Contents

Illustrations xi
Preface xv
Acknowledgements xvi
Part One: Introduction 1
1. Introduction to the study of problem solving 3 What is a problem? 3
Categorising problems 6
Methods of investigating problem solving 11
About this book: Three issues in problem solving 14
Summary 17
Part Two: Problem representation and problem-solving processes 19
Part Two: Introduction 21
2. Characterising problem solving 25 The information-processing approach 26 Analysing well-defined problems 29
The interaction of the problem solver and the task environment 3
Heuristic search strategies 38
Summary 46
3. Problem representation: The case of insight 49
Building a problem representation 50
Re-representing problems 51
Gestalt accounts of problem solving 52
Information-processing accounts of insight 59

The relationship between insight problems and other problems 69

Influencing problem representations: The effect of instructions 72 Summary 74 Part Three: Analogical problem solving 77 Part Three: Introduction 79 **Transfer of learning** 81 4. Positive and negative transfer 83 Set and Einstellung as examples of negative transfer 84 Hypothesis testing theory 84 Transfer in well-defined problems 87 Specific transfer 91 General transfer 100 What kinds of knowledge transfer? 102 Summary 104 5. **Problem** similarity 105 Types of similarity 106 Relational similarity 115 Structural Similarity 122 Pragmatic constraints 125 The relation between surface and structural similarity 127 Summary 129 Analogical problem solving 6. 131 The importance of analogising 132 Studies of analogical problem solving 133 Accessing a source to solve a target 136 Generating your own analogies 137 Expository analogies 142 "Aesthetic" analogies 148 149 Summary 7. **Textbook problem solving** 151 Difficulties facing textbook writers 152 The role of examples in textbooks 155 The processes involved in textbook problem solving 158 Laboratory studies of within-domain and textbook problem solving 160 Understanding problems revisited 164 The role of diagrams and pictures in aiding understanding 166 Providing a schema in texts 169 Summarv 170 Part Four: Learning and the development of expertise 173 Part Four: Introduction 175 8. Expertise and how to acquire it 177 Induction 177 Schema induction 178

Schema-based knowledge181AI models of problem solving and learning183Skill learning in ACT-R187The power law of learning194Criticisms of production system models195Neurological evidence for the development of procedural knowledge198Summary200

9. Experts, novices and complex problem solving 201

What distinguishes experts and novices201Are experts smarter? Are there differences in abilities?204Skill development206Knowledge organisation209Cognitive processes214Writing expertise: A case study218Summary225

10. Conclusions 227

Problems, problems227Problem representation229Transfer230Learning231

Answers to questions 235

Glossary 239

References 247

Author index 263

Subject index 267

Illustrations

FIGURES

- 1.1 Problem solving
- 1.2 Representing a problem in terms of the problem statement, solution procedure, and goal state 6
- 2.1 A trivial version of the Tower of Hanoi puzzle 26
- 2.2 The sequence of imagined moves in solving the two-ring Tower of Hanoi puzzle 27
- 2.3 The initial state, goal state, operators and restrictions in the Tower of Hanoi puzzle 30
- 2.4 The possible states that can be reached after two moves in the Tower of Hanoi puzzle 30
- 2.5 State space of all legal moves for the three-ring Tower of Hanoi puzzle 32
- 2.6 Sources of information used to determine a problem space 35
- 2.7 Solution and state-action graph of the Hobbits and Orcs problem 41
- 2.8 The goal recursion strategy of solving the Tower of Hanoi puzzle 45
- 3.1 The Mutilated Chequer Board problem 50
- 3.2 A simplified version of the Mutilated Chequer Board problem 51
- 3.3 Simpler version of the Dots problem 52
- 3.4 A square "emerges" from the configuration of black dots 52
- 3.5 The problem can be seen as adding up an increasing number of boxes 54
- 3.6 By doubling the number of squares in Figure 3.5 the problem becomes the simple one of finding the area of a rectangle 54
- 3.7 Maier's Two-string problem 56
- 3.8 Luchins' Water Jars problem 57
- 3.9 Duncker's Candle Holder problem (Source: Robertson, 1999, pp. 85-86) 59
- 3.10 Pruning the search tree 62
- 3.11 The different representations of the Mutilated Chequer Board problem (Source: Robertson, 1999, p. 50)
 63

- 3.12 Diagrams used by Duncker in describing the Radiation problem (adapted from Duncker, 1945) 67
- 3.13 The Nine Dots problem 68
- 3.14 Two variants of the Nine Dots problem presented in MacGregor et al. (in press) 69
- 3.15 The Mad Bird problem from the bird's point of view 71
- 3.16 The Mad Bird problem from the trains' point of view 71
- 3.17 The River Current problem from the observer's point of view 71
- 3.18 The River Current problem ignoring the observer 72
 - 4.1 The 4-ring Tower of Hanoi state space showing the substructure of 1-, 2-, and 3-ring sub-spaces. Smaller sub-spaces embedded within larger sub-spaces illustrate the recursive nature of the problem. (Reprinted from Acta Psychologia, 1978, Luger and Bauer. "Transfer effects in isomorphic problem situations" pp. 121–131. © 1978, reprinted with permission from Elsevier Science) 90
 - 4.2 Four cases where two tasks (A and B in each case) share a number of productions 95
 - 4.3 Control panel device (adapted from Kieras & Bovair, 1986) 97
 - 4.4 Three types of diagrammatic representations (adapted from Novick & Hmelo, 1994, p. 1299) 101
 - 5.1 Intermediate representation of the Fortress problem 107
 - 5.2 A continuum of similarity 110
 - 5.3 A semantic hierarchy of transport systems 111
 - 5.4 A semantic network showing connections between "car" and other forms of transport 112
 - 5.5 A semantic network showing connections between "car" and pollution 113
 - 5.6 A summary of processes needed to solve the analogy: hot : cold :: dry : ? 118
 - 5.7 Relational mappings used to understand effects of those relations in a target domain 119
 - 5.8 Similarity space: Classes of similarity based on the kinds of predicates shared. (From Gentner, 1989, p. 207. Reproduced by permission of the publishers) 124
 - 5.9 An architecture for analogical processing (adapted from Gentner, 1989, p. 216) 128
 - 6.1 Accessing and adapting a source problem to solve a target 136
 - 6.2 The relation between a problem and its solution involving an implicit schema 138
 - 6.3 Implicit schema cued by accessing source and used to solve target 139
 - 6.4 Effects of the analogy between batteries and water reservoirs 143
 - 6.5 Batteries and resistors arranged in series and in parallel 143
 - 6.6 Near and far analogies and their likely effect on understanding 147
 - 6.7 Hawking's analogy of the universe with the earth (adapted from Hawking, 1988, p. 138) 148
 - 7.1 Is a category of problems best explained by presenting a number of close variants or a range of examples including distant variants? 154
 - 7.2 A hierarchy of Rate problems 160
 - 7.3 Applying the source to Target 4 involves two levels of the hierarchy 162

134

- 7.4 Intermediate bridging representations 167
- 7.5 A bridging analogy or intermediate representation (B') helps the analogiser to make the leap from a concept (A) to a concrete example (B)167
- 8.1 The example-analogy view of schema induction. Using an ill-understood example to solve an ill-understood problem leads to the partial formation of a problem schema 180
- 8.2 The process of solving a problem using an example or analogy generates a schema for the problem type. When faced with a later problem of the same type, a solver will either access the schema or an earlier example (or both) 180
- 8.3 Repeated exposure to examples means that the schema becomes increasingly decontextualised 181
- 8.4 A problem model as a homomorphism 186
- 8.5 The architecture of ACT-R (Anderson, 1993) 191
- 8.6 The analogy mechanism in ACT-R 193
- 8.7 Mapping correspondences between the example and the current goal 194
- 8.8 The Power Law of Learning: (a) represents a hypothetical practice curve and (b) is the same curve represented as a logarithmic scale 195
- 9.1 Dimensions in which one can understand or study expertise 203
- 9.2 Raufaste and co-workers' (1999) reinterpretation of the intermediate effect 209
- 9.3 Examples of problem diagrams used in Chi and co-workers' studies (adapted from Chi et al., 1981) 215
- 9.4 The Hayes-Flower model of composition (based on Hayes, 1989; Hayes & Flower, 1980) 220
- 9.5 Structure of the knowledge-telling model (adapted from Bereiter & Scardamalia, 1987) 223
- 9.6 Structure of the knowledge-transforming model (adapted from Bereiter & Scardamalia, 1987) 224
- 10.1 External and internal factors affecting problem solving and learning 233

Tables

- 2.1 Average number of moves in each part of the Hobbits and Orcs task 43
- 3.1 The Monster problem 73
- 4.1 Conditions in the text editing experiments of Singley and Anderson 95
- 5.1 "Creative" uses of analogy 106
- 5.2 Surface and structural features of problems 110
- 5.3 Mapping similar objects 112
- 5.4 Attribute mapping 114
- 5.5 Attribute mappings with variables replacing specific values 115
- 6.1 Correspondences between two convergence problems and their schema
- 6.2 Mappings between the surface features (objects) in the Fortress and Radiation problems 135
- 6.3 Study-test relations in Ross (1987) 141
- 6.4 Mappings between the source domains of water and crowds and the target domain of electricity 143
- 6.5 Different ways new concepts were presented in Donnelly and McDaniel (1993) 144

xiv ILLUSTRATIONS

- 6.6 Examples of texts with and without an analogy (from Giora, 1993) 145
- 7.1 Close and distant variants of different problem types 155
- 7.2 Examples of rate × time problems 163
- 7.3 The benefits of an explanatory schema and of diagrams 170
- 8.1 Schema representation of the problem 190
- 8.2 Information presented in evaluation and generation tasks in McKendree and Anderson (1987) 196
- 9.1 Protocol excerpts from an expert, showing early schema invocation, tuning, and flexibility 213