

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0	INTRODUCTION	1
1	GENERAL INFORMATION ON COLLOCATIONS	8
1.1	The term ‘collocation’	8
1.2	Terminology in the field of collocations	9
1.3	Collocations and other lexical combinations	11
2	THEORIES OF COLLOCATIONS	13
2.1	Early theories of collocations	13
2.2	The British School of Contextualism	13
2.2.1	J. R. Firth – the founder of meaning by collocation	13
2.2.2	Firth’s descendants	17
2.2.2.1	Angus McIntosh – the collocational range	18
2.2.2.2	M. A. K. Halliday – collocations and lexical sets	18
2.2.2.3	John McH. Sinclair – the initiator of the <i>COBUILD</i> project	21
2.2.2.4	T. F. Mitchell – ‘collocations’ as associations of roots	24
2.2.2.5	Sidney Greenbaum – the item-oriented and the integrated approach	25
2.3	An approach to collocations with regard to text-production: Franz Josef Hausmann	26
3	COLLOCATIONS IN LEXICOGRAPHY	30
3.1	Different types of dictionaries	30
3.2	Collocations and text production	32
3.3	Collocations in the dictionary	35
3.4	Dictionary criticism	37
3.5	Dictionaries of collocations	39
3.5.1	Print collocation dictionaries – state of the art	39
3.5.2	Blueprints for an electronic collocation reference work	41
3.5.2.1	Considerations regarding an electronic dictionary of collocations – J. Cornelius (1995)	41
3.5.2.2	A dictionary of collocations on DVD – G. Jehle (2007)	43
3.5.2.3	A bilingual collocation database – A. Holderbaum and M. Prien (2004)	46
3.5.2.4	E-COR – Electronic Collocation Organization and Retrieval – E. Angelone (2007)	49

4	CORPORA AND LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS.....	60
4.1	The term ‘corpus’	60
4.2	Different types of corpora	61
4.3	Applications of corpora.....	66
4.3.1	General remarks	66
4.3.2	Corpora and language learning	70
4.3.3	Corpora and collocations.....	72
5	A JOURNALISTIC AND LINGUISTIC LOOK AT NEWSPAPERS	75
5.1	Newspapers and news writing.....	75
5.2	Newspapers on the Internet.....	94
6	FIRST EMPIRICAL STUDY: THE USAGE AND COLLOCABILITY OF SIX ENGLISH VERBS OF THINKING AS EXEMPLIFIED BY THE <i>NEW YORK TIMES</i> ONLINE	114
6.1	Design of the first empirical study.....	114
6.1.1	The words under investigation.....	114
6.1.2	The choice of corpus	116
6.1.3	<i>The New York Times</i> online	119
6.1.4	Methodology	125
6.2	Restatement of the research questions	128
6.3	Evaluation of the results.....	128
6.3.1	To consider.....	131
6.3.1.1	The usage of the verb ‘to consider’	131
6.3.1.2	The collocability of ‘to consider’	134
6.3.2	To meditate.....	139
6.3.2.1	The usage of the verb ‘to meditate’	139
6.3.2.2	The collocability of ‘to meditate’	141
6.3.3	To muse	142
6.3.3.1	The usage of the verb ‘to muse’	142
6.3.3.2	The collocability of ‘to muse’	144
6.3.4	To ponder	145
6.3.4.1	The usage of the verb ‘to ponder’	145
6.3.4.2	The collocability of ‘to ponder’	147
6.3.5	To reflect	148
6.3.5.1	The usage of the verb ‘to reflect’	148
6.3.5.2	The collocability of ‘to reflect’	152
6.3.6	To think	155
6.3.6.1	The usage of the verb ‘to think’	155
6.3.6.2	The collocability of ‘to think’	158

6.3.7	A comparison of the six verbs.....	164
7	INTERMEDIATE CONCLUSIONS.....	169
8	SECOND EMPIRICAL STUDY: THE USAGE AND COLLOCABILITY OF FOUR DIFFERENT SEMANTIC FIELDS AS EXEMPLIFIED BY THE <i>WASHINGTON POST</i> ONLINE.....	172
8.1	Research questions	174
8.2	The words under investigation	175
8.2.1	Semantic field 1 – ‘Love’	176
8.2.2	Semantic field 2 – ‘Result’	177
8.2.3	Semantic field 3 – ‘Trouble’	178
8.2.4	Semantic field 4 – ‘Work’	179
8.3	Meaning structures within semantic fields.....	180
8.3.1	Card sorting.....	183
8.3.2	Cluster analysis and dendograms.....	192
8.3.3	Card sorting tools	200
8.3.3.1	<i>WebSort</i>	205
8.3.3.2	<i>EZCalc</i>	213
8.3.4	Setting up and conducting the <i>WebSort</i> studies	217
8.3.5	Results of the four card sorting studies	225
8.3.5.1	Semantic field 1 – ‘Love’	228
8.3.5.2	Semantic field 2 – ‘Result’	232
8.3.5.3	Semantic field 3 – ‘Trouble’	237
8.3.5.4	Semantic field 4 – ‘Work’	241
8.4	The corpus of the second empirical study.....	246
8.4.1	The <i>Washington Post</i> online	247
8.4.2	The Windows program <i>Web Research</i>	269
8.4.2.1	Search options within <i>Web Research</i>	274
8.4.2.2	<i>Web Research</i> and translation	278
8.4.3	Compiling the corpus for the second empirical study with <i>Web Research</i>	279
8.4.4	Contents of the corpus.....	285
8.4.4.1	Number of articles within the corpus	285
8.4.4.2	Number of words within the corpus	292
8.5	Collocation extraction from the corpus.....	302
8.6	The database to accommodate the retrieved collocations.....	311
8.7	Evaluation tools within the database.....	320
8.7.1	Corpus size	322
8.7.2	Collocations by type & month	325
8.7.3	Collocations by type & newspaper section	327

8.7.4	Comparison: collocation dictionaries – <i>Washington Post</i>	329
8.7.5	Distribution of collocations at base level.....	332
8.7.6	Distribution of collocations at semantic field level	334
8.7.7	Semantic field analysis.....	336
8.7.8	Cluster comparison.....	338
8.8	Evaluation of the results.....	342
8.8.1	Semantic field 1 – ‘Love’.....	343
8.8.1.1	Admiration	343
8.8.1.2	Adoration.....	355
8.8.1.3	Affection	359
8.8.1.4	Ardor	369
8.8.1.5	Devotion.....	375
8.8.1.6	Fervor	387
8.8.1.7	Fondness.....	397
8.8.1.8	Infatuation	398
8.8.1.9	Love.....	398
8.8.1.10	Passion.....	401
8.8.1.11	Rapture	403
8.8.1.12	Tenderness.....	405
8.8.1.13	Distribution of the collocations within the semantic field ‘love’	407
8.8.1.14	Cluster comparison – Love	410
8.8.2	Semantic field 2 – ‘Result’	414
8.8.2.1	Conclusion.....	414
8.8.2.2	Consequence	415
8.8.2.3	Corollary	416
8.8.2.4	Effect	416
8.8.2.5	Implication	417
8.8.2.6	Outcome	418
8.8.2.7	Outgrowth	418
8.8.2.8	Ramification.....	419
8.8.2.9	Repercussion	419
8.8.2.10	Result.....	419
8.8.2.11	Score.....	420
8.8.2.12	Upshot	421
8.8.2.13	Distribution of the collocations within the semantic field ‘result’	422
8.8.2.14	Cluster comparison – Result	424
8.8.3	Semantic field 3 – ‘Trouble’	429
8.8.3.1	Complication	429
8.8.3.2	Difficulty	429
8.8.3.3	Dilemma.....	429
8.8.3.4	Distress	430
8.8.3.5	Hardship	430
8.8.3.6	Hindrance	431
8.8.3.7	Obstacle	431

8.8.3.8 Plight	432
8.8.3.9 Predicament.....	432
8.8.3.10 Problem	432
8.8.3.11 Quandary	433
8.8.3.12 Trouble	433
8.8.3.13 Distribution of the collocations within the semantic field ‘trouble’	434
8.8.3.14 Cluster comparison – Trouble.....	436
8.8.4 Semantic field 4 – ‘Work’	439
8.8.4.1 Assignment.....	439
8.8.4.2 Career	439
8.8.4.3 Employment	441
8.8.4.4 Job	441
8.8.4.5 Livelihood	442
8.8.4.6 Occupation	442
8.8.4.7 Position.....	443
8.8.4.8 Post	443
8.8.4.9 Profession.....	444
8.8.4.10 Task	446
8.8.4.11 Vocation	446
8.8.4.12 Work.....	446
8.8.4.13 Distribution of the collocations within the semantic field ‘work’	447
8.8.4.14 Cluster comparison – Work	449
8.9 General answers to the research questions.....	452
9 COLLOCATION ANALYZER – AN ELECTRONIC COLLOCATION DICTIONARY	470
10 CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK.....	497
DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE ON THE ACCOMPANYING CD-ROM.....	503
LIST OF FIGURES	505
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	516