability standard DIN 4114 |
| 454 | 7.5 | Steelwork and steelwork science from 1950 to 1975 |
| 456 | 7.5.1 | From the truss to the plane frame: the orthotropic bridge deck |
| 463 | 7.5.2 | The rise of composite steel-concrete construction |
| 469 | 7.5.3 | Lightweight steel construction |
| 471 | 7.6 | Eccentric orbits – the disappearance of the centre |
| 474 | 8 | Member analysis conquers the third dimension: the spatial framework |
| 475 | 8.1 | Development of the theory of spatial frameworks |
| 476 | 8.1.1 | The original dome to the Reichstag (German parliament building) |
| 478 | 8.1.2 | Foundation of the theory of spatial frameworks by August Föppl |
| 481 | 8.1.3 | Integration of spatial framework theory into classic structural theory |
| 485 | 8.2 | Spatial frameworks in an era of technical reproducibility |
| 486 | 8.2.1 | Alexander Graham Bell |
| 487 | 8.2.2 | Vladimir Grigorievich Shukhov |
| 487 | 8.2.3 | Walther Bauersfeld and Franz Dischinger |
| 489 | 8.2.4 | Richard Buckminster Fuller |
| 490 | 8.2.5 | Max Mengerinhausen |
| 491 | 8.3 | Dialectic synthesis of individual structural composition and large-scale production |
| 491 | 8.3.1 | The MERO system and the composition law for spatial frameworks |
| 494 | 8.3.2 | Spatial frameworks and computers |
| 496 | 9 | Reinforced concrete's influence on theory of structures |
| 498 | 9.1 | The first design methods in reinforced concrete construction |
| 498 | 9.1.1 | The beginnings of reinforced concrete construction |
| 500 | 9.1.2 | From the German Monier patent to the <i>Monier-Broschüre</i> |
| 503 | 9.1.3 | The <i>Monier-Broschüre</i> |
| 511 | 9.2 | Reinforced concrete revolutionises the building industry |
| 512 | 9.2.1 | The fate of the Monier system |
| 514 | 9.2.2 | The end of the system period: steel reinforcement + concrete = reinforced concrete |
| 527 | 9.3 | Theory of structures and reinforced concrete |
| 528 | 9.3.1 | New types of loadbearing structures in reinforced concrete |
| 554 | 9.3.2 | Prestressed concrete: “Une révolution dans les techniques du béton” (Freyssinet) |
| 561 | 9.3.3 | The paradigm change in reinforced concrete design takes place in the Federal Republic of Germany too |
| 562 | 9.3.4 | Revealing the invisible: reinforced concrete design with truss models |

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--|
| 570 | 10 | From classical to modern theory of structures |
| 571 | 10.1 | The relationship between text, image and symbol in theory of structures |
| 573 | 10.1.1 | The historical stages in the idea of formalisation |
| 580 | 10.1.2 | The structural engineer – a manipulator of symbols? |
| 581 | 10.2 | The development of the displacement method |
| 582 | 10.2.1 | The contribution of the mathematical elastic theory |
| 585 | 10.2.2 | From pin-jointed trussed framework to rigid-jointed frame |
| 589 | 10.2.3 | From trussed framework to rigid frame |
| 591 | 10.2.4 | The displacement method gains emancipation from trussed framework theory |
| 596 | 10.2.5 | The displacement method during the invention phase of structural theory |
| 597 | 10.3 | The groundwork for automation in structural calculations |
| 598 | 10.3.1 | Remarks on the practical use of symbols in structural analysis |
| 600 | 10.3.2 | Rationalisation of structural calculation in the consolidation period of structural theory |
| 606 | 10.3.3 | The dual nature of theory of structures |
| 608 | 10.3.4 | First steps in the automation of structural calculations |
| 610 | 10.3.5 | The diffusion of matrix formulation into the exact natural sciences and fundamental engineering science disciplines |
| 619 | 10.4 | “The computer shapes the theory” (Argyris): the historical roots of the finite element method and the development of computational mechanics |
| 622 | 10.4.1 | Truss models for elastic continua |
| 630 | 10.4.2 | Modularisation and discretisation of aircraft structures |
| 640 | 10.4.3 | The matrix algebra reformulation of structural mechanics |
| 648 | 10.4.4 | FEM – formation of a general technology engineering science theory |
| 654 | 10.4.5 | The founding of FEM through variational theorems |
| 671 | 10.4.6 | Computational mechanics – a broad field |
| 672 | 10.4.7 | A humorous plea |
| 674 | 11 | Twelve scientific controversies in mechanics and theory of structures |
| 675 | 11.1 | The scientific controversy |
| 675 | 11.2 | Twelve disputes |
| 675 | 11.2.1 | Galileo’s <i>Dialogo</i> |
| 676 | 11.2.2 | Galileo’s <i>Discorsi</i> |
| 677 | 11.2.3 | The philosophical dispute about the true measure of force |
| 678 | 11.2.4 | The dispute about the principle of least action |
| 679 | 11.2.5 | The dome of St. Peter’s in the dispute between theorists and practitioners |
| 681 | 11.2.6 | Discontinuum or continuum? |
| 682 | 11.2.7 | Graphical statics versus graphical analysis, or the defence of pure theory |
| 683 | 11.2.8 | Animosity creates two schools: Mohr versus Müller-Breslau |
| 684 | 11.2.9 | The war of positions |
| 685 | 11.2.10 | Until death do us part: Fillunger versus Terzaghi |
| 687 | 11.2.11 | “In principle, yes ...”: the dispute about principles |
| 689 | 11.2.12 | Elastic or plastic? That is the question. |
| 690 | 11.3 | Résumé |

| | | |
|-----|-----------|--|
| 692 | 12 | Perspectives for theory of structures |
| 694 | 12.1 | Theory of structures and aesthetics |
| 694 | 12.1.1 | The schism of architecture |
| 695 | 12.1.2 | Beauty and utility in architecture – a utopia? |
| 699 | 12.1.3 | Alfred Gotthold Meyer's <i>Eisenbauten. Ihre Geschichte und Ästhetik</i> |
| 702 | 12.1.4 | The aesthetics in the dialectic between building and calculation |
| 707 | 12.2 | A plea for the historico-genetic teaching of theory of structures |
| 708 | 12.2.1 | Historico-genetic methods for teaching of theory of structures |
| 709 | 12.2.2 | Content, aims, means and characteristics of the historico-genetic teaching of theory of structures |
| 709 | 12.2.3 | Outlook |
| 712 | | Brief biographies |
| 778 | | Bibliography |
| 831 | | Name index |
| 839 | | Subject index |