

# Contents

Introduction . . . . .	15
<b>Part I Adjustment as a concept and object of research</b>	
<b>Chapter 1 Adjustment to the social system. Frame of reference and purpose of the investigation . . . . .</b>	<b>19</b>
A. The concept of adjustment . . . . .	19
1. The functioning of living systems . . . . .	19
2. The adjustment of individuals . . . . .	19
3. The role of an individual in a social system . . . . .	21
4. Intrinsic and extrinsic adjustment . . . . .	22
5. Indicators of maladjustment . . . . .	23
B. The goal of the school in terms of adjustment . . . . .	23
C. Adjustment to the school situation . . . . .	25
D. Mapping the character of measures of adjustment . . . . .	26
1. Grouping the measures of various aspects of adjustment into syndromes . . . . .	26
2. Studies of the stability of individual measures of adjustment . . . . .	27
3. Study of the predictive value of measures of adjustment with reference to later adjustment to education and work . . . . .	27
E. The purpose of the project . . . . .	28
<b>Chapter 2 Investigation strategy and methodological problems . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
A. The individual and the environment in the present project . . . . .	29
B. Fundamental methods of approach . . . . .	30
1. Research in open and closed systems . . . . .	30
2. Field studies or field experiments . . . . .	30
3. Variables and investigation methods . . . . .	31
4. The longitudinal approach . . . . .	33
5. Successive age groups . . . . .	34
6. Size of investigation group . . . . .	35
7. The school as a stimulus and observation situation . . . . .	35
8. Summary. Main features in the design of the project . . . . .	36
C. Methodological problems . . . . .	37
1. Reducing the number of variables . . . . .	37
2. Dependence and causality . . . . .	37
3. Changes in individual variables . . . . .	38
4. Changes in groups of variables . . . . .	39

5. Age-, time-, and generation changes . . . . .	39
6. Classification of individuals . . . . .	40
7. Moderator variables in system studies . . . . .	41
D. Earlier longitudinal studies . . . . .	41
1. Stability of traits . . . . .	41
2. Stability of maladaptive behaviour . . . . .	42
3. Different aspects of adjustment as criteria . . . . .	43

## Part II The current project

Chapter 3 Survey of the project . . . . .	49
A. Choice of investigation town . . . . .	49
B. Investigation groups . . . . .	49
C. Planning the investigation . . . . .	51
D. Variables and investigation methods . . . . .	51
E. Generalization . . . . .	57
F. General comments on the planning of the project, information and contacts . . . . .	57
Chapter 4 Total group investigations of individual, environmental, and adjustment variables . . . . .	59
A. Introduction . . . . .	59
B. Intelligence and creativity . . . . .	59
C. Scholastic achievement . . . . .	60
D. Pupils' experience of the school situation . . . . .	62
1. Construction of instruments, all groups, 1965 . . . . .	62
2. Component analysis for the grouping of questions, 1965 data . . . . .	62
3. Reliability . . . . .	63
4. Revision of instruments for $M_{13}$ . . . . .	63
5. Component analysis for the grouping of questions, $M_{13}$ . . . . .	63
6. Student questionnaire for $M_{16}$ . . . . .	64
E. Students' attitudes and values: investigation with semantic differential technique . . . . .	65
1. Background . . . . .	65
2. Investigation instrument . . . . .	65
3. Reliability . . . . .	67
4. Profile analysis . . . . .	68
5. Revision of the instruments for $M_{13}$ . . . . .	69
F. Peer relations, motivation and confidence: Peer ratings . . . . .	69
1. Background . . . . .	69
2. Self and peer ratings, 1965 . . . . .	70
3. Motivation and confidence . . . . .	71
4. The meaning of self and peer ratings . . . . .	71

	5. Calculation of individual measures . . . . .	72
	6. Agreement in the students' ratings . . . . .	72
	7. Stability of ratings . . . . .	73
	8. Correlations between ratings in different variables . . . . .	73
	9. Revision of the rating methods for $M_{13}$ . . . . .	74
	10. Rating for $M_{15}$ . . . . .	75
	G. Classroom behaviour: Teachers' ratings . . . . .	76
	1. Background . . . . .	76
	2. Rating method . . . . .	76
	3. Processing of raw data . . . . .	79
	4. Correlations between ratings of different variables . . . . .	79
	5. Profile analysis . . . . .	81
	6. Rating procedure for $M_{13}$ . . . . .	81
	7. Correlations between ratings of different variables, $M_{13}$ . . . . .	83
	8. Teachers' ratings for $M_{16}$ . . . . .	83
	H. Variables in the home environment, etc: parent questionnaires . . . . .	84
	1. The investigation instrument . . . . .	84
	2. Classification of families according to parents' education and occupation . . . . .	85
	I. Socio-ecological investigations . . . . .	86
	J. Other data . . . . .	87
	K. Collection of data . . . . .	87
Chapter 5	Size of follow-up groups. Problem of drop-outs . . . . .	89
	A. The main group . . . . .	89
	B. Follow-up group from grade 6/65 . . . . .	91
<b>Part III</b>	<b>Sub-projects of a total group character</b>	
Chapter 6	Studies of norms and norm conflicts in adolescents . . . . .	95
	A. Adolescents and norms . . . . .	95
	1. Adolescent culture . . . . .	95
	2. Conflicts between generations . . . . .	96
	3. The development of independent value system . . . . .	96
	4. The picture of the adolescent—extreme or average? . . . . .	97
	B. The concept of norm and the process of adjustment . . . . .	97
	C. General design of the investigation . . . . .	98
	1. Investigation dimensions . . . . .	98
	2. Transmitters of norms . . . . .	99
	D. Objectives of norm studies . . . . .	99
	E. Investigation instruments . . . . .	100
	1. Evaluative ratings . . . . .	100
	2. Conative ratings—behavioural intention . . . . .	101

3. Norm transmitter aspects, etc.	102
F. Collection of data	103
G. Results of the descriptive processing	103
1. Description of adolescent evaluations	104
2. Sex and age differences in adolescent norms	106
3. Adolescents' experiences of peers' and parents' norms	107
4. Relations between own, peers' and parents' norms	108
5. Peer and parent sanctions	108
6. Summarizing comments on adolescent norms and their relations to the reference groups	110
7. Relations between evaluations and action intentions	112
H. Results from homogeneous subgroups	113
1. Individual norm patterns	113
2. Norm patterns and adjustment	114
3. Comments	115
I. Retrospective view of the investigation	119

Chapter 7 Delinquent behaviour in boys—a criminological study	121
A. Background and purpose	121
B. Theories and models in the study of causes of criminality	122
C. Mapping criminal conduct in the sub-groups of the project	126
1. Registered and self-reported criminality	126
2. The questionnaire, P <sub>16</sub>	127
3. The questionnaire, M <sub>16</sub>	127
4. Collection of data	128
5. Reliability and validity of the data	129
D. Some descriptive results	131
1. Frequency of crime	131
2. Individual numbers of crime	132
3. Relation between self-reported and registered criminality	133
E. Comparisons between seven groups of boys according to the sequence model	134
1. Categorization of the boys according to criminal and conformity behaviour	134
2. Individual characteristics and adjustment in the middle stage (grades 4-6)	135
3. Prediction of criminality	137
G. Perspective	139
Chapter 8 Social adjustment and intrinsic adjustment among adolescent girls	141
A. Background	141
B. Purpose of the investigation	142

C.	Survey of the symptom population . . . . .	143
D.	Description of the symptom groups . . . . .	144
	1. Group A: Antisocial behaviour . . . . .	144
	2. Group B: Disturbances in relations . . . . .	145
	3. Group C: Physical symptoms . . . . .	145
	4. Group D: Emotional disturbances . . . . .	145
	5. Group E: Disturbances in self-esteem . . . . .	146
E.	The investigation instrument . . . . .	146
F.	Collection of data . . . . .	147
G.	Data processing . . . . .	147
	1. Calculation of frequencies . . . . .	147
	2. Dimensional analyses . . . . .	151
	3. Extreme group studies . . . . .	153
	4. A comparison between anti-social and anxious girls . . . . .	154
H.	Perspective . . . . .	158
Chapter 9	Choice of line of studies and occupation . . . . .	160
A.	Choice as an element in the process of adjustment . . . . .	160
B.	Problems of choice in the nine-year comprehensive school . . . . .	160
C.	Models for choice of occupation and choice behaviour . . . . .	161
	1. A simple perception theory: the hypothesis theory . . . . .	162
	2. The hypothesis theory applied to the vocational choice situation . . . . .	162
	3. Consequences in the form of measures . . . . .	164
	4. The choice as a matching situation . . . . .	165
D.	Basic data of the project . . . . .	167
	1. Variables concerned with the home environment . . . . .	167
	2. Capacity variables . . . . .	168
	3. Achievement variables . . . . .	169
	4. Sociometric variables . . . . .	169
	5. Intrinsic adjustment to the school situation . . . . .	170
E.	Collection of data concerned specifically with the process of choice of studies and occupation . . . . .	170
	1. Vocational questionnaires . . . . .	171
	2. Occupational differential . . . . .	173
	3. Interest inventories . . . . .	175
	4. Other instruments for P <sub>19</sub> . . . . .	176
F.	Some results . . . . .	177
	1. Study aspirations and choice of studies . . . . .	177
	2. Sex differences in preference for status and domain . . . . .	179
	3. Intrinsic adjustment and choice of subjects . . . . .	180
G.	Perspective . . . . .	183

1. Possibilities and limitations . . . . .	183
2. Problem domains . . . . .	184
3. The role of the school . . . . .	185
<b>Chapter 10 Creativity and adjustment . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>A. Background . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>
1. The concept of creativity . . . . .	187
2. Creativity—intelligence . . . . .	188
3. Creativity and adjustment . . . . .	189
<b>B. Purpose of the present investigation . . . . .</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>C. Independent variables . . . . .</b>	<b>190</b>
1. Intelligence . . . . .	191
2. Creativity . . . . .	191
<b>D. Dependent variables . . . . .</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>E. Moderator variables . . . . .</b>	<b>192</b>
1. Sex . . . . .	192
2. Social background . . . . .	192
<b>F. Extreme-group design . . . . .</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>G. Statistical processing . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>H. Creativity and intelligence—separate dimensions? . . . . .</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>I. Adjustment in groups with different combinations of creativity and intelligence . . . . .</b>	<b>195</b>
1. Results for girls . . . . .	195
2. Results for boys . . . . .	196
3. Sex/creativity interactions . . . . .	197
<b>J. Comments . . . . .</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>Chapter 11 Social differentiation . . . . .</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>A. Background . . . . .</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>B. Design of the investigations . . . . .</b>	<b>203</b>
1. Investigation groups . . . . .	203
2. Method . . . . .	203
3. Variables in the analyses . . . . .	205
<b>C. Studies performed . . . . .</b>	<b>206</b>
1. Mapping of social differentiation . . . . .	206
2. Analysis of causes of social differentiation . . . . .	206
3. Effects of social differentiation . . . . .	206
<b>D. Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>Part IV Sample investigations</b>	
<b>Chapter 12 Biological investigations . . . . .</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>A. General purpose of the investigations . . . . .</b>	<b>213</b>

B. Investigation group . . . . .	213
C. Examination of brain activity (EEG) . . . . .	214
1. Background . . . . .	214
2. Data collection and coding . . . . .	216
3. Preliminary results . . . . .	216
D. Investigation of physical performance capacity . . . . .	217
E. Biological age . . . . .	218
F. Studies of hormonal activity: investigations of catecholamine . . . . .	219
1. Background . . . . .	219
2. Purposes of the investigation . . . . .	220
3. Collection of data . . . . .	221
4. Some results . . . . .	222
 Chapter 13 Intensive study of social relations . . . . .	 225
A. Background . . . . .	225
B. General model . . . . .	225
1. Peer status and extrinsic and intrinsic adjustment . . . . .	225
2. Peer status and individual variables . . . . .	226
3. Peer status and prevailing home situation . . . . .	227
4. Peer status and other environmental variables . . . . .	227
C. Purpose of the intensive study . . . . .	227
D. Investigation groups . . . . .	228
1. Criteria of peer status . . . . .	228
2. Matching . . . . .	228
3. Selection of the groups . . . . .	229
4. Control of the sample . . . . .	229
E. Investigation methods and variables . . . . .	230
1. Design of the interviews . . . . .	230
2. Interviews with father and mother . . . . .	230
3. Teachers' observations . . . . .	233
4. Teacher interviews . . . . .	234
5. Student interview . . . . .	235
6. Medical examination . . . . .	236
F. Data processing . . . . .	238
G. Results . . . . .	239
1. Relations between peer status and some home environmental variables . . . . .	239
2. Students' peer behaviour according to teachers' observations . . . . .	242
H. Perspective . . . . .	244
1. Circle effect? . . . . .	244
2. The role of the school . . . . .	245

<b>Chapter 14</b>	<b>Concluding remarks . . . . .</b>	<b>246</b>
	<b>Examples of current problems . . . . .</b>	<b>246</b>
	<b>References . . . . .</b>	<b>250</b>
	<b>Subject Index . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>
	<b>Person Index . . . . .</b>	<b>264</b>