Contents

Fore	eword	vii
	Cathy Seeley	
	President, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics	
	Wesley Bird	
	President, Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics	
Ack	nowledgments	ix
Intro	oduction: Setting the Stage for the Comparison of	
	Mathematics Standards: A Joint Proposal	1
	Johnny W. Lott	
	University of Montana, Missoula, Montana	
Mat	hematics Standards: A Grade-Level Comparison	
1.	Kindergarten: Process and Standards	7
	Trecina H. Green, Chair: Mississippi Department of Education	-
	Herb Clemens, Director, Park City Mathematics Institute	
	Kathleen Nishimura, Codirector, National Math View	
	Hawaii State Department of Education	
	Michael Roach Indiana Department of Education	
	Cathy Seeley, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics	
2	Grade 1. Process and Standards	0
4.	Bonnie Hagelberger Chair: Physicuth Minnasota)
	Topi Mover, North Caroling Department of Public Instruction	
	Porbara Montalto Austin Taxas	
	Sue White Washington D.C. School District	
	Sue winte, wasnington, D.C., School District	
3.	Grade 2: Process and Standards	13
	Sally Caldwell, Chair; Delaware Department of Education	
	Kaye Forgione, Achieve, Inc., Austin, Texas	
	Diana Kasbaum, Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction	
	Mari Muri, Cromwell, Connecticut	
	Mattye Pollard-Cole, Centennial, Colorado	
	Mary Ruzga, South Carolina State Department of Education	

4.	Grade 3: Process and Standards	17
	David DeCoste, Chair; Saint Xavier College, Nova Scotia	
	Claudia Ahlstrom, New Mexico Public Education Department	
	Susan Iida, Sacramento, California	
	Anne M. Mikesell, Ohio Department of Education	
5.	Grade 4: Process and Standards	21
	Jeane Joyner, Chair; Raleigh, North Carolina	
	Gail Englert, School of International Studies at Meadowbrook,	
	Norfolk, Virginia	
	Bob Robinson, Everett, Washington	
	Diane L. Schaefer, Rhode Island Department of Education	
6.	Grade 5: Process and Standards	- 25
	Cindy Bryant, Chair; Salem, Missouri	-
	Wesley Bird, Missouri Department of Education	
	Glenn Bruckhart, Littleton, Colorado	
	Robert Kansky, Cheyenne, Wyoming	
	Harvey Keynes, University of Minnesota	
	Barbara Stewart, Conesus, New York	
7.	Grade 6: Process and Standards	- 29
	Barbara Reys, Chair; University of Missouri-Columbia	
	Carolyn Baldree, Georgia Department of Education	
	Donna Taylor, North Carolina Department of Public Instruction	
	Stephen Wilson, Johns Hopkins University	
8.	Grade 7: Process and Standards	- 33
	Jennie Bennett, Chair; Houston, Texas	
	Judith Keeley, Rhode Island Department of Education	
	Andy Magid, University of Oklahoma	
	Sarah F. Mason, Alabama Department of Education	
	Paula Moeller, Texas Education Agency	
	Carolyn Sessions, Louisiana Department of Education	
9.	Grade 8: Process and Standards	- 37
	Laurie Boswell, Chair; Monroe, New Hampshire	
	Jerry Dancis, University of Maryland	
	Dan Hupp, Maine Department of Education	
	Michael Kestner, U.S. Department of Education	
	· • • • •	

Frank Marburger, Pennsylvania Department of Education Lois Williams, Virginia Department of Education

10.	Grade 9: Process and Standards—Algebra Rick Jennings, Chair; Washington State Office of the	41
	Department of Public Instruction	
	Ann Bartosh, Kentucky Department of Education	
	Daniel Dolan, Cromwell, Connecticut	
	Linda Hackett, Department of Education Agency	
	Roger Howe, Yale University	
	Anthony Scott, Chicago, Illinois	
11.	Grade 10: Process and Standards—Geometry	45
	Richard Seitz, Chair; Helena, Montana	
	Deborah Bliss, Virginia Department of Education	
	Margaret Bondorew, Foxboro, Massachusetts	
	Scott Eddins, Tennessee Department of Education	
	Jerry Evans, Utah Department of Education	
	William McCallum, University of Arizona	
12.	Grade 11: Process and Standards—Algebra II, Precalculus	49
	M. Kathleen Heid, Chair; Penn State University	
	David Brancamp, Nevada Department of Education	
	Jerry Dwyer, Texas Tech University	
	David Hoff, Bottineau, North Dakota	
	James Rubillo, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics	
13.	Grade 12: Process and Standards—Grades 9–12,	
	Probability and Statistics	55
	Michael Koehler, Chair; Kansas City, Missouri	
	Martha Aliaga, American Statistical Association	
	Tracy Newell, Kansas State Department of Education	
	Frank Quinn, Virginia Polytechnic Institute	
	Robert Riehs, New Jersey Department of Education	
Limi	itations of the Study	61

Conclusions	- 63
References	- 67
Appendix I: Participants	- 69
Appendix II: Summary of State Mathematics Grade Level Documents	- 71
Appendix III: Example of Original Template	- 89
Appendix IV: Example of Adapted Template	- 97
Appendix V: Standards with Less Agreement	119